



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्रधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 37]
No. 37]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, सितम्बर 15, 1990/भाद्रपद 24, 1912
NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1990/BHADRA 24, 1912

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as
a separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-Section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़ कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India other than
the Ministry of Defence)

कार्मिक, लोक शिफायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय
(कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 23 अगस्त, 1990

का. आ. 2404—कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग के आदेश
सं. 228/40/88-ए.वी.डी.-II तारीख 6-7-89 को अधिकांश
करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन अधिनियम
1946 (1946 का 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित, धारा 5,
की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए,
उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य सरकार की सहमति से (सहमति आदेश में
गृह (पुलिस) खण्ड 1 सं. 3442/VIII 184/88 तारीख
15-6-89 द्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन के सदस्यों की
शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार निम्नलिखित अपराधों
के अन्वेषण के लिए सम्पूर्ण उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य पर करनी है :—

(क) भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम, 1988 (1988 का
49) के अधीन अपराध ।

(ख) ऊपर वर्णित एक या अधिक अपराधों के संबंध में
या उनके संभव प्रत्यक्ष, दृष्टेय्य और पड़ोस तथा
उन्हीं तथ्यों से उत्पन्न होने वाले एक ही संभवहार के
अनुक्रमण में किया गया या किए गए कोई अन्य
अपराध ।

परन्तु यह अधिसूचना राज्य सरकार के नियंत्रणाधीन लोक
सेवकों से संबंधित मामलों को राज्य सरकार की पूर्व अनुज्ञा के
बिना लागू नहीं होगी ।

[संख्या 228/40/88 ए.वी.डी. II(1)]

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL PUBLIC GRIEVANCES
AND PENSIONS

(Department of Personnel and Training)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 23rd August, 1990

S.O. 2404.—In supersession to Department of Personnel
and Training order No. 228/40/88-AVD.II dated 6-7-89
and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1)
of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police
Establishment Act, VIII 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946) the Central
Government with the consent of the State Government of

Uttar Pradesh (vide consent order No. Home (Police) Section I No. 3442/VIII-1-84/88 dated 15-6-89) hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Uttar Pradesh for investigation of offences as mentioned hereunder:

- (a) Offences under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Act No. 49/88)
- (b) Attempts, abetments and conspiracies in relation to or in connection with one or more of the offences mentioned above, and any other offence or offences committed in the course of the same transaction arising out of the same facts ;

Provided that this notification will not be applicable to the cases relating to the public servants under the control of the State Government except with the prior permission of the State Government.

[No. 228/40/88-AVD. II (I)]
आदेश

का. आ. 2405 —कर्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग के आदेश सं. 228/40/38-ए.वी.डी-II तारीख 23-8-89 को अधिकांत करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए पश्चिमी बंगाल राज्य सरकार की सहमति से (सहमति आदेश सं. 6845-पी.एल/पी.ई 2-ए-10/88 तारीख 2-8-89 द्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार निम्नलिखित अपराधों के अन्वेषण के लिए सम्पूर्ण पश्चिमी बंगाल राज्य पर करनी है :

- (क) भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम, 1988 (1988 का 49) के अधीन अपराध ।
- (ख) ऊपर वर्णित एक या अधिक अपराधों के संबंध में या उनसे संसक्त प्रयत्न, दुष्प्रेरण और पड़्यंत्र तथा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उत्पन्न होने वाले एक ही संव्यवहार के अनुक्रम में किया गया या किए गए कोई अन्य अपराध ।

परन्तु यह अधिसूचना, भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम, 1988 की धारा 2(ग) में परिभाषित लोक सेवकों के संबंध में, जो राष्ट्र के कामकाज के संबंध में या राज्य सरकार द्वारा पूर्णतः या भागतः नियंत्रित या सहायता-प्राप्त किसी अधिकरण में नियोजित हैं, राज्य सरकार के विनिर्दिष्ट अनुरोध या पूर्व सहमति के बिना लागू नहीं होगी ।

[संख्या 228/40/88-ए.वी.डी-II (II)]
ORDER

S.O. 2405.—In supersession to Department of Personnel and Training order No. 228/40/88-AVD. II dated 23-8-89 and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946) the Central Government with the consent of the State Government of West Bengal (vide consent order No. 6845-PL/PE/2 A-10/88 dated 2-8-89) hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of West Bengal for investigation of offences as mentioned hereunder :

- (a) Offences under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Act No. 49/88)
- (b) Attempts, abetments and conspiracies in relation to or in connection with one or more of the offences mentioned above, and any other offence or offences

committed in the course of the same transaction arising out of the same facts ;

Provided that this notification will not be applicable in respect of Public servants defined under section 2(c) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, employed in connection with the affairs of the State or any authority controlled or aided wholly or partly by the State Government, except at the specific request and with the prior concurrence of the State Government.

[No. 228/40/88-AVD. II (II)]
आदेश

का. आ. 2406—कर्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग के आदेश सं. 228 40 88-ए.वी.डी-II तारीख 23-8-89 को अधिकांत करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य सरकार की सहमति से (सहमति आदेश सं. सतर्कता विभाग सं. का. (स.)ए-3(2)/88 तारीख 2-8-89 द्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार हिमाचल प्रदेश स्थित केन्द्रीय सरकार के विभागों और अन्य केन्द्रीय संस्थाओं के पदधारियों/अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध निम्नलिखित अपराधों के अन्वेषण के लिए सम्पूर्ण हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य पर करनी है :

- (क) भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम, 1988 (1988 का 49) के अधीन अपराध ।
- (ख) ऊपर वर्णित एक या अधिक अपराधों के संबंध में या उनसे संसक्त प्रयत्न, दुष्प्रेरण और पड़्यंत्र तथा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उत्पन्न होने वाले एक ही संव्यवहार के अनुक्रम में किया या किए गए कोई अन्य अपराध ।

संख्या 228/40/88-ए.वी.डी-II (III)
ORDER

S.O. 2406.—In supersession to Department of Personnel and Training order No. 228/40/88-AVD. II dated 23-8-89 and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 read with section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946) the Central Government with the consent of the State Government of Himachal Pradesh (vide consent order No. Vigilance Department No. Per. (vig.) A-3(2)/88, dated 2-8-89) hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Himachal Pradesh for investigation of offences as mentioned hereunder against the officials/officers of the Central Government Departments and other Central Institutions, located in the territory of Himachal Pradesh.

- (a) Offences under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Act No. 49/88)
- (b) Attempts, abetments and conspiracies in relation to or in connection with one or more of the offences mentioned above, and any other offence or offences committed in the course of the same transaction arising out of the same facts.

[No. 228/40/88-AVD. II (III)]
आदेश

का. आ. 2407—कर्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग के आदेश सं. 228/40/88-ए.वी.डी-II तारीख 6-9-89 को अधिकांत करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, असम राज्य सरकार की सहमति से (सहमति आदेश सं.

बी.एल.ए. (बी) 187/88/36 तारीख 27-7-89 द्वारा) दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार निम्नलिखित अपराधों के अन्वेषण के लिए सम्पूर्ण असम राज्य पर करती है :

(क) भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम, 1983 (1988 का 49) के अधीन अपराध ।

(ख) ऊपर वर्णित एक या अधिक अपराधों के संबंध में या उनसे संसक्त प्रयत्न, दुष्प्रेरण और षड्यंत्र तथा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उत्पन्न होने वाले एक ही संयोजन के अनुक्रम में किया गया या किए गए कोई अन्य अपराध ।

परन्तु यह अधिसूचना असम सरकार के कामकाज से संबंधित मामलों और साथ ही असम सरकार के कामकाज के लिए कार्यरत अधिकारियों/लोक सेवकों को लागू नहीं होगी ।

[संख्या 228/40/88-ए.बी.जी-II(IV)]

जी. सीतारामन, अवर सचिव

ORDER

S.O. 2407.—In supersession to Department of Personnel and Training order No. 228/40/88-AVD. II dated 6-9-89 and in exercise on the powers conferred by sub section (1) of the section 5 read with section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946) the Central Government with the consent of the State Government of Assam (vide consent order No. PLA (V)187/88/36 dated 27-7-89) hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Assam for investigation of offences as mentioned hereunder :—

(a) Offences under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Act No. 49/88)

(b) Attempts, abetments and conspiracies in relation to or in connection with one or more of the offences mentioned above, and any other offence or offences committed in the course of the same transaction arising out of the same facts ;

Provided that this notification will not be applicable in respect of matters concerning the affairs of the Government of Assam and also in respect of officers/Public servant working in connection with the affairs of the Government of Assam.

[No. 228/40/88-AVD. II (IV)]

G. SITARAMAN, Under Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 24 अगस्त, 1990

का. आ. 2408 —राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) योजना, 1970 के खण्ड 9 के उपखण्ड (2) के साथ पठित खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (ख) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा इंडियन बैंक, सदर बाजार, अम्बाला छावनी शाखा, अम्बाला (हरियाणा) के विशेष सहायक श्री राजेन्द्र कुमार गुलाटी को, 24 अगस्त, 1990 से 23 अगस्त, 1993 तक की तीन वर्ष की

अवधि के लिए या जब तक वे इंडियन बैंक में कर्मचारी के रूप में कार्यरत हैं, इनमें से जो भी पहले हो, इंडियन बैंक के निदेशक मण्डल में निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है ।

[सं. एफ 15/1/90-अ.ई. आर.]

MINISTRY OF FINANCE
(Department of Economic Affairs)
(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 24th August, 1990

S.O. 2408.—In pursuance of sub-clause (b) of Clause 3 read with sub-clause (2) of Clause 9, of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Rajinder Kumar Gulati, Special Assistant, Indian Bank, Sadar Bazar, Ambala Cantt. Branch Ambala (Haryana) as a Director on the Board of Directors of Indian Bank with effect from 24th August, 1990 to 23rd August, 1993 for a period of 3 years or until he ceases to be an employee of Indian Bank whichever is earlier.

[No. F. 15/1/90-IR]

का. आ. 2409 —भारतीय स्टेट बैंक (अनुपंगी बैंक) अधिनियम, 1959 (1959 का 38) की धारा 26 की उपधारा (2-क) के साथ पठित धारा 25 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ग क) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा, श्री महेश मिश्र, लिपिक, स्टेट बैंक आफ बीकानेर एण्ड जयपुर, अमेर रोड शाखा, जयपुर को श्री तेजबहादुर राय के स्थान पर, दिनांक 24 अगस्त, 1990 से आरम्भ होने वाली और दिनांक 23 अगस्त, 1993 को समाप्त होने वाली तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए स्टेट बैंक आफ बीकानेर एण्ड जयपुर के कर्मचारियों में से, जो कर्मकार हैं, स्टेट बैंक आफ बीकानेर एण्ड जयपुर के मण्डल में निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है ।

[सं. एफ. 15/7/89-आई आर]

सतपाल भाटिया, अवर सचिव

S.O. 2409.—In pursuance of clause (ca) of sub-section (1) of Section 25 read with sub-section (2-A) of Section 26 of the State Bank of India (Subsidiary Banks) Act, 1959 (38 of 1959), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Mahesh Mishra, Clerk, State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur, Amer Road Branch, Jaipur as a Director on the Board of the State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur from amongst the employees of the State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur, who are workmen, for a period of three years commencing on 24-8-1990 and ending with 23-8-1993 vice Shri Tejbahadur Rai.

[No. F. 15/7/89-IR]

S. P. BHATIA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 24 अगस्त, 1990

का. आ. 2410 —राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) योजना 1970 की धारा 3 की उपधारा (छ) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री आर. वेंकटेशन प्रबन्धक, भारतीय

रिजर्व बैंक, नई दिल्ली की श्री के. पी. कुलकर्णी के स्थान पर एतद्द्वारा पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. एक. 9/9/90-बी ओ-1]

एम. एस. सीतारामन, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th August, 1990

S.O. 2410.—In pursuance of sub-clause (g) of clause 3 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri R. Venkatesan, Manager, Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi as a Director of Punjab National Bank vice Shri K. P. Kulkarni.

[No. F. 9/9/90-BO. I]

M. S. SEETHARAMAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 29 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 2411—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के साथ धड़ित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर यह घोषित करती है कि उपरोक्त अधिनियम की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) के उपबंध दत्तिया जिला सहकारी केन्द्रीय बैंक लि, दत्तिया, मध्य प्रदेश पर इस अधिसूचना के सरकारी राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से लेकर 30 जून, 1991 तक लागू नहीं होंगे।

[फा. सं. 6(1)/90 ए.सी.]

पी. के. तेजयान, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th August, 1990

S.O. 2411.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India declares that the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 11 of the said Act, shall not apply to the Datia Jila Sahakari Kendriya Bank Ltd., Datia, Madhya Pradesh from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette to 30 June, 1991.

[F. No. 6(1)/90-AC]

P. K. TEJYAN, Under Secy.

वाणिज्य मंत्रालय

(मुख्य नियंत्रक आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली 22 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 2412.—मै. हिन्दुस्तान टेलिप्रिन्टर्स लिमिटेड, जी एम टी रोड, गुंडी, मद्रास-600 032 (तमिलनाडु) को जी सी ए

के अंतर्गत सी आर अलॉय स्टील स्ट्रिप और लाइसेंस के साथ संलग्न सूची में वर्णित म्यूजिकल स्टील वायर के आयात के लिए रु. 4,76,850 (सपथे चार लाख, छिहत्तर हजार आठ सौ पचास मात्र) का एक आयात लाइसेंस सं. आई/डी/2276764, दिनांक 28-4-89 दिया गया था।

2. फर्म ने आयात लाइसेंस की दूसरी प्रति जारी करने के लिए इस आधार पर अनुरोध किया है कि मूल आयात लाइसेंस उनसे खो गया है या ग़ुम हो गया है। आगे यह भी बताया गया है कि आयात लाइसेंस किसी भी सीमाशुल्क प्राधिकारी के पास पंजीकृत नहीं कराया गया था।

3. अपने तर्कों के समर्थन में लाइसेंसधारी ने नोटरी पब्लिक मद्रास के समक्ष विधिवत् शपथ लेकर स्टैम्प पेपर पर एक शपथ-पत्र दायित्व किया है। तदनुसार, मैं संतुष्ट हूँ कि फर्म से मूल आयात लाइसेंस सं. आई/डी/2276764, दिनांक 28-4-89 खो गया है या ग़ुम हो गया है। यथा संशोधित आयात (नियंत्रण) आदेश, 1955 दिनांक 7-12-1955 के उपखण्ड 9 (ग ग) के अंतर्गत प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए मै. हिन्दुस्तान टेलिप्रिन्टर्स लिमिटेड मद्रास को 28-4-1989 को जारी किए गए मूल आयात लाइसेंस सं. आई/डी/2276764 एतद्द्वारा रद्द करना हूँ।

4. पार्श्व की आयात लाइसेंस की दूसरी प्रति अलग से जारी की जा रही है।

[सं. मन्त्र/एस-12/28/डीजीटीडी/एम/90-एमएलएस]

दिनांक 22-8-90

से. कुजुर उप मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात
कुंते मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात निर्यात

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE
(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 22nd August, 1990

S.O. 2412.—M/s. Hindustan Teleprinters Ltd., G.S.T. Road, Guindy, Madras-600032 (Tamil Nadu) were granted an import licence No. I[D]2276764 dated 28-4-89 for Rs. 4,76,850/- (Rupees Four lakhs seventy six thousand eight hundred and fifty only) for import of Musical steel wire as per details given in the list and CR Alloy Steel Strips under GCA.

2. The firm has applied for issue of duplicate copies of import licence on the ground that the original import licence has been lost or misplaced. It has further been stated that the import licence was not registered with any Customs Authority.

3. In support of their contention, the licensee has filed an affidavit on stamped paper duly sworn in before a Notary Public Madras. I am accordingly satisfied that the original import licence No. I[D]2276764 dated 28-4-1989 has been lost or misplaced by the firm. In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order 1955 dated 7-12-1955 as amended the said original import licence No. I[D]2276764 dated 28-4-89 issued to M/s. Hindustan Teleprinters Ltd., Madras is hereby cancelled.

4. A duplicate import licence is being issued to the party separately.

[No. Suppl./S-12/28/DGTD/AM-90/SLS]

S. KUJUR, Dy. Chief Controller
of Imports and Exports
for Chief Controller of Imports & Exports

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 30 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 2413—श्री थाकु परमानन्द पुनवानी 2/15 स्नेह हाउसिंग को-ऑपरेटिव सोसायटी, जे.पी. रोड, अंधेरी (पश्चिम) बम्बई-400058 को एक जापान होंडा सेलून 4 डी एम एन एल एस फ्रेम नं. सी ए 302099, इंजिन नं. 4001634 के आयात के लिए 15,000, रु. एक लाख पचास हजार रुपये मात्र) के लिए सीमा-शुल्क निकासी परमिट नं. पी/जे/3079237 दिनांक 11-9-89 दिया गया था। अब भारत में स्थायी रूप से आने के लिए भारत में उसके निर्धारित आगमन में परिवर्तन होने के कारण उसने अपने आवेदन के निरस्तकरण के लिए आवेदन किया है। उसने उस बॉन का अनुमान लगाया है कि सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट उसके नाम से जारी नहीं किया गया है, जबकि उपर्युक्त सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट जारी करने के बाद उसके भारतीय पते पर भेजा जा चुका था।

इस स्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए यह निर्णय लिया गया है कि सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट मूल रूप से ही रद्द कर दिया जाए। समय-समय पर यथामंशोधित आयात

(नियंत्रण) आदेश, 1955 दिनांक 7-12-55 की उपधारा 9 (1) (ग) के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्री थाकु परमानन्द पुनवानी को जारी किए गये उक्त मूल सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट नं. पी/जे/3079237 दिनांक 11-9-89 को एनद्दारा मूल रूप से रद्द किया जाता है।

[फा.स ए/पी-19/89-90/बी एन एस]

माया दे. केम, उप मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात,
कृत मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात

ORDER

New Delhi, the 30th August, 1990

S.O. 2413.—Mr. Thaku Parmanand Punwani, 2/15, Snch Housing Co-operative Society, J.P. Road, Andheri (West), Bombay-400058 was granted a Custom Clearance Permit P/J/3079237 dated 11-9-89 for Rs. 1,95,000 (One lakh and ninety five thousand only) for import of one Japan Honda Saloon 4D MNL SR Frame No. CA30 2099, Engine No. 4001634. Now he was requested to cancel his application due to change of his scheduled departure to India for permanent settlement, assuming that CCP has not yet been issued to him though, the above CCP was issued and despatched to his given address in India.

Keeping in view the the circumstances, it has been decided to cancel the CCP ab initio. In exercise of the powers conferred under Sub-clause 9(1)(d) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955, dated 7-12-1955 as amended from time to time, the said original CCP No. P/J/3079237 dated 11-9-89 issued to Mr. Thaku Parmanand Punwani is hereby cancelled ab initio.

[F. No. A/P-19/89 90/BLS]

MAYA D. KEM, Dy. Chief Controller of
Imports and Exports
for Chief Controller of Imports and Exports

खाद्य एवं नागरिक पूर्ति मंत्रालय

(नागरिक पूर्ति विभाग)

भारतीय मानक धरो

नई दिल्ली, 20 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 2414—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में एनद्दारा अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि जिन भारतीय मानकों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे रद्द कर दिए गए हैं और निर्यात हैं।

अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	रद्द किए गए मानक की संख्या और वर्ष	जिस राजपत्र अधिसूचना में भारतीय मानक की स्थापना की गई थी, उसकी का. अ. संख्या और तिथि	टिप्पणी
1.	IS: 1947-1980	का.आ. 219 दिनांक 1983-12-27 को भारत के राजपत्र इस मानक की अधिसूचना: 10322 (भाग 5/भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (2) में दिनांक 1984-01-21 खंड 5)-1987 में सम्मिलित हो जाने के कारण	
2.	IS: 2149-1970	का.आ. 3542 दिनांक 1971-06-15 को भारत के राजपत्र इस मानक की अधिसूचना IS: 10322 (भाग 5/भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (2) में दिनांक 1971-09-05 खंड 3) 1937 में सम्मिलित हो जाने के कारण	

[नं. के.प्र.वि./13: 7]

MINISTRY OF FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES

(Department of Civil Supplies)

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

New Delhi, the 20th August, 1990

S.O. 2414.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, it is hereby notified that the Indian Standard(s), particulars of which is/are mentioned in the Schedule given hereafter, have been cancelled and stands withdrawn:

SCHEDULE

Sl. No. & Year of the Indian Standard Cancelled	S.O. No. & Date of the Gazette Notification in which Establishment of the Indian Standard was Notified	Remarks
1	2	3
1. IS: 1947—1980	S.O. 219 dated 1983-12-27 published in the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section-3, Sub-section (ii) dated 1984-01-21	Since the requirements of this standard have been covered in IS: 10322 (Part 5/Sec 5)—1987
2. IS: 2149—1970	S.O. 3542 dated 1971-06-15 published in the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section-3, Sub-section (ii) dated 1971-09-15	Since the requirements of this standard have been covered in IS: 10322 (Part 5/Sec 3) 1987

[No. CMD/E 13 : 7]

का.सा. 2415.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के विनियम 5 के उप विनियम (6) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एवम् द्वारा अधिसूचित करती है कि लाइसेंस का विवरण नीचे दिया गया है, वह उसके सामने दी गई तिथि से रद्द कर दिया गया है।

अनुसूची

क.सं.	लाइसेंस संख्या तथा दिनांक	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	रद्द लाइसेंस के अन्तर्गत वस्तु/प्रक्रम तथा सम्बंध भारतीय मानक	रद्द किए जाने का तरीका
1	2	3	4	5
1.	सी एम/एल-1014117	मै. जी. टी. इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स, 7/225, जी. टी. रोड, गुटैया, कानपुर	टोकर के लिए इस्तेमाल की रजो टोपियां IS : 5852-1977	1988-09-15

[सं. के. प्र. वि./55 : 1014117]

S.O. 2415.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (6) of regulation 5 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulation 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the licence(s) particulars of which is/are given below has/have been Cancelled with effect from the date indicated:

SCHEDULE

Licence No. (CM/L—)	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process with relevant Indian Standard covered by the licence cancelled	Date of Cancellation
1	2	3	4
CM/L—1014117	M/s. G.T. Engineering Works, 7/225, G.T. Road, Gutaiya, Kanpur.	Protective steel toe caps	1988-09-15

[No. CMD/55 : 1014117]

का.सा. 2416.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो के नियम, 1987 के नियम 9 के उपनियम (1) के अनुसरण में एतद्वारा अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि का.सा. संख्या 5451 द्वारा दिनांक 1971-12-11 को अधिसूचित IS: 2149-1970 सड़क पर रोशनी के लिए बनी उपकरण की विनिर्दिष्ट (पहला पुनरीक्षण) को मानक मुहर वापस ले लिया गया है।

[सं. के. प्र. वि./13 : 9]

S.O. 2416.—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 9 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, it is hereby notified that the Standard Mark for IS: 2149—1970 Specification for Luminaries for street lighting (First Revision) notified in S.O. 5451 dated 1971-12-11 has been rescinded.

[No. CMD/13 : 9]

का.भा. 2417.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 9 के उपनियम (1) के अनुसरण में एन.डू.द्वारा अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि का. भा. संख्या 423 द्वारा दिनांक 1990-02-17 को अधिसूचित IS : 1947-1980 फ्लड लाइट की विशिष्ट (पहला पुनरीक्षण) का मानक नुहूर वापस ले लिया गया है।

[सं. के. प्र. वि./13 : 2]

S.O. 2417.—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 9 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, it is hereby notified that the Standard Mark for IS : 1947-1980 Specification for Flood lights (First Revision) notified S.O. No. 423 dated 1990-02-17 has been rescinded.

[No. CMD/13 : 9]

नई दिल्ली, 21 अगस्त, 1990

का.भा. 2418.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के विनियम 6 के उपविनियम (3) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक भारो एन.डू.द्वारा नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए उत्पादों का भुक्तान फीस अधिसूचित करना है।

अनुसूची

क्र. सं.	उत्पाद/उत्पाद की श्रेणी	भारतीय मानक की संख्या और वर्ष	इकाई	प्रति इकाई सुचारांकित फीस	लागू होने की तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.	हल्के कम केमोलिन	IS : 505-1978	एक टन	रु. 3.00	1990-04-16
2.	लेपित अधर्षक एमरी कपड़ा, एमरी कागज और प्रिंट कागज	IS : 715 (भाग 1)-1976	एक वर्ग मीटर	एक पैसा	1989-10-01
3.	सजावटी लाइटिंग फाउंटफिट	IS : 5077-1969	एक नमूना	10 पैसे	1989-12-01
4.	650 वो एसी से अधिक वोल्टता के लिए वायु बियोज्य स्विच और पुनः तार लगा सकने वाले फ्यूज की कम्पोजिट इकाइयाँ	IS : 10027-1981	एक स्विच	1. 50 पैसे प्रति इकाई पड़ना 20,000 इकाइयों के लिए 2. 25 पैसे प्रति इकाई 20,001 वीं और अधिक इकाइयों के लिए	1989-04-16

[सं. के. प्र. वि./13 : 10]

New Delhi, the 21st August, 1990

S.O. 2418.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 6 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations, 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby, notifies the marking fee(s) for the products given in the schedule:

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Product Class of Product	No. and year of Indian Standard	Unit	Marking fee per unit	Date of Effect
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.	Light Kaolin	IS : 505-1978	1 Tonne	Rs. 3.00	1990-04-16
2.	Coated abrasive emery cloth emery paper and flint paper	IS : 715 (Part 1)-1976	1 Sq Metre	One Paisa	1989-10-01
3.	Decorative lighting outfits	IS : 5077-1969	One Piece	10 Paise	1989-12-01
4.	Composite units of air-break switches and rewirable type fuses for voltages not exceeding 650 V AC	IS : 10027-1981	One Switch	(i) 50 Paise per unit for the first 20,000 units and (ii) 25 Paise per unit for the 20,001st unit and above.	1990-04-16

[No. CMD/13 : 10]

2419.—भारतीय मानक व्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1938 के विनियम 1 के उपविनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक व्यूरो एनद्वारा प्रमाणित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :

अनुसूची

क्र. सं.	लाइसेंस संख्या और दिनांक	लाइसेंसो के अवधि	लाइसेंसो की का नाम और पता	लाइसेंसो के अंतर्गत वस्तु प्रकार और सम्बन्ध भारतीय मानक
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	सी एम/एल-16991 75 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै. एच एम टी लि., लैम्प डिजाइन एच एम टी डा. हैदराबाद	टंगस्टन हेतु प्रकाश सेवी बिजली के बल्ब, 100 वा तक, 230 वो. और 250 वो, एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बी 22 डी टोपी सहित IS: 418-1978
2.	सी एम/एल-16992 76 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै० हिल्स लैम्प, तहसील शिकोहाबाद, जिला मेरठपुरी	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य सेवा बिजली के बल्ब, 15 वासे 100 वा तक, 230 वो, एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बी 22 डी टोपी सहित IS: 418-1978
3.	सी एम/एल-16993 77 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै. आर्य प्रवेश लाइटिंग लि., आई टी ए बेलरी रोड, अंतनपुर, कार्यालय : 3-5/5/1 पहला तल, राज मोहल्ला, नारायणगुडा, हैदराबाद-500029	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब, 60 वा और 1000 वा, 250 वो कुंडलित कुंडली बी 22 डी टोपी सहित IS: 418-1978
4.	सी एम/एल-16994 78 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै. इंडो फ्रेटम प्रा. लि., एफ-259 रोड न. 13, विश्वकर्मा इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, न. 13, जयपुर	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब 100 वा तक 230 वो., एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बी 22 डी टोपी सहित IS: 418-1978
5.	सी एम/एल-16995 79 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै. पंजाब आनंद लैम्प इंडस्ट्रीज, लि., ए-9 एंड 10 इड. एस्टेट, एस. ए. एस. नगर, मोहाली कार्यालय : 112, 113 एत सी ओ सैक्टर 8-सी मध्य मार्ग, चंडीगढ़ (पंजाब)	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब 25, 40, 60, 75 और 100 वा, 230 वो. एक कुंडली कुंडलित कुंडली बी 22 डी टोपी सहित IS: 418-1978
6.	सी एम/एल-16996 88 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै. इलेक्ट्रिक लैम्प मैग्नेटिकरस (इ.) लि., 1 माराटो रोड, गार्डनरी कलकत्ता-700024	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब 15 से 100 वा., 230 वो, एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बी 120 डी टोपी सहित IS: 418-1978
7.	सी एम/एल-16997 91 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै. एम एंड एम इंडस्ट्रीज, 15/3 जी टी रोड, कुंडली-131028 जिला सोनीपत (हरियाणा)	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब, 60 वा से 100 वा तक, 250 वी, कुंडली/कुंडली बी 22 डी टोपी सहित IS: 418-1978
8.	सी एम/एल-16998 82 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै. इलेक्ट्रिक कम्यूनिशन एंड एक्जुप्लैट क. लि., (यांग विबीजन) दिल्ली रोड, सोनीपत-31001 (हरियाणा)	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब, 100 वा तक, 230 वो, एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बी 22 टोपी सहित— IS: 418-1978
9.	सी एम/एल-16999 83 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै. बिजली रोड इंडिया (इंडिया) प्रा. लि., 70 हैडमीर इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, पुणे-411012 (महाराष्ट्र)	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब, 15 वा से 100 वा, 250 वो. साफ, एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बी 22 डी टोपी सहित IS: 418-1978
10.	सी एम/एल-17000 33 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	मै. बंगाल लैम्प लि., बी जी एच शाह रोड, कलकत्ता कार्यालय : 4 फोरलिस प्लेस, कलकत्ता-700001	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब, 15 वा से 100 वा तक, 230 वो और 250 वो, रेडिंग, साफ, एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बी 22 डी टोपी सहित— IS: 418-1978

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
11. सी एम/एल-17001 34 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै० नागपुर सीमेंट प्रोडक्ट्स प्रा. लि., सी-14 एम आई डी सी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, हिंगण रोड, नागपुर-440028	प्रचलित सीमेंट कंक्रीट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी 2 साइज 900 मिमी तक IS : 458-1971	
12. सी एम/एल-17002 35 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै० भारतीय टेल प्रैमिंग इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं० 9 से 14 कापरेटिव इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, कोपर गांव, जिला अहमदनगर	प्रचलित सीमेंट कंक्रीट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी 2 साइज 500 मिमी से 1200 मिमी तक-- IS : 458-1971	
13. सी एम/एल-17003 36 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै० श्री अंधिया सीमेंट पाइप एंड एलाइड प्रोडक्ट्स, पदाम्बे, तहसील-चिंचलुन जिला रिवाड	प्रचलित सीमेंट कंक्रीट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी 2 साइज 450 तक, 600 और 900 से 1200 मिमी तक IS : 458-1971	
14. सी एम/एल-17004 37 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै० कोनया सीमेंट वस्तु निमित्त सहकारी संस्था लि., क्र. सं. 183, बड़ा मनार रोड, 421303 जिला ठाणे, कार्यालय : 62 शिवाजी नगर, कराड-415110 जिला सातारा	प्रचलित सीमेंट कंक्रीट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी 2 साइज 450 तक और 600 मिमी तक-- IS : 458-1971	
15. सी एम/एल-17005 38 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै० कोनया सीमेंट वस्तु निमित्त सहकारी संस्था लि. कं. सं. 183 बड़ा मनार रोड, 421303 जिला ठाणे कार्यालय : 62 शिवाजी नगर, कराड-415110, जिला सातारा	प्रचलित सीमेंट कंक्रीट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी-2 साइज 700 मिमी के राज IS : 458-1979	
16. सी एम/एल-17006 39 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	वेल् गॉन्ग स्लान पाइप इंडस्ट्रीज, ए-14 एम आई डी सी एरिया, दर्या-442006	प्रचलित सीमेंट कंक्रीट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी-2 साइज 1200 मिमी तक IS : 458-1971	
17. सी एम/एल-17007 40 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	सुपर डायमंड इंडस्ट्रीज सी-55 छाजन, बस्ती पिंगल राया, नई दिल्ली-110046	इस श्रेणी के साथ प्रयुक्त धरेल मैस ब्रह्मा और सी अक्षर मिक्चर/कोमिक्स सेविंग बॉक्स, 1635 कि/घं. और 2065 कि/घं. रेटिंग के पारम्परिक बर्नर सहित दो बर्नर बॉक्स, कुछ नैन और 319 गैस घं.-- IS : 424-1984	
18. सी एम/एल-17008 41 1987-07-01	1987-06-16	मै० फीक पावर मोटर्स, 334-ब, जीनापुर, महरोली, नई दिल्ली-110030	प्रणाली टाइप ए सी सावसी पंखे साइज 300, 380 और 380 मिमी श्रेणी ई रोशन मॉडल, बिना गति रेगुलेटर के-- IS : 2312-1967	
19. सी एम/एल-17009 42 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै० अतिन अलाज एंड रीरोलिंग मिक्स प्रा. लि., सी-1 एम आई डी सी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया कमलेश्वर, नागपुर, कार्यालय : पहगा हल, सुनील भवन, 37 सेन्ट्रल एवेन्यू, नागपुर-440018	कंक्रीट प्रबलन के लिए उच्च सामर्थ्य इस्पात के विह्वल सॉफ्ट और हार्ड-- IS : 1786-1985	
20. सी एम/एल-17010 35 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै० ईस्टेब्लिश एंड केमिकल्स एंड मिनेरल्स लि., प्लॉट नं० 3-8 एन पी, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, एम्बाथूर, मद्रास-600098	फास्कोविज्ञान 85 प्रतिशत जल विनियम साइज-- IS : 6177-1981	
21. सी एम/एल-17011 36 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै० सीदम सीमेंट इंडस्ट्रीज प्रा. लि., ग्राम अडकी, सोवम तालुक जिला गुलबर्गा कार्यालय : हुनुमातवाड रोड गुलबर्गा-585104	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट-- IS : 269-1976	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
22. सी एम/एल-17012 37 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै. मैटकेर इंस्ट्रोज वेन वाता: कुर्वा बम्बई-400003 कार्यालय : 272/1 यूसुक, महरोली रोड, बम्बई-400070	शिरोपरि प्रवेश कार्यों के लिए 7 लड़काने एल्यूमिनियम चालक इस्पात प्रवर्तित-- IS : 398 (भाग 2)-1976	
23. सी एम/एल-1701338 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै. जे. एस. कारपोरेशन, एच-72, एम आई डी सी, फेज III, अकोना, कार्यालय : मंगलनाथ मार्केट, अकोना-440001	बनस्पति और खाद्य तेलों के लिए 15 किग्रा के बोकीर कनस्तर-- IS : 10325-1982	
24. सी एम/एल 1704-39 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	ए पी इंस्ट्रोज पटानकोट रोड, मंगलनाथ, जम्मू (जम्मू एवं कश्मीर)	बनस्पति और खाद्य तेलों के लिए 15 किग्रा में बोकीर कनस्तर-- IS : 10325-1982	
25. सी एम/एल-170 1540 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै. राठी आयल प्रा. लि., इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, ए बी रोड, मुरैना-476001 कार्यालय : राठी गार्डन, मुरैना-476001	सरसों का तेल, संघोदित ट्राइप ग्रेड-रिफाइन-- IS : 546-1975	
26. सी एम/एल-17016 41 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै. सन इंस्ट्रोज, 207 उद्योग भवन, शर्मा इंस्ट्रियल एस्टेट गिरे गाँव (पू.) बम्बई-400063	तेल बाय प्रोड के लिए बर्नर, और वाला ट्राइप पकनाम-- IS : 8808-1986	
27. सी एम/एल-17017 42 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	मै. यूनाइटेड इंडिया मैफीरीनो, 131 निरबी रोड गोवा पाडी, मुम्बई गुल-624310 तमिलनाडु, कार्यालय : 377 सुवर्णाशयण स्ट्रीट, मद्रास-600001	बर्मीसैली (छोटी और लम्बी)-- IS : 1495-1976	
28. सी एम/एल-17018 43 1987-06-01	1987-06-16	मै. भूपेन्द्र इंस्ट्रोज, हाउस नं. 281, ग्राम मुन्डका बिल्ली-110041	स्विच साइट साइटलेट, 15 एम् 250 वो., ए सी फ्लेम ट्राइप (गैर गटर थिन, नॉन इन्टरला क्रिग)-- IS : 4615-1968	
29. सी एम/एल-17019 44 1987-06-01	1987-06-16	मै. वेल्डन इंस्ट्रोज, 9125 बाजार मार्ग, शिवनगर, माहदरा, दिल्ली-110032	1100 वो तक कार्यकारी बोल्डता के लिए स्थिर छांबी हेतु एल्यूमिनियम और तांबा आलकी सहित खोलदार/खोलरहित पी बी सी रोहित केबल, 1100 बोल्ड तक बाहरी और अत्यन्त उपयोग सहित-- IS : 694-1977	
30. सी एम/एल-1707020 37 1987-06-01	1987-06-16	मै. बी एस टी लि. गतौर जिला सीनीपत कार्यालय : हजाराबाद बैंक, 17 संसद मार्ग नई दिल्ली-110001	मांत्रिक और इंजीनियरी प्रयोजनों के लिए इस्पात के पाइप ग्रेड ई प्रार उच्च 160 साइड 219.1 मिमी से अधिक IS : 3601-1984	
31. सी एम/एल-17021 38 1987-06-01	1987-06-01	मै. वेबो वेडन बागा नं. 94 करावल नगर, दिल्ली-110094	बॉय गैस के मोमेंट रोगन IS : 5410-1969	
32. सी एम/एल-17022 39 1987-06-01	1987-06-16	मै. प्रेसो डेन्ट इंस्ट्रोज, सी-1/30/53 III फेज जी एस आई डी सी एस्टेट, नरोड़ा, प्रहमदाबाद	वायरम 75 प्र. जल विद्युतीय पाउंडर-- IS : 4766-1982	
33. सी एम/एल-17023 40 1987-06-02	1987-06-01	मै. सनफेस डोमोस्टिक एंजलाइसेज प्रा. लि., बो 41 सैक्टर 9, नोएडा गाजियाबाद	इ पी गैस के साथ प्रयुक्त बरेल गैस चूल्हा सोलारस चदूर निकिल/कोमियम लेपित छांका और डेटेल्स इस्पात छांका, 1688 कि की/घ. और 2010 कि की/घ. रेटिंग के इसका लोहे के पारम्परिक बर्नरों सहित दो बर्नर वाला चूल्हा कुल गैस खपत 340 घा/घ. IS : 4246-1984	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
34. सी एम/एल-17021 41 1987-06-02	1987-06-01	मै. सनक्लेम डोमोस्टिक एप्लाइडेज प्रा. लि., बो 41 सैक्टर 9, नोएडा गजियाबाद	ब्र पैंस के साथ प्रयुक्त घरेलू कुँडला रेंज सीधारासो चदर निहित कोनित कोनित नेति (ऊतरी सतह) बांथा 1688 कि कै/घ. और 2010 कि कै/घ. रेटिंग के दो पारमरिक बर्तन और 1680 कि कै/घ. रेटिंग का मिलर सहित कुल गैस खपत 495 गा/घ. IS : 4760--1979	
35. सी एम/एल-17025 42 1987-06-02	1987-06-16	मै. लभिका इंडस्ट्रीज, टी-540, मालवीयनगर नई दिल्ली-110017	प्रगोदक टाइप एमो संवातो पंखे, एक फेज 450 मिसो, थ्रेणो ई रोवन बिना रेगुलेटर के IS : 2312--1976	
36. सी एम/एल-17026 43 1987-06-02	1987-06-16	मै. प्रिसोजन इलेक्ट्रिकल्स एंड इलेक्ट्रानिक्स प्रा. लि., 33-बो, लक्ष्मीबाई नगर, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट फोर्ट, इन्दौर 42006	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब, 25 से 100 वा/230 वो जो एल एम बल्ब और 40 वा/250 वो, क एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बो 22 डी टोपी सहित IS : 418--1978	
37. सी एम/एल-17027 44 1987-06-02	1987-06-01	मै. केरल इलेक्ट्रिकल नैम्स बक्स लि, ठा-ग्रठानी जिला-एनकुलम-683585 (केरल)	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब 25 वा से 100 वा, 230 वो, एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बो 22 डी टोपी सहित IS : 418--1978	
38. सी एम/एल-17028 45 1987-06-03	1987-06-01	मै. पीको इलेक्ट्रानिक्स एंड इलेक्ट्रिकल्स लि., 3 एम भाई डी सी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, ठाणे-400601	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब 25 वा से 100 वा, 230 वो, एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बो 22 डी टोपी सहित IS : 418--1978	
39. सी एम/एल-17029 46 1987-06-03	1987-06-01	ओमवाल एस्ट्रेट्राइजे प्रा. लि. सी-47, सैक्टर 6 नोएडा 201301	टंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा बल्ब, 60 वा बा से अधिक और 100 वा तक, 250 वो, एक कुंडली/कुंडलित कुंडली बो 22 डी टोपी सहित IS : 418--1978	
40. सी एम/एल-17030 39 1987-06-03	1987-06-01	मै. अमेरिकन रेफ्रिजरेटर कं. लि. ब्लाक ए, हाइड रोड, किडरपोर कलकत्ता-700093 कार्यालय : 13 सी स्लम स्ट्रीट कलकत्ता पिन-700071	कक्ष एयर कंडीशनर 3.5 कि वा और 4.25 कि बो क्षमता IS : 1391--1971	
41. सी एम/एल-17031 40 1987-06-04	1987-06-16	मै. बोल्टास लि., 2 मरा पोखरा रोड ठाणे (कार्या : 19 जे. एन. हरिधामार्ग, बोल्टाड एस्टेट बम्बई-400038	कक्ष एयर कंडीशनर 1 टन और 1.5 टन क्षमता 13000 कि कै/घ. और 4500 कि कै/घ. IS : 1391--1971	
42. सी एम/एल-17032 41 1987-06-04	1987-06-16	मै. सूर्या डॉ मेसिक एप्लाइडेज, 10 एन टी एम, सोनाडिपो याड अन्नोपुर, कलकत्ता-700027 (प. ब.) (कार्या : 10-ए जेकमन लेन कलकत्ता-700001	घरेलू प्रेशर कुकर, 5 लिटर क्षमता IS : 2348--1974	
43. सी एम/एल-17033 42 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. हरियाणा पेस्टोमाइड्स, मन्दौर, ग्रामाला शहर	मैनाडियान जल वितरण के बूज 25 प्र० IS : 2569--1978	
44. सी एम/एल-17034 43 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. गुनिथमैन मशीन्स लि., 220 ए-वास्तकारवाड़ा रोड पुनरी हाथड़ा (प. ब.) कार्या : 90 स्टीफन हाउस, 4 वीवीडी बाग पूर्व, 5 वा, तन, कलकत्ता-700001	पियुन रॉपिंग केरवी के एडुनिविरा चारकों हेतु संयोजन टाइपनिकाकार टनितन पदनाम : सी सी ए-4 से सी सी ए-400 तक IS : 8309--1976	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)																														
45. सी एम/एल-17035 44 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. दिनेश सोमर उद्योग (प्रा.) लि., खोबली रोड भाटा पाड़ा, जिला रायपुर- रायपुर-493118 कार्या: जवाहर कॉन्ट, कैम्प 2, मि लार्ई पिन-490001, जिला रायपुर	न पारग पोर्टेबल पॉइंट IS : 269--1976																															
46. सी एम/एल-17036 45 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. प्रमन इलेक्ट्रिक वक्स' 1/4683 बलबीर नगर, एयरपोर्ट रोड, ग्राह्वारा, दिल्ली-110032	प्रणोदक टाइप सी संवाती पंखे साइज 300 मिमी और 450 मिमी श्रेणी ई रोधन सहित, बिना गति रेगुलेटर के IS : 2312--1967																															
47. सी एम/एल-17037 46 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. एक जापो लि, पावानं. 211 एल. बी. शास्त्री मार्ग ठाणे-400002 (महाराष्ट्र) कार्या: 9, पैलेस स्ट्रीट, बम्बई	बहु जंतिम ऊर्जा प्रूप। IS : 8183--1976																															
48. सी एम/एल-17038 47 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. सैन्ट्रल एरिफान्ट एरॉ कोका पॉर्लिटिंग एवं प्रेसिंग कॉप लि., बोरली रोड, ए पी एम सी पॉर्ड के पास, सागर-577401, मिसोरा जिला (कर्नाटक)	कवर सक्केट, तापमान की श्रेष्ठ IS : 261--1982																															
49. सी एम/एल-17039 48 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. सीलेन्ड्र स्टोल प्रा. लि., 46-57 एम आई सी सो एरिया रोड 8 सातपुर नासिक-422007	प्रशोत पोमेंट कंक्रीट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी 2 साइज 1200 मिमी श्रेणी एन पी 3 साइज 1200 मिमी IS : 458--1971																															
50. सी एम/एल-17040 41 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. पुनर्नवन इंडस्ट्रीज, कोकला, अहमदाबाद] (कार्या: कैपिटल, कमनियल सेन्टर, आश्रम रोड अहमदाबाद-380009)	निम्न रेटिंग के माफ डब्ले ताजे पानी के लिए निमज्जक पम्पसेट माइक चरण पी पो 6 सी 3 2830 बोर × माउट डब्ले साइज मिमी } 250 × 75 इयूटी पॉइंट																															
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>उद्योग</th> <th>निर्माण</th> <th>समय</th> <th>पावर</th> <th>मॉटर रेटिंग</th> <th>क्रिया 3.7</th> </tr> <tr> <th>मी</th> <th>निर्माण</th> <th>वर्ष</th> <th>निर्माण</th> <th>क्रिया</th> <th>मॉटर टाइम बेट</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>19.5</td> <td>11.25</td> <td>46</td> <td>4.65</td> <td>प्रेरण, 50</td> <td>हर्ट्ज स्विच</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5"></td> <td>इयूटी 415 वा. 3, 2 टूट</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5"></td> <td>8034--1976</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					उद्योग	निर्माण	समय	पावर	मॉटर रेटिंग	क्रिया 3.7	मी	निर्माण	वर्ष	निर्माण	क्रिया	मॉटर टाइम बेट	19.5	11.25	46	4.65	प्रेरण, 50	हर्ट्ज स्विच						इयूटी 415 वा. 3, 2 टूट						8034--1976
उद्योग	निर्माण	समय	पावर	मॉटर रेटिंग	क्रिया 3.7																													
मी	निर्माण	वर्ष	निर्माण	क्रिया	मॉटर टाइम बेट																													
19.5	11.25	46	4.65	प्रेरण, 50	हर्ट्ज स्विच																													
					इयूटी 415 वा. 3, 2 टूट																													
					8034--1976																													
51. सी एम/एल-17041 42 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. विवर्धन कंक्रिट प्रोजेक्ट प्रा. लि., ताडाली रेलवे स्टेशन के पास, चन्द्रपुर, नागपुर रोड, साडाली जिला-चन्द्रपुर कार्या: 7/10 बोयबासा बिलडिंग, पहला तल हानिकन सिकल, फोर्ट, बम्बई-400023	प्रबलित सीमेंट कंक्रिट श्रेणी एन पी 2 साइज 300 और 600 मिमी श्रेणी एन पी 3 साइज 600 मिमी IS : 458--1971																															
52. सी एम/एल-17042 43 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. गुरुपाल इंडस्ट्रीज, ज एन 38/1-एन कोपी नगर, पु, दिल्ली-110051	इस्पात के बज्जे, टाइप मध्यम भार सभी साइज IS : 1341--1981																															
53. सी एम/एल-17043 44 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. पेस्टो कैम इंडिया, 320 कराबल नगर इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया दिल्ली-110094 (कार्या: 466-67 गली सेलियल, नाथली सिनेमा के पीछे दिल्ली-110006)	मैलाधियल 50% भुरकन चूर्ण IS : 2568--1978																															

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
54. सी एम/एल-17044 45 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	मै. गोयनका इंस्ट्रूज, एफ-374 रोड नं. 9 एफ बी के आई एरिया जयपुर-302113	एथोमल्फान पायमनीय मांद्र 35% IS: 4323--1980	
55. सी एम/एल-17045 46 1987-06-08	1987-06-06	मै. गोयनका इंस्ट्रूज, एफ-374 रोड नं. 9 एफ बी के आई एरिया जयपुर-302113	बो एन सी 50% जगविरणीय पूर्ण 6.5 ग्राम आइसोमर IS: 562--1978	
56. सी एम/एल-17046 47 1987-06-08	1987-06-08	मै. रोज हंजन मैम्फोस्करम, 1 मवाडी प्लाट राजकोट, पिन-360004	एक निवृत्त अलणीतिव, चार स्ट्रोक का निम्न रेटिंग का डोजल हंजन IS: 10001--1981	
			क्रम टाइप/ उच्छ्वासर/ धातुगुट/ सं. माडल क्षेतिज (अ) क्षिप्य (एच पी)	
			1. टी आर बी ऊ 5.9	
			2. " ऊ 4.4	
			रेटिंग गति गवर्निंग की यांत्रिक दक्षता	
			अप्रति क्षेपी घोषित (घ)	
			परकल्पित (प)	
			850 बी 80% (घ)	
			650 बी 80% (घ)	
57. सी एम/एल-17047 48 1987-06-10	1987-06-16	इंडियन प्लास्टिक लेमिनेटेड लि. डी-44 इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया मेरठ रोड गाजियाबाद (उ. प्र.)	जल आपूर्ति के लिए विद्युत सीमेंट जोड़ सहित इंजेक्शन संचकित पी बी सी साकेट फिटिंग-- एलबी 63 मिमी से 110 मिमी तक श्रेणी 3 तक (0.6 में पास्क) टी: 63 मिमी से 110 मिमी तक श्रेणी तक (0.6 में पास्क) साकेट 63 मिमी श्रेणी 3 तक IS: 7834 (भाग 3, 4, 5)--1975	
58. सी एम/एल-17048 49 1987-06-10	1987-06-16	मै. इंडियन प्लास्टिक एंड लेमिनेटेड लि., डी 44 इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, मेरठ रोड, गाजियाबाद (उ. प्र.)	जल आपूर्ति के लिए संविरचित पी सी सी फिटिंग (1) साकेट श्रेणी 2, 110 मिमी तक सभी साइज, 160 मिमी और 200 मिमी (2) स्टेट रिह्यूमर श्रेणी 2 साइज 110 90 मिमी और 160 140 मिमी, 250 मिमी तक (3) बैन्ड 90°, श्रेणी 2 सभी साइज (4) बैन्ड 60°, 250 मिमी तक सभी साइज (5) बैन्ड 40°, " " " (6) बैन्ड 30°, " " " (7) बैन्ड 22-1/2 " " " (8) बैन्ड 11 1/2 " " " IS: 10124 (भाग 1 से 3 तक) और IS: 1024 (भाग 5 से 13 तक)--1982	
59. सी एम/एल-17049 50 1987-06-10	1987-06-16	मै. भूपेन्द्र, इंस्ट्रूज हाउस नं. 23, मुडका गांधी विल्ली-110041	तेन पिन साकेट धातुवेड, 5 एच और 15 एच, 250 बी, ए सी फ्लय टाइप (वीर शटर वा-) IS: 293--1967	
60. सी एम/एल-17050 43 1987-06-10	1987-06-16	हाक्सि सीमेंट प्रा. लि., एफ-8 एम आई डीपी एरिया अमरावती-444601 (कार्या: फोर्टन मार्केट रोड, अमरावती-444601)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट IS: 269--1976	
61. सी एम/एल-17051 44 1987-06-12	1987-07-01	मै. भावना इंस्ट्रूज, 8 इंडस्ट्रियल इक्वपमेंट कालोनी, कुंजपुरा रोड, थरनाल-132001 (हरियाणा)	निम्नलिखित विवरण वाले रोगन किया कलवां जोड़े के टांचे का गोवर रैम बूल्हा 1. मा ता दा पर 450 लि/घरेटिंग के छनवां लोहे के धर्नेर सहित दो बर्नेर वाला बूल्हा 2. मा ता दा पर 450 लि/घ. रेटिंग के कलवां लोहे के बर्नेर सहित एक बर्नेर वाला बूल्हा	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
62. सी एम/एल-17052 45 1987-06-13	1987-06-01	मै. बंगाल लैम्पस लि, पुराना मद्रास रोड (20 वां कि मी) बंगलौर	दंगस्टन तंतु सामान्य प्रकाश सेवा लैम्प 15 वा मं. 100 वां, 230 वां एक कुंडली कुंडलित कुंडली बी 27 बी टोपी सहित	
63. सी एम/एल-17053 46 1987-06-13	1987-06-01	मै. डीगरा इंडस्ट्रीज, 207 उद्योग विहार, गुडगांव-122016 (हरियाणा)	पैराफिन मोम, टाइप 3 IS : 4654--1974	
64. सी एम/एल-17054 47 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. क्रिस्टल इंडस्ट्रीज, जीटी रोड, पठानकोट चौक के पास जालन्धर-144004 (पंजाब)	धातवर्धन बनवा लोहे की पाइप फिटिंग 1. सामान टी 2. एलबी 3. यूनिजन 4. साकेट साइज पदनाम 2 IS : 1879--1975	
65. सी एम/एल-17055 43 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. गुप्ता केमिकल्स (प्रा.) लि., बी-144, रोड नं. 9, बी के आई एरिया, जयपुर-302013 (कार्या: पहला तल भकुमारिया बिल्डिंग, लि पोलिया बाजार जयपुर)	गंधोक्षकान पायसनीय सांद्र 35% IS : 4333--1980	
66. सी एम/एल-17056 49 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. के. पी. एन्टरप्राइजेज, 37 एक बेलाबेरी रोड विजयनगर बेलाबेरी मद्रास-600047) (कार्या: 15 कन्हैया नायडू स्ट्रीट टी नगर, मद्रास-600017)	मैलायियान 50% पायसनीय सांद्र IS : 2567--1968	
67. सी एम/एल-17057 50 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. भागलपुर वाम रिफाईनिंग इंडस्ट्रीज द्वारा श्री हनुमान मिल्स कालीघाट रोड, श्रेलगांव-813203 जिला-भागलपुर (बिहार)	पैराफिन मोम, टाइप 3 IS : 4654--1974	
68. सी एम/एल-17058 51 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. श्रुतिका वैक्स इंडस्ट्रीज, मेरठ रोड ग्राम श्रेलपुरा, करनाल-132001 (हरियाणा)	पैराफिन मोम, टाइप 3 IS : 4654--1974	
69. सी एम/एल-17058 52 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. निम्मी कैम्प, 12-28-24 बास तेखरी दिधि श्यामल बास, आश्रमहरम गेट-522001 कार्या: 19-1-3 सेनगाडिगुन्टा, गोलसुनाभाबी स्ट्रीट गेट-522003	द्रव शैम्पू संग्रहित डिजेंट आधारित IS : 7884--1978	
70. सी एम/एल-17059 52 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	वि फोनिक्स प्रायल कं. (इंडिया) प्रा. लि., 488 मीरपुर केन्ट कानपुर (उ. प्र.) कार्या: क.नं. 27-28 मोतीमन कलक्टर गंज, कानपुर-208001	पैराफिन मोम, टाइप 3 IS : 4654--1974	
71. सी एम/एल-17061 46 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. इंडियन इंडोनास इंडस्ट्रीज 1/1/बी/14 रामकृष्णनस्कर लेन कलकत्ता-700010 (प. सं.)	रोगन और बार्निश करने के लिये चपटे ब्रुश साइज 25 मिमी, 38 मिमी 50 मिमी, 63 मिमी, 75 मिमी और 100 मिमी IS : 384-1979	
72. सी एम/एल-17068 47 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. मान मैरटो एम्बार्सेज लि., पी-23, ट्रामपोर्ट डिपो रोड, कलकत्ता-700088 (प. सं.)	सूक्ष्म मोपेन माफी (इलेक्ट्रिकल टाइप) टाइप/ केवल IS : 9937-1981	
73. सी एम/एल-17063 48 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. इयूरोपेलीरोन प्रा. लि. अकबागो, विष्णुपुर-743503 24 परगना (दक्षिण) (प. सं.)	द्रव गैस के लिये रजिस्ट्री सभ्य नलियां, सांकेतिक प्रान्तरिक व्यास 6.4 मिमी IS : 10908-1984	
74. सी एम/एल-17064 48 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. निगित इंडस्ट्रीज, 29 बाघानी इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट एल. बी. एस. घाटोपर (प)	सुबाह्य अग्नि शामक, शुष्क बूझ टाइप, क्षमता किग्रा और 10 किग्रा IS : 2171-1976	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
75. सी.एम./एल-17065 49 1987-06-10	1987-07-01	मै. आस्ट्रन प्लास्टिक्स प्रा. लि., प्लाट नं. एफ-5 स्मिथोर्ट इंडस्ट्रियल कामप्लेक्स घुमिडीपोली- 601201, बंगलूर-560048 (कार्या. 16 कन्हैया स्ट्रीट टी नगर मद्रास)	कांच रेखा प्रबलित पॉलीएस्टर रैशिम (ओ.आर.पी.) स्वचालित पान, वीर कान्ना टाइप, लंपी इन संवर्धित IS : 11246-1993			
76. सी.एम./एल-17066 51 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. बालेथ कास केटेड कार्ड्स (प्रा.) लि., प्लाट नं. 166, फेज 8, एस आई डी सी ओ इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट एम्मादूर, मद्रास 600098 (कार्या. नं. 3 पहली स्ट्रीट घन्टा यू.आर.पी. नगर मद्रास-600085)	व्यापारिक उच्च विस्फोटक के लिये नासीदार रेखा बोर्ड के वाक्स, 5 प्लॉई IS : 10212 (भाग)-1986			
77. सी.एम./एल-17067 52 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	कन्नापोथन स्टील रिरोलिंग मिल्स 33411-10 मेट्टुपलायम, रोड कार्या. 17/18 मिल रोड कोयम्बतूर-641001	संरचना इस्पात (वाधारण किस्म) टाइप 1 एंगल IS : 1077-1978			
78. सी.एम./एल-17068 53 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. मारुथि इलेक्ट्रोड्स प्रा. लि., नं. 12 डॉनेनक कुंड इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, 2 फेज, बंगलूर-560048 (कार्या. 19/7 मारुथि मेनशन, कन्निन रोड, बंगलूर-560048)	सड़क के धलावा अन्य उत्पादन के लिये संरचना इस्पात के धातु धाक वेल्डिंग हेतु आयरन इलेक्ट्रोड ब्रांड मैन्थी 6013 प्लाम " 6013, — " " — SI : 814 (भाग 1)-1974			
79. सी.एम./एल-17069 54 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. भारती फायर इंजीनियर्स, 3/6 अन्तुपम इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, एन. बी. एस. मार्ग, रैली बिल्डिंग के सामने, मुमुन्ध (व) बम्बई-400080	भाग टाइप अग्नि शामक के लिये रिक्ति, 8 लिटर क्षमता IS : 5990 (भाग 2)-1977			
80. सी.एम./एल-17070 47 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. निशिन इंडस्ट्रीज, 29 बाधानी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, लाल बहादुर शास्त्री मार्ग, घाटकोपर (प) बम्बई-400096	सुवाण अग्नि शामक, जल टाइप (गैस दाब) 9 लिटर क्षमता IS : 940-1976			
81. सी.एम./एल-17071 48 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. उपज इंजीनियरिंग प्रा. लि., जो आई डी सी के साथ, प्लाट नं. 2, नरोडा इ.ई.के. के ऊपर इंडियन अफ्सोशन लि. के पास, उदय, थामीड, ब.द पिन-382415	साफ, ठंडे, ताजे पानी के लिये सिमन रेडिंग के निमज्ज्य पम्प IS : 8034-1976			
		क्रम सं.	पम्प का मा.डल	घरण	घोर और	गति च. प्र. मि.
					आऊटलेट साइज	
		1.	1515	10	150 × 50	2820
		2	2011	3	200 × 125	2820
इयूटी प्लॉईट		इयूटीयप्लॉईट		मोटर		
विकास (लि. प्रमि.)	उठाव (मी.)	क्षमता (%)	निवेश (किवा)	किवा	मोन्टना में परिचालन	धारा
234	23	48.5	7.3	7.5	सर्वो-बो	153 ए
290	50	45	14.3	11.0	सर्वो-बो	130 ए
82. सी.एम./एल-17072 49 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. जैन एन्टरप्राइजेज 1443-44 जाल्सी नगर, दिल्ली-110052	पानी गर्म करने के लिये खनिज भरे बोलदार तापन एलीमेंट, 1.5 किवा और 2.0 किवा रेडिंग IS : 4159-1976			
83. सी.एम./एल-17073 50 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. एम्.के.एस. एसेसरीज, ग्राम्यर श्रीराम रोम रोड, 57 कुमारपलायम, कोयम्बतूर-641006	एक फेज, 3.7 किवा रेडिंग के स्टार्ट और रन मोटर का संघटित, अथवा बीरोघम सहित 1 ध्रुव IS : 998-1979			
84. सी.एम./एल-17074 51 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. हरिवाण्डु इंडस्ट्रियल क., 6-ए, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, हिमालय-125001	वनस्पति और खाद्य तेलों के लिये 15 किवा के कोकोर कनस्तर IS : 10375-1986			

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

85 सी.एम./एल-17075 51

1987-06-13

1987-07-01

मै. एनन ईंडस्ट्रीज नं. 1, एन ईकोकॉप रोड
पोन्किव, कोयम्बतूर

साफ ठंडे ताजे पानी के लिये निम्न रेटिंग के
निमज्ज्य पम्प सेट

पम्प का माडल नं. माइज गति

6/5/5 50 2850

इयूटी पाइंट

उठाव समग्र मोटर

मी दक्षता

: 8034-1976

86 सी.एम./एल-17076 53

1987-06-13

1987-07-01

मै. स्व.मी.कन्टेनर्स प्रा.लि., इ., के.पू.नावलुक
भोर जिल-पुणे (कार्या: नोभिरि, 2421,
भंडारकर इस्टी इयूटी रोड, शिवाजी नगर
पुणे-411004

बंद मुंह वाले बड़े ड्रम, ग्रेड बी

IS : 1783 (भाग 2)-1984

87 सी.एम./एल-17077 51

1987-06-13

1987-07-01

भोरका स्टील, ज़ाक महाविद्यालय, स्टाइट फोल्ड
रोड, बगलीर, कार्या: 3वां तल हटिनाडा,
26/1, लावेला रोड बगलीर

कार्बन इस्पात की तार छड़ के उत्पादन के लिये
इस्पात के इंगट और बिलेट

IS : 8951-1978

88 सी.एम./एल-17078 55

1987-06-13

1987-07-01

कन्नावीरान स्टील रिफोमिंग मिल्स, 334 1-ए,
मेह पलायम रोड, कोयम्बतूर-641029
कार्या: 17 18 मील रोड कोयम्बतूर
पिन-641001

संरचना इस्पात (मानक किस्म) टाइप एंगल

IS : 226-1975

89 सी.एम./एल-17079 56

1987-06-13

1987-07-01

मै. भारती फायर इंजीनियर्स, 3/6 धनुषम
इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, एन बी एच मार्ग रैली
रैली ब्रुक के सामने, मुलुव (ए) इम्बई-400080

सोडा ग्रमल टाइप अग्निशामक के लिये रिफिल, 9
लिटर धारिता

IS : 5490 (भाग 1)-1977

90 सी.एम./एल-17080 57

1987-06-13

1987-07-01

मै. अरोड़ा मैनुफैक्चरिंग क. जी टी रोड,
वाईपास जालंधर-144009

प्रातःवर्धन बलाबर्स पाइप की फिटिंग सामान एलबी,
टी. साफेट और यूनिफन साइज पदनाम 2
रिड्यूसिंग एलबी, टी. साफेट, साइज पदनाम
1×314

IS : 1879-1975

91 सी.एम./एल-17081 59

1987-06-13

1987-07-01

मै. हिन्दुस्तान कार्मिंग क., 24 श्रविकेश घोष
मार्ग सालकिया, हावड़ा-700106

कृषि कार्यों के लिये साफ ठंडे पानी हेतु क्षैतिज
अपकेंद्री पम्प

IS : 6595-1980

इयूटी पाइंट

माइज गति

मिमी × मी अक्षमि

80 × 65 1500

विकास (लिफ्ट) उठाव (मी) दक्षता %

15.5 14 64

रेंज

निवेश किबा विकास निप्रम उठाव

3.7 18.1 10-16

92 सी.एम./एल-17082 51

1987-06-13

1987-07-01

मै. किलास्कर आयल इजीनियर्स लि.
233, लक्ष्मणराव रोड खडकी, पुणे-411003

निम्न रेटिंग के एक सिलिन्डर, जलशीतित, चार स्ट्रोक
इंजन (भाषार सहित)

IS : 1170-1985

क.स. टाइप माडल उद्योग (उ) याउडुट किवा रेटित गति

क्षैतिज (क्ष) (अक्षर शक्ति) अ प्र मि

1. ए बी 1 उ 3.7/5.0 1500

2. ए बी 1 एस आर 3 उ 3.7/5.0 1500

3. टी बी 1 उ 5.02/7.0 1500

4. एस बी 1 एस आर 2 उ 5.2/7.0 1500

5. एस बी 1 उ 5.9/8.0 1800

6. टी बी 110 उ 7.4/100 1500

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
		क्र.सं. टाइप/माडल	उर्ध्वधर (उ)/ क्षितिज (क्ष)	आऊटपुट किलोवाट (अपेक्षित शक्ति)	रेटिंग गति] क्षमता]
		7 भार 11	ऊ	8.8/12.0	1500
		8. भार 11	ऊ	10.3/14.0	1800
		9. टी बी 2	ऊ	10.3/14.0	1500
		10. टी बी 2	ऊ	11.8/16.0	1800
		11. ए बी 47	ऊ	3.7/5.0	1500
		12 ए बी 57	ऊ	8/5.9	1800
			गवर्निंग की श्रेणी	यांत्रिक दक्षता, घोषित (घ) परिकल्पित-ग	एस एक (घोषित) या कि शक्ति,
			बी 1	80(घ)	245
			बी 1	80(घ)	251
			बी 1	80(घ)	251
			बी 1	80(घ)	251
			बी 1	80(घ)	251
			बी 1	80(घ)	251
			बी 1	80(घ)	251
			बी 1	80(घ)	251
			बी 1	80(घ)	251
			बी 1	80(घ)	251
			बी 1	80(घ)	224

93. सी एम/एल-17083 52 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. दामोदर आयरन वर्क्स पो बा. न. 107, पुणे, बंगलौर रोड, बेलगांव-590016	मै आई सेनहोल कवर और फ्रेम, एन बी बीकोर टाइप 455, मिमी साइज की बुहरी सील IS : 1726 (भाग 7) (खंड 2)-1974
94. सी एम/एल-17084 53 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. सुपरजेट फायर एंड सेफ्टी सर्विसेज, गली नं. 4 सतनभाई पिरियेरा कपाउन्ड नेताजी पार्कर मार्ग, असफला, हिमालय सोसाइटी के पास, बटकापर बम्बई पिन-400084 कार्या : 36 परसपैक्ट चेम्बर्स ऐनेक्सी, तीसरा तल, 317 डी एन रोड बम्बई-400001	सुवाहा रासायनिक अग्नि शामक, सोबा ग्राम, टाइप 9 लिटर क्षमता IS : 934-1976
95. सी एम/एल-17085 54	1987-07-01	मै. सुपरजेट फायर एंड सेफ्टी सर्विसेज, गली नं. 4, सतनभाई पिरियेरा कपाउन्ड, नेताजी पार्कर मार्ग, असफला, हिमालय सोसाइटी के पास, बटकापर बम्बई पिन-400084 कार्या : 36 परसपैक्ट चेम्बर्स ऐनेक्सी, तीसरा तल, 317 डी एन रोड बम्बई-400001	सुवाहा रासायनिक अग्नि शामक, भाग टाइप, 9 लिटर क्षमता IS : 933-1976
96. सी एम/एल-17086 55 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. बेन्टवेल कारपोरेशन, 83, बेलीगंज प्लस, कलकत्ता-700019	एक फेजी स्टार्ट प्रेरण रन मोटर का संचालक, 0.37 किलो, श्रेणी बी रोधन सहित IS : 996-1976

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
97. समएम/एल-197087 56 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. हिस्टन मेटन स्टील वर्क्स, 1082 डोडा कालोनी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, जालंधर	धातवर्धक डलवां लोहे की पाइप फिटिंग टी साकेट ओर यूनिफम पदनाम 1×3/4 IS : 1879 --1975	
98. सीएम/एल 17088 57 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. मणीपुर पॉलीपाइप इंडस्ट्रीज मणीपुर- 795002 (कार्यालयकासार, इम्फाल मणीपुर 795001)	पेयजल आपूर्ति, जल-मग्न और औद्योगिक बहि- स्त्रावों के लिए उच्च दबाव वालीपीन के पाइप ग्रेड 4 माइज 37 गिमी से 1100 मिमी IS : 4984—1978	
99. सीएम/एल-17089 58 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	शेडी एंड मोरिन इंजीनियरिंग कं. लि., 4/4 सेनापति भगत मार्ग बम्बई-400013(कार्या- लयी हाउस 12/14 बीर नरोमन रोड फोर्ट बम्बई-600023	हस्तवाहित जंजीर धिरनी पुली बनावट 3 टन क्षमता तक IS : 3832—1971	
100. सीएम/एल-17090 58 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	मै. एग्रो हाइड्रोप्लिक्स (प्रा.) लि., के बी एल, डेवीबाडी रोड, कराड जिला सांकारा	कृषि कार्यों के लिए साफ, ठंडे, ताजे पानी हेतु निम्न रेटिंग के अपकेन्द्री पम्प IS : 6595—1980	
				पम्प माडल -साइज अधिकतम गति प्र मि
				एन डब्ल्यू 2 80×65 1500 इयूटी प्वांइट
				निकास उठाव दक्षता निवेश (लिप्रसे) (मी) (%) कि वा
				16 16 78% 3.7
101. सीएम/एल-17091 52 1987-06-18	1987-07-01	मै. मिस्तल इंडस्ट्रीज, 49.6 मिमी दिल्ली रोहताक रोड, सापला -124501 (हरियाणा)	पैरा फिन मोप टाइप 3 IS : 4654 1974	
102. सीएम/एल-1709253 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. कल्पना इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स, बालाजी दर्शन प्लाट नं. 99, पहला तल, गली नं. 7, पस्तोसमागर चेम्बर, बम्बई-400089	तेलदाब स्टोव के लिए बर्नर, शोर वाला टाइप पदनाम नं. 2 IS : 8808-1986	
103. सीएम/एल-1709354 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. शोभा इंडस्ट्रीज यूनिट नं. 8/19, श्रीवास इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, वेस्टर्न एक्सप्रेस हाइवे गोरेगांव (पू.) बम्बई-400063 (महाराष्ट्र)	तेलदाब स्टोव के लिए बर्नर, शोर वाला टाइप पदनाम नं. 1 IS : 8808-1986	
104. सीएम/एल-1709455 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. प्रीमियर इंडस्ट्रियल कारपोरेशन, करसार मानाबबल, मैयूस्पिल डिस्पेन्सरी से अगला, सेवरी फास रोड बम्बई-400015 (महाराष्ट्र)	तेलदाब स्टोव के लिए बर्नर, शोर वाला टाइप, पदनाम 2 IS : 8808-1986	
105. सी एम/एल-1709556 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. एम बी स्टोर्न प्रोडक्ट्स, मिलन इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, जैकरान मार्ग नूयरा यूनिट नं. 29 काटनभीन बम्बई-400033	तेलदाब स्टोव, पदनाम 1 IS : 1342 1986	
106. सीएम/एल-1709657 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. एम. आर. प्रॉडक्ट्स, 215-ए रंगनवाला कंपाउंड, मौलाना बाबाव रनड, मबीपुरा बम्बई-400008 (महाराष्ट्र)	तेलदाब स्टोव के बर्नर, शोर करने वाला टाइप, पदनाम नं. 2 IS : 8808-1986	
107. सी एम/एल-17097 58 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. पुष्पा इंडस्ट्रीज बीके के सामने नं. 1064, मोटी संकशन उत्थासतंगर पिन-421003 (महाराष्ट्र)	तेलदाब स्टोव बर्नर, शोर करने वाला टाइप पदनाम नं. 2 IS : 8808-1986	
108. सीएम/एल-17098 59 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. सगीरा कॅमीकल इंडस्ट्रीज, 92/2 मंगलागुन्टी ग्रा, बाडिया के पीछे, बंगलोर-560073 (कर्नाटक) कार्या: 18, अरुफ श्री निसगवर स्ट्रीट, बंगलौर-560053	तकनीकी ग्रेड, कापर सल्फेट IS : 261-1982	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
109. सी एम/एल-1709960 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	डा. सम्भारथल सैन्थु. लि., प्लॉट नं. 9, जिक आक्साइड, स्वभासंजीप्लास्टर जी.टी.रोड, भवानी पुर, डा. मंदरा नहसील, बिलहापुर, कानपुर देहात कार्या: 117/एच-2/160 पञ्चनगर कानपुर	IS : 4717-1980	
110. सीएम/एल-17109 36 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. हातिम एंड कं., 234 मौलाना आजाद रोड, नगरी कंपाउंड मदनपुरा, गली नं. 4 बम्बई-400008 (महा.)	तेलदाब स्टोव पदनाम नं. 1 IS : 1342-1986	
111. सी एम/एल-17101 37 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. निलावर इंडस्ट्रीज प्लॉट नं. 59, गंगाबाई घाट के सामने, नागपुर-440008	पावर शोशर के लिए सुरक्षा अपेक्षा, नुकीली दाने टाइप रेटिंग 1 अक्षमकित केवल IS : 9020-1979	
112. सी एम/एल-17102 38 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. गरी स्टोव्स एंड एप्लाइज, ए-2/4906, जी.आई.डी.डी. प्रमोशनर जि. भरुच (गुजरात)	तेलदाब स्टोव के बनने, शोर वाले टाइप पादनाम नं. 1 और 2 IS : 8808-1986	
113. सी एम/एल-17103 39 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मासथि इलेक्ट्रोड्स प्रा.लि. नं. 12 डोडाना कुन्डी एंड एस्टेट, दुसरा फेज, बंगलौर-560048 (कार्या: 19/7 मासथि मेन्शन, कुरोरगन रोड, बंगलौर-560052	वेल्डिंग ज्वेलरों के लिए संरचना इस्पात की धातु आर्क वेल्डिंग के लिए आवश्यक इलेक्ट्रोड संचितिक भेदन ब्रांड मासथि 6013] वर्गीकरण : ई 317 साइज : 25 और 3.15 मिमी IS : 814 (भाग 2)-1974	
114. सीएम/एल-17104 40 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. सलाखो कंडक्टर्स प्रा.लि., 12 मसात इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया सिल्वास-396230 (दोलरा नगर हवेल) बाया बापा (पं.रे) कार्या: 902 राजेन्द्ररतन महेशनगर, (एम वी रोड, गोरेगांव (पं.) बम्बई-400062	शिरोपरि प्रेषण के एप्युमिनियम चालक 7 लड़ IS : 398 (भाग 1)-1976	
115. सीएम/एल-1710541 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. खालियर बायर प्रोडक्ट्स, 40 इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट खालियर-474002	शिरोपरि प्रेषण के लिए जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रचलित 7 लड़ वाले एप्युमिनियम चालक IS : 398 (भाग 2)-1976	
116. सीएम/एल-1710642 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. सलाखो कंडक्टर्स प्रा.लि., 12 इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, मसात डा. सिल्वास 396230 (दावर नगर हवेली) बाया बापा (पं.रे.)	शिरोपरि प्रेषण के लिए जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रचलित 7 लड़ वाले एप्युमिनियम चालक IS : 398 (भाग 2)-1976	
117. सीएम/एल-1710643 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. सैक्सो इंडस्ट्रीज, ओवरलॉक रोड, मिलर गंज लुधियाना (पंजाब)	निम्न काल घटकोणीय काबले, पेंच और टिबरी (1) काबले साइज एम-16 तक और गुण धर्म श्रेणी 4.6 और 4.8 (2) टिबरी साइज ए-16 तक और गुण धर्म श्रेणी 5 IS : 1363-1984	
118. सी एम/एल-1710744 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. मास प्लास्टिक एंड फिल्म्स प्रा.लि., बम्बई-42 एच नासिक, एडीपनल इंडस्ट्रियल, एरिया, एम आई डी सी एम्बाइ, नासिक-422010, कार्या : 405 बिलेजार्गे संजीवनी हाउसिंग सोसाइटी बिल्डिंग ए-शाहूजी रेट मार्ग, अंधेरी (पूर्व) बम्बई-400069	अप्लास्टीकृत दुढ़ पीवीसी पाइप श्रेणी 1 साइज 125 से 315 मिमी IS : 4985-1981	
119. सी एम/एल-1710945 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. विजय कुमार ब्रह्म, प्लॉट नं. सी-9 बागले इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, रोड नं. 15 ठाणे (कार्या : 28-30 (बडोवा स्ट्रीट) के.एन. मार्ग कार्कट, बम्बई-400009	संरचना इस्पात (साधारण किस्म) टाइप मध्यम बीम केवल IS : 1977-1975	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
120. सीएम/एल-1711038 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	मै. सबराहज बिस्कुट क. लि., पो. बाक्स शोरागांव डा. गोदानगर, कोहाटा (कार्या: राजसिंह प्लेस फेन्सी बाजार, गोहाटी-781001	पतले प्ररापेट बिस्कुट किस्म IS : 1011-1981	
121. सीएम/एल-1711139 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. एबरेस्ट एल्टरप्राइज, एस-121 कस्तूरबाई नगर अनाकागुंथर, मद्रास-600070 (कार्या: 7 बेंकटराय नल्लेन, मद्रास-600003	विद्युत प्रयोजनों के लिए सूती कपड़ों के उपस्तर बाने ग्रामिजी रोधन टेप IS : 2448 (भाग 1)-1963	
122. सीएम/एल-1711240 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. पापुलर एल्टरप्राइज, पुराना नगरबास, भट्टा स्कूल रोड, बिस सोण एंड कैमीकल कंपाउंड (हाइवे के बिल्कुल पाम) अंधेरी (पूर्व) बम्बई-400069	1100 बो तक कार्यकारी घोल्टता के लिए तांबा चालक सहित खोमयाग एक फ्रांड यात्रे पीपीसी रोधिन केवल वाहरी और घल्ल ताप उपयोग केवल को छोड़कर IS : 694-1977	
123. सीएम/एल-1711311 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै श्रीमहावी स्टील रिगोरेलिंग मिग, धरम- कुम्भा, पटना-800007	अर्कट प्रदलन के लिए उच्च सामर्थ्य दरपान के विकृत स्प्रिंग और तार IS : 1786-1985	
124. सीएम/एल-1711442 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. एस. के. इलेक्ट्रोड्स प्रा. लि., 4693 हस्पिटल रोड अटिटा (पञ्जाब)	चक्कर के अलावा संरचना इम्पात के धातु आर्के वैडिंग के लिए आबखिन इलेक्ट्रोड, ग्रुप 1 कोड ई 317412 साइज 5 मिमी तक IS : 814 (भाग 1)-1974	
125. सीएम/एल-1711543 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. जे.डी. जोम्स क. लि., 8 वप्रमेश शोध लेन, शिवपुर इवडा-71109 (कार्या : सी-3/ 1 गिवेन्डर हाउस, नेताजी सुभाष रोड कलकता-700001	खेड पैकिंग एन्वेस्टम ग्रेड 1 और 12 मिमी तक साइज IS : 4687-1980	
126. सीएम/एल-1711644 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. सुपर जेट फायर एंड मेकटी, गली नं. 4 पैरीयर कागडंड, अमल्का, गोविन्दनगर घटकोपर-400084 कार्या: 36 प्रस्फेक्ट वेम्बस एनेक्मी 3 तीसरा तल, 317 डीएन रोड, बम्बई-400001	गुवाइय अग्निशामक शुष्क चूर्ण टाइप धारिता IS : 2171-1976	
127. सीएम/एल-1711745 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	दि आसाम कापरेरिज जूट मिग्स डा. मिल्धार- 782143 जिला नागांव (असम)	अनाज पैकिंग के लिए बी-टिक्म पटसन के बोरे IS : 2566-1984	
128. सीएम/एल-1711846 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. कोर्स (इंडिया) नं. 7 कैनाल स्ट्रीट, कलकता-700014 (पं. बं.)	टाइपराइटर के सनो रिशन टाइप 1 (रिपैकिंग) IS : 4174-1977	
129. सीएम/एल-1711947 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. पेस्टो केम. इंडिया 320 करामनगर, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया दिल्ली-94 (कार्या: 966- 67 लेलियान स्ट्रीट, मायस्टी सिनेमा के पीछे	मिथाइल पैराथियान भरकन चूर्ण 2% IS : 8960-1978	
130. सीएम/एल-1712040 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. फ्रैंक पावर मोटर्स, 334-वी जोनापुर महुरौली नई दिल्ली-110030	एक फेजी, एसी मोटर 93 और 130 वा संचालित थेगा ई रोधित सहित स्टार्ट और रन फेन इयुटी (स्विच प्रविधार) मोटर IS : 996-1979	
131. सीएम/एल-1712141 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. गुप्ता बेकलाइट केम्प्री, 220 गली बेजेण. वरनाथ सज्जी मण्डी, दिल्ली-110007	प्रणोदक टाइप एसी संचाली वंछे एक फेजी 450 मिमी थेगा ई रोधित सहित, बिना गति रेगुलेटर के IS : 2312-1967	
132. सीएम/एल-1712242 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. मैट्रो पोल इंडिया प्रा. लि., 262 फज-III मूठ साबुन ओखला इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट नई दिल्ली- 110020	मूठ साबुन IS : 7532-1974	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
133. सीएम/एल-1712343 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. मफजलर (इंडिया), 220 नामकर पास रोड चौसरी, हावड़ा-711007 (प.ब.) कार्या 10-ए जैक्सन लेन कलकत्ता-700001	प्र पी गै के साथ प्रयुक्त घरेलू गैस बल्ब, स्टेनलेस इस्पात हांचा, 1662 किग्रे/ लि. और 2064 किग्रे/ लि रेटिंग के पारम्परिक अनुर सहित, कुल गैस खपत 342 ग्रा/लि. IS : 4226-1984	
134. सीएम/एल-1712444 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	दि अमान कारोरेटिव जूट मिग लि. पो-सिलघाट-782143	घा ट्रिपल पटनन के बोरे IS : 1943-1964	
135. सीएम/एल 1712545 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. नार्थ इस्ट विस्कुट (प्रा.) लि., जाकध, महेशनाला, बीबीटी रोड, 24 परगना-743552	विस्कुट टो-मो, पक्के, आरारोड, मैरी और गोल्ड IS : 1011-1981	
136. सीएम/एल-1712646 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. निकम स्टोव इंस्ट्रूमेंट, भवन स्टेबिल नं. 2 गली नं. 12 मूसा किवेशर स्ट्रीट, जैकव सर्किल बम्बई-400011 (महाराष्ट्र)	तंत्रशाय स्टोव पदनाम नं. 1 IS : 1342-1986	
137. सीएम/एल-1712747 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. पटेल इंजी. कारपोरेशन, इलाक नं. 6 खेतानी इंडस्ट्रियल प्राइमिजेज, बाजार घाट, कुर्वा, बम्बई-400070	प्र पी गै के साथ प्रयुक्त घरेलू गैस बल्ब, स्टेनलेस इस्पात हांचा, 1876 किग्रे/लि. और 1608 किग्रे/लि. के पारम्परिक अनुर सहित कुल गैस खपत 320 ग्रा./लि. IS : 4246-1984	
138. सीएम/एल-1712848 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	एमवीएस डोंडा वैक्स इंस्ट्रूमेंट द्वारा मै श्री अनुमान मिल्स कारीघाट रोड, खोसगांव-S13203 जिला-भागलपुर (बिहार)	पैराफिन मोम टाइप 3 IS : 4654-1974	
139. सीएम/एल-1712949 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. प्लास्टिक्स फार्मेन 15, बाबागाड़ा रोड, कलकत्ता-700067 (कार्या: 13, आर एन मुन्शजी रोड, 5 बी नगर कलकत्ता-700001	जल आर्तुति के लिए उच्च घनत्व पानीयोन पाइप, मजबूत और औद्योगिक बहिष्प्राव श्रेणी 1 110 मिमी तक मनी साइज श्रेणी 2 " " " श्रेणी 3 " " " श्रेणी 4 " " " श्रेणी 5 " " " IS : 4984-1978	
140. सीएम/एल-1713042 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. रहमानी सेटल कारपोरेशन, ए-10 मोनजरी इंस्ट्रूमेंट एस्टेट, अशोक चक्रवर्ती रोड, फंडी- बली (पू.) बम्बई-400101	बाल्ब बाल्ब (श्रेतिज प्लेजट टाइप) श्रेणी एवी साइज 15 मिमी IS : 1703-1977	
141. सीएम/एल-1713143 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	दि इंडियन एल्यूमिनियम केबल लि. कन्ना- मन्नांगन गांव हाकोटा तलुक बाबा काकुगांडी, बंगलोर जिला-560067	घति उच्च बोल्डता के लिए जस्ताकृत इस्पात के प्रशस्ति एल्यूमिनियम बाल्ब (400 कि. वा. और अधिक) IS : (398)भाग 4)-1982	
142. सीएम/एल-1713244 1987-06-25	1987-06-16	मै. वैस्टन इंडिया केबल्स प्रा. लि. , एवाट नं 7613/पी, जीघाई सी सी एस्टेट अंकले बर- 393002	1100 वो तक कार्यकारी बोल्डता के लिए एल्यु- मिनियम बाल्बों सहित कवचित और अवकषित पीबीसी रोधित (हेवी ड्युटी) केबल IS : 1554(भाग 1)-1975	
143. सीएम/एल-1713345 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	मै. नेशनल मैयूफैक्चर्स, ठाणे छोटी सैवशन की के पास 1945 उल्हासनगर-421005	1100 वो तक कार्यकारी बोल्डता के लिए तांबा और एल्यूमिनियम बाल्बों सहित खोलदार और खोलरहित पीबी सी रोधित केबल, द्वा-री और अवस्थाप उपयोग को छोड़कर IS : 694-1977	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
144. सीएम/एल-1713446 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	ब्लू पंक इंडस्ट्रीज , 66/3 जीआई डी सी एस्टेट बघवानमिटी-363030 (गुजरात)	नीन फेजो ट्रेण मोटर श्रेणी ई रोवन सहित, 4 ध्रुव, दो एक एक सी आवरण सहित 0.37 रेटिंग IS : 325-1978	
145. सीएम/एल-1713547 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	पापुलर एंटर प्राइजेज पुराना नागरदास भटा स्कूल रोड, हादवे के पास, अंग्रेजी (ई) बम्बई- 400093	1100 वो तक कार्डकारी वोल्टता के लिए तांबा चालक सहित कवचिन और अकवचित पीवीसी रोहित कवचल, अल्पताप उवस्था को छोड़कर IS : 1554(भाग 1)-1976	

[सं. के प्र.वि / 13:11]

S.O. 2419.— In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations, 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. Licence No. and Date No. (CM/L—)	Operative Date	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process Covered by the Licences and the Relevant IS: Designation	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1. CM/L—1699175 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	M/s. HMT Limited, Lamp Division, HMT P.O. Hyderabad-500854	Tungsten filament lighting service electric lamps, upto and including 100 W, 230 V and 250 V, single coil/coiled coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	
2. CM/L—16997 76 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	M/s. Hind Lamps Ltd., Tehsil : Shikohbad, Distt. Mainpuri (UP)	Tungsten filament general lighting service electric lamps, from 15 W upto and including 100 W, 230 V, single coil/coiled coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	
3. CM/L—16993 77 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	M/s Andhra Pradesh Lightings Ltd., IDA, Bellary Road, Anantapur-515004 Office: 3-5-90/5/1 First Floor, Raj Mohalla, Narayanaguda, Hyderabad 500029	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps, 60 W and 100 W, 250 V, Coiled/ Coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	
4. CM/L—16994 78 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	M/s. Iado Kartes Pvt. Ltd., F-259, Road No. 13, Vishwakarma Industrial Area, Jaipur-302013	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps, upto 100 W, 230 V, single coil/ coiled coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	
5. CM/L—16995 79 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	M/s. Punjab Anand Lamp Industries Ltd., A-9 & 10 Industrial Estate, Sas Nagar, Mohali Office-112, 113, SCO Sector 8-C, Madhya Marg Chandigarh (Punjab)	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps, 25, 40, 60, 75 and 100 W, 230V single Coil/Coiled Coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	
6. CM/L—16996 80 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	M/s. Electric Lamp Manufacturers (India) Ltd., 1, Taratolla Road, Garden Reach, Calcutta-700024.	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps of 15 W to 100 W, 230V, single Coil/Coiled Coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	
7. CM/L—16997 81 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	M/ . M & M Industries, 15/3, G.T. Road, Kurili-131028 Distt. Sonapat (Haryana)	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps of 60 W and upto and including 100 W, 250 V, Coiled Coil gas filled with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	
8. CM/L—16998 82 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	M/ . Electric Construction & Equipment Co. Ltd., (Lamp Division) Delhi Road, Sonapat-131001 (Haryana)	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps upto 100 W, 230 V, single Coil/ Coiled Coil with B 22 d Caps (IS: 418— 1978)	
9. CM/L—16999 83 87-05-29	1980-06-01	M/s. Bijlee Products (India) Pvt. Ltd., 70, Hadagsar Industrial Estate, Pune-411013 (Maharashtra)	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps of 15 W to 100 W, 250 V, Clear, single coil coiled coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	

1	2	3	4	5
10.	CM/L—1700033 1987-05-29	1987-06-01	M/s. Bengal Lamps Ltd., 137, P.G.H. Shah Road, Calcutta-700032 Office: 4 Fairlie Place, Calcutta-700001.	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps of 15 W to 100 W of 270 V and 250 V ratings clear single coil/coiled coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978
11.	CM/L—1700134 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Nagpur Cement Products (P) Ltd., C-14, MIDC Industrial Area, Hingna Road, Nagpur-440028	Reinforced Cement Concrete Pipes Class NP 2-Sizes upto and including 900 mm only IS: 458—1971
12.	CM/L—1700235 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Bharat Metal Pressing Industries, Plot No. 9 to 14, Co-operative Industrial Estate, Kopergaon, Distt. Ahmednagar	Reinforced Cement Concrete Pipes Class NP 2-Sizes 500 mm and upto and including 1200 mm IS: 458—1971
13.	CM/L—1700336 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Shri Ambica Cement Pipes & Allied Products Pedhambe, Tal: Coiplun, Distt: Raigad (MP)	Reinforced Cement Concrete Pipes Class NP 2-Sizes upto and including 450, 600 and 900 to 1200 mm IS: 458—1971
14.	CM/L—170043 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Konya Cement Vastu Nirmiti Sahakari Sanstha Ltd., S. No. 183, Wada Maner Road, Wado-421303 Distt. Thana Office: 62, Shivajinagar, Karad-415110, Distt. Satara	Reinforced Cement Concrete Pipes Class NP 2-Sizes upto and including 450 mm and 600 mm IS: 458—1971
15.	CM/L—1700538 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Konya Cement Vastu Nirmiti Sahakari Sanstha Ltd., S. No. 114/2A, Near Audyogik Varahat, Malkapur, Tal : Karad, Distt: Satara Office Plot No. 62, Shivajinagar, Karad-415110	Reinforced Cement Concrete Pipes Class NP 2-Sizes 700 mm only IS: 548—1971
16.	CM/L—1700639 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Walganga Spun Pipe Industries, A-41, MIDC Area, Wardha-442006	Reinforced Cement Concrete Pipes Class NP 2-Sizes upto and including 1200 mm IS: 458—1971
17.	CM/L—1700740 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Supor Diamond Industries, C-55, Khazan Basti, Nagai Rai, New Delhi-110046	Domestic gas stoves for use with LPG. CRC Sheet Ni/Cr plated body double burner stove with cast iron conventional burners of ratings 1635 Kcal/h and 2064 Kcal/h. Total gas consumption is 339 g/h, IS: 4246—1984
18.	CM/L—1700841 1987-06-01	1987-06-16	M/s. Frac Power Motors, 334-B, Jonapur, Mehrauli, New Delhi-110030	Propeller type AC Ventilating fan of 300, 380 and 450 mm size with F class insulation without speed regulator IS: 2312—1967
19.	CM/L—1700942 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Atin Alloys and Re-rolling Mills (P) Ltd., C-1, MIDC Industrial Area, Kalmeshwar, Nagpur Office: 1st floor, Sunil Bhavan, 37, Central Avenue, Nagpur-440018	High strength deformed steel bars and wires for concrete reinforcement IS: 1786—1985
20.	CM/L—1701035 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Venkatswara Agro Chemicals & Minerals Ltd., Plot No. 3-B (NP) Industrial Estate, Ambather, Madras-600098	Phosphamiden 85% water soluble concentrates IS: 6177—1981
21.	CM/L—1701136 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Sadam Cement Industry Private Ltd., Adki Village, Sadam, Taluk Gulbarga Distt., Office: Humnabad Road, Gulbarga-585104	Ordinary Portland Cement IS: 269—1976
22.	CM/L—1701237 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Met-Cab Industries, Bel-Bazar, Kurla, Bombay-400003 Office-272/1, Yusuf Mehrauli Road, Bombay-400070	7-strand Aluminium Conductor steel reinforced for over head transmission purposes IS: 398 (Part II)—1976
23.	CM/L—1701338 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. J.S. Corporation, H-72, MIDC, Phase III, Akola (Office: Mangaldas Market, Akola-440001)	15-Kg Square Tins for Vanaspati and Edible Oils IS: 10325—1982
24.	CM/L—1701439 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. A.P. Industries, Pathankot Road, Gangyal, Jammu (J & K)	15-Kg Square Tins for Vanaspati and Edible Oils IS: 10325—1982

1	2	3	4	5
25. CM/L—17015 40 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Rathi Oils Pvt Ltd., Industrial Area, A.B. Road, Morena-476001 (Office: Rathi Gardens, Morena-476001).	Mustard Oil, type expressed grade-refined IS: 546—1975	
26. CM/L—17016 41 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. Sun Industries, 207, Udyog Bhavan, Sharma Industrial Estate, Goregaon(E), Bombay-400063 (Maharashtra)	Burners for Oil Pressure Stoves, rearer type designation No. 1 IS: 8808—1986	
27. CM/L—17017 42 1987-05-29	1987-06-16	M/s. United India Macaroni, 131, Tiruchi Road, Seelapudi, Dindigul-624310 (TN) (Office: 377, Suryanaranana Street, Madras- 600081)	Vermicelli (Short & long) IS: 1485—1976	
28. CM/L—17018 43 1987-06-01	1987-06-16	M/s. Bhupendra Industries, House No. 281, Mundaka Village, Delhi-110041.	Switch socket outlets 15A, 250V, AC flush type (Non-shuttered, Non-Inter- locking)—IS: 4615—1968	
29. CM/L—17019 44 1987-06-01	1987-06-16	M/s. Weldon Industries, 9/25, Bazar Marg, Vishwasnagar, Shahdara, Delhi-110032.	PVC insulated sheathed/unsheathed cables with aluminium copper conductors for fixed wiring for working voltages upto and including 1100 Volts exclud- ing cables for outdoor use and low tem- perature applications IS: 694—1977.	
30. CM/L—17020 37 1980-06-01	1987-06-16	M/s. B.S.T. Ltd., Ganaur, Distt. Sonapat (Haryana) (Office: Allahabad Bank Building, 17, Sansad Marg, New Delhi-110001).	Steel tubes for mechanical and engineer- ing purposes Grade: ERW 160 Size: Upto and including 219.1 mm IS: 3601— 1984	
31. CM/L—17021 38 1987-06-01	1987-06-01	M/s. Welbro Paints, Khasra No. 94, Karawal Nagar, Delhi-110094.	Cement paint, colour as required IS: 5410—1969	
32. CM/L—17022 39 1987-06-01	1987-06-16	M/s. President Industries, C-1/3U/53, IIIrd Phase GIDC Estate, Naroda, Ahmedabad.	Thiram 75 water Dispersible Powder IS: 4766—1982	
33. CM/L—17023 40 1987-06-02	1987-06-01	M/s. Sunflame Domestic Appliances Pvt. Ltd., B-41, Sector IX, Noida, Ghaziabad- (UP).	Domestic gas stoves for use with LPG, CRC sheet Ni/Cr plated body and stain- less steel body double burner stoves with cast iron conventional burners of ratings 1688 Kcal/h and 2010 Kcal/h. Total gas consumption is 340 g/h. IS: 4246—1984	
34. CM/L—17024 41 1987-06-02	1987-06-01	M/s. Sunflame Domestic Appliances Pvt Ltd., B-41, Sector IX, Noida, Ghaziabad- (UP).	CRC Sheet Ni/Cr plated body top or sur- face domestic cooking range for use with LPG with 2 cast iron conventional top burners of ratings 1688 Kcal/H and a 201U Kcal/h and a grill with a bur- ner of rating 1688 Kcal/h. Total gas consumption is 495 g/h. IS: 4760—1979 IS: 2312—1967	
35. CM/L—17025 42 1987-06-02	1987-06-16	M/s. Latika Industries, T-540, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi-110017.	Propaller type AC Ventilating fans, single phase 450 mm, Class E insulation with- out speed regulator IS: 2312—1967	
36. CM/L—17026 43 1987-06-02	1987-06-01	M/s. Precision Electricals & Electronics Pvt Ltd., 33-B/A, Laxmibai Nagar, Indus- trial Estate, Fort, Indore-452006.	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps of 25 W upto and including 100W 230V GLS lamps and including 40W/ 250V, single coil/coiled coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	
37. CM/L—17027 44 1987-06-02	1987-06-01	M/s. Kerala Electric Lamp Works Ltd., P.O.: Athani, Distt. Ernakulam-683585 (Kerala)	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps of 25 W upto and including 100W, 230V, single coil/coiled coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	
38. CM/L—17028 45 1987-06-03	1987-06-01	M/s. Picco Electronics & Electricals Ltd., 3 MIDC Industrial Area, Thana-400601.	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps of 25W upto and including 100W 230V, single coil/coiled coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978	

1	2	3	4	5																																				
39.	CM/I.—17029 46 1987-06-03	1987-06-01	M/s. Oswal Enterprises Pvt Ltd., C-47, Sector VI, Noida-201301 (UP)	Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps of 60W and above upto and including 100W 250V, single coil/coiled coil with B 22 d Caps IS: 418—1978																																				
40.	CM/L—17030 39 1987-06-03	1987-06-01	M/s. American Refrigerator Co. Ltd., Block A, Hide Road, Kidderpore, Calcutta-700043 (Office: 13-C, Russel Street, Calcutta-700071).	Room Air-conditioners, 3.5 Kw and 4.25 Kw Capacity IS: 1391—1971																																				
41.	CM/L—17031 40 1987-06-04	1987-06-16	M/s. Voltas Limited, 2nd Pokhran Road, Thane. (Office: 19, J.N. Heredia Marg, Ballard Estate, Bombay-400038).	Room Air-conditioners, 1 t and 1.5 t capacity (3000 Kcal/h and 4500 Kcal/h) IS: 1391—1971																																				
42.	CM/L—17032 41 1987-06-04	1987-06-16	M/s. Surya Domestic Appliances, 10, N.T.S. Sonadighi Yard, Alipore, Calcutta-700027 (West Bengal) (Office: 10-A, Jackson Lane, Calcutta-700001).	Domestic Pressure Cookers, 5, litres capacity. IS: 2347—1974.																																				
43.	CM/L—17033 42 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. Haryana Pesticides, Mandhaur, Ambala City.	Malathion water dispersible powder 25%. IS: 2569—1978																																				
44.	CM/L—17034 43 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. Universal Machines Ltd., 220-A, Naskarpara Road, Ghusuri, Howrah (WB) (Office: 90 Stephen House 4, B.B.D. Bagh East, 5th floor, Calcutta-700001)	Compression type tubular terminal each for aluminium conductors of insulated cables from designation CAA-4 upto and including CAA-400 IS: 8309—1976 (New)																																				
45.	CM/L—17035 44 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. Dinesh Cement Udyog (P) Ltd., Khokhli Road, Bhatapara, Distt. Raipur-493118 (Office: Jawahar Market, Camp 2, Bhilai-490001) Distt. Raipur.	Ordinary Portland Cement IS: 269—1976																																				
46.	CM/L—17036 45 1987-06-08	1987 0616	M/s. Aman Electric Works, 1/4683, Balbir Nagar Extension, Sahadara, Delhi-110032.	Propeller type AC ventilating fans of size 300 mm and 450 mm class E insulation without speed regulator IS: 2312—1967																																				
47.	CM/L—17037 46 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. FGP Ltd., P.B. No. 211, L.B. Shastri Marg, Thane-400002 (Maharashtra) (Office: 9, Wallace Street, Bombay-400001).	Bonded Mineral Wool group 1 IS: 8183—1976																																				
48.	CM/L—17038 47 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. The Central Arccanut & Cocoa Marketing & Preccessing Co-op Ltd., Yelli Road, Near APMC Yard, Sagar-577401 Shimoga Distt. (Karuataka)	Copper Sulphate, Technical Grade IS: 261—1982																																				
49.	CM/L—17039 48 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. Shreenanda Steel P. Ltd., 46-57, MIDC Area, Road-8, Satpur, Nasik-422007.	Reinforced cement concrete pipes Class NP 2-Size 1200 mm Class NP 3-Size 1200 mm IS: 458—1971																																				
50.	CM/L—17040 41 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. Pullen Pump Industries, Dholka, Ahmedabad. (Office: 8, Capital Commercial Centre, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad-380009)	Submersible pump sets for clear, cold fresh water of the following ratings: <table><tr><th>Model</th><th>Stage</th><th colspan="2">Speed RPM</th></tr><tr><td>P 6 C</td><td>3</td><td colspan="2">2820</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">Bore x Outlet Size mm</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">250 x 75</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">Duty Point</td></tr><tr><th>Head (m)</th><th>Discharge (lps)</th><th>Overall efficiency</th><th>Power Input KW</th></tr><tr><td>19.5</td><td>11.25</td><td>46</td><td>4.65</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">Motor Rating KW</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">3.7 Kw motor, type, wet induction for 50 Hz cont, duty 415 V 8.2 A IS: 8034 1976</td></tr></table>	Model	Stage	Speed RPM		P 6 C	3	2820		Bore x Outlet Size mm				250 x 75				Duty Point				Head (m)	Discharge (lps)	Overall efficiency	Power Input KW	19.5	11.25	46	4.65	Motor Rating KW				3.7 Kw motor, type, wet induction for 50 Hz cont, duty 415 V 8.2 A IS: 8034 1976			
Model	Stage	Speed RPM																																						
P 6 C	3	2820																																						
Bore x Outlet Size mm																																								
250 x 75																																								
Duty Point																																								
Head (m)	Discharge (lps)	Overall efficiency	Power Input KW																																					
19.5	11.25	46	4.65																																					
Motor Rating KW																																								
3.7 Kw motor, type, wet induction for 50 Hz cont, duty 415 V 8.2 A IS: 8034 1976																																								

1	2	3	4	5												
51.	CM/L—17041 42 1987-06-08	1987 06 16	M/s. Vidarbha Concrete Products Pvt Ltd., Near Tadali Railway Station, Chandrapur, Nagpur Road, Tadali, Distt. Chandrapur (Office: 7/10, Botewala Building, 1st floor, Horniman Circle, Fort, Bombay-400023).	Reinforced cement concrete pipes Class NP 2—sizes 300 & 600 mm Class NP 3— sizes 600 mm IS: 458—1971												
52.	CM/L—17042 43 1987-06-08	1987 06 16	M/s. Gurpal Industries, G-S 38/1-A, Kanti Nagar West, Delhi-110051	Steel Built Hinges Type-Medium Weight All Sizes, IS: 1341—1981												
53.	CM/L—17043 44 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. Pesto Chem India, 320, Karawal Nagar, Industrial Area, Delhi-110094. (Office: 466-67, Gali Teliyan, Behind Novelty Cinema, Delhi-110006).	Malathion 5% Dusting Powder, IS: 2568— 1978												
54.	CM/L—17044 45 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. Goenka Industries, F-374, Road No. 9 F, VKI Area, Jaipur-302013.	Endo sulpham Emulsifiable Concentrates 35% IS: 4325—1980												
55.	CM/L—17045 46 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. Goenka Industries, F-374, Road No. 9 F, VKI Area, Jaipur-302013.	BHC 50% Water Dispersible Powder 6.5% Gamma Isomer. IS: 562—1978												
56.	CM/L—17046 47 1987-06-08	1987-06-16	M/s. Rose Engine Manufacturers, 1, Mavdi Plot, Rajkot-360004.	Single cylinder, water cooled, four stroke, diesel engine of the following IS rating A (with our load): IS: 10001—1981												
<table><tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Type/ Model</th><th>Vertical (V)/Hori- zontal (H)</th><th>Output Kw (HP)</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>TRB</td><td>V</td><td>5.9</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>TRB</td><td>V</td><td>4.4</td></tr></table>					Sl. No.	Type/ Model	Vertical (V)/Hori- zontal (H)	Output Kw (HP)	1.	TRB	V	5.9	2.	TRB	V	4.4
Sl. No.	Type/ Model	Vertical (V)/Hori- zontal (H)	Output Kw (HP)													
1.	TRB	V	5.9													
2.	TRB	V	4.4													
<table><tr><th>Rated speed ra/ min</th><th>Class of Covering</th><th>Mechanic efficiency Declared (D) Assumed-A</th></tr><tr><td>850</td><td>B 1</td><td>80% (A)</td></tr><tr><td>650</td><td>B 1</td><td>80% (A)</td></tr></table>					Rated speed ra/ min	Class of Covering	Mechanic efficiency Declared (D) Assumed-A	850	B 1	80% (A)	650	B 1	80% (A)			
Rated speed ra/ min	Class of Covering	Mechanic efficiency Declared (D) Assumed-A														
850	B 1	80% (A)														
650	B 1	80% (A)														
<table><tr><th>SFC Declared g/Kw H</th></tr><tr><td>275</td></tr><tr><td>275</td></tr></table>					SFC Declared g/Kw H	275	275									
SFC Declared g/Kw H																
275																
275																
57.	CM/L—17047 48 1987-06-10	1987-06-16	M/s. Indian Plastics & Laminated Ltd., D-44, Industrial Area, Meerut Road, Ghaziabad-(U.P.)	Injection moulded PVC socket fittings with solvent cement joints for water supplies Elbows:- All sizes from 63 mm to 110 mm class upto and including class 3 (0.6 MPa) Tees:- All sizes from 63mm to 110 mm class upto and including Class 3 (0.6 MPa) Sockets:- 63 mm class upto and including class 3 (0.6 MPa) IS: 7830 (Pt III, IV, VI)—1975												
58.	CM/L—17048 49 1987-06-10	1987-06-16	M/s. Indian Plastics & Laminates Ltd., D-44, Industrial Area, Meerut Road, Ghaziabad-(U.P.)	Fabricated PVC fittings for potable water supplies:- (i) Sockets Class 2, all sizes upto 110mm, 160 mm and 200 mm. (ii) Straight reducers, class 2 sizes 110 x 90 mm & 160 x 140 mm, upto 250 mm (iii) Bend 90°, Class 2 all sizes. (iv) Bend 60°, all sizes upto 250 mm. (v) Bend 45°, all sizes upto 250 mm. (vi) Bend 30°, all sizes upto 250 mm.												

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)
				(vii) Bend 22 1/2, all sizes upto 250 mm. (viii) Bend 11 1/4, all sizes upto 259 mm. IS : 10124 (Pt to III and IS : 10124 (Pt V to XIII)-1982 Three Pin Socket outlets, 5A and 15A, 250 V AC flush type (Non-shuttered) IS : 1293-1967
59. CM/L-17049 50 1987-06-10	1987-06-16	M/s. Bhupendra Industries, House No. 281, Mundka Village, Delhi-110041).		
60. CM/L-17050 53 1987-06-10	1987-06-16	M/s. Hasi Cement Pvt. Ltd., F-8, MIDC Area, Amravati-444601 (Office : Cotton Market Road, Amravati-444601.)		Ordinary Portland Cement IS : 269-1976
61. CM/L-17051 44 1987-06-12	1987-07-01	M/s. Bhawna Industries 8, Industrial Development Colony, Kunj Pura Road, Karnal-132001 (Haryana).		Cast iron painted body gohar gas stove of following details:- 1. Double burner stove with cast iron burners of ratings 450 l/h at STP each. 2. Single burner stove with cast iron burner of ratings 450 l/h at STP. IS : 8749-1978.
62. CM/L-17052 45 1987-06-13	1987-06-01	M/s. Bengal Lamps Ltd., Old Madras Road, (20th Km), Bangalore-560049.		Tungsten filament general lighting service lamps of 15W upto and including 100W, 230V, single Coil/Coiled coil with 2d Caps. IS : 410-1976
63. CM/L-17053 46 1987-06-13	1987-06-01	M/s. Dhingra Industries, 207, Udyog Vihar, Dhundera, Gurgaon-132016 (Haryana)		Paraffin Wax, Type 3 IS : 4654-1974
64. CM/L-17054 47 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Crystal Industries, G.T. Road, Bye Pass Near Pathankot Chowk, Jalandhar-144004 (Punjab)		Malleable Cast Iron Pipe fittings: 1. Equal Tees; 2. Elbows 3. Unions 4. Sockets Sizes designation 2 IS : 1879-1975
65. CM/L-17055 48 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Gupta Chemical (P) Ltd., B-144, Road, No. 9, VKI Area, Jaipur 302013 (Office : 1st floor, Bukhmaria Building, Tripolia Bazar, Jaipur.)		Endosulphan Emulsifiable concentrates 35% IS : 4323-1980
66. CM/L-17056 49 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. K.P. Industries; 37F, Velachery Road, Vijayanagar, Velachery, Madras-600042 (Office: 15, Kanniah Naidu Street, T. Nagar, Madras-600017).		Malathion 50% Emulsifiable Concen- trates. IS : 2567-1978
67. CM/L-17057 50 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Bhagalpur Wax Refining Industries, C/o M/s Shri Hanuman Mills, Kalighat Road, Kahalgau-813203 Distt. Bhagalpur (Bihar).		Paraffin Wax, Type 3 IS : 4654-1974
68. CM/L-17058 51 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Ritika Wax Industries, Meerut Road, Village Sheikh Pura, Karnal-132001 (Haryana)		Paraffin Wax, Type 3 IS : 4654-1974
69. CM/L-17059 52 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Nimi Chems. 12-28-24 Bestavari Veedhi, Syamaladass Agrabaram, Guntur-522001. (Office: 19-1-3 Sangadigunta, Golusulabhavi Street, Guntur-522003).		Shampoo Synthetic Detergent Based, Liquid IS : 7884-1978

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)							
70. CM/L-17050 45 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. The Phenix Oil Co (India) Pvt Ltd., 488, Mirpur Cantt. Kanpur (U.P.) (Office: Room No. 27-28, Moti Bhawan, Collectorganj, Kanpur-208001).	Paraffin Wax, Type B IS : 4654-1974								
71. CM/L-17061 46 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Indian Indigenous Industries, 1/1B/14, Ramkirisna Naskar Lane, Calcutta-700010. (West Bengal)	Brushs, Paints and Varnishes, flat, sizes sizes 25 mm, 38 mm, 50 mm, 63 mm, 75 mm and 100 mm. IS : 384-1979								
72. CM/L-17062 47 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Mine Safety Appliances Ltd., P-25, Transport Depot Road, Calcutta-700088 (West Bengal)	Portable methanometer (Electrical Type), type 1 only IS : 9937-1981								
73. CM/L-17063 48 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Duropolyrene Pvt. Ltd., Chakbagi, Bishnupur-743503. 24- Parganas (South) (West Bengal)	Flexilable Rubber Tubing for Liquefied Petroleum Gas. Nominal internal Dia- meter 6.4 mm. IS : 10908-1984								
74. CM/L-17064 49 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Nitin Industries, 29, Vadhani Industrial Estate, L.B.S. Marg, Ghatopar (W) Bombay-400086.	Portable fire extinguisher dry powder type. Capacity 5 Kg & 10 Kg. IS : 2171-1976								
75. CM/L-17065 50 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Austral Plastics Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. F-5, SIPCOT Industrial Complex, Gummidipoondi-601201. Chingleput, Distt. Tamilnadu. (Office: 16, Kanniab Street, T. Nagar, Madras-600017.)	Glass fibre reinforced polyester resin (GRP) squatting pan, pour flush type, compression moulded. IS : 11246-1985								
76. CM/L-17066 51 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Balage Corrugated Cartones (P) Ltd., Plot No. 166, VIII Phase, SIDCO Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Madras-600098 (Office: No. 3, First Street, Dhandayuthapani Nagar, Madras-600085).	Corrugated fibre board boxes for commer- cial high explosives 5 Ply. IS : 10212 (Pt I)-1986								
77. CM/L-17067 52 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Kannappan Steel Re-Rolling Mills, 334/1-A, Mettupalayam Road, Coimbatore-641029 (Office: 17/18, Mills Road, Coimbatore-641001).	Structural Steel (Ordinary Quality) Type 1 Angle. IS : 1977-1975								
78. CM/L-17068 53 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Maruthi Electrodes Pvt. Ltd., No. 12, Deddankund Industrial Area, 2nd Phase, Bangalore-560048. (Office: 19/7, Maruthi Mansion Cunningham Road, Bangalore-560048).	Covered electrodes for metal arc welding of structural steel for products other than sheets. <table><tr><td>Brand</td><td>Classification</td></tr><tr><td>Manithi 6013 Plus</td><td>E 307412</td></tr><tr><td>Manithi 6013*</td><td>E 307412</td></tr><tr><td>Manithi 6013 xx</td><td>E 307412</td></tr></table> IS : 814 (Part I)-1974*	Brand	Classification	Manithi 6013 Plus	E 307412	Manithi 6013*	E 307412	Manithi 6013 xx	E 307412
Brand	Classification										
Manithi 6013 Plus	E 307412										
Manithi 6013*	E 307412										
Manithi 6013 xx	E 307412										
79. CM/L-17069 54 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Bharati Fire Engineers, 3/6, Anupam Industrial Estate, L.B.S. Marg, opp. Ralli Wolf, Mukund (W), Bombay-400080.	Refills for foam type fire extinguisher 9 litre Capacity IS : 5490 (Part II)-1977								
80. CM/L-17070 47 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Nitin Industries, 29, Vadhani Industrial Area, Lal Bahadur Shastri Marg, Ghatikopar (West), Bombay-400086.	Portable chemical fire extinguisher, water type (Gas Pressure) 9 Litre Capacity. IS : 940-1976								

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)																																																					
81. CM/L-17071 48 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Upaj Engineering Pvt. Limited, Adjacent to GIDC Plot No. 2, On Naroda National Highway, Near Indian Oxygen Limited, Odhav, Shmedabad Pin Code-382415	Submersible pumpsets for clean, cold fresh water of the following:	<table><tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Model of Pump</th><th>Stage</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>1515</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>2011</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><th colspan="2">Bore and outlet size mm x m</th><th>Speed RPM</th></tr><tr><td colspan="2">150 x 50</td><td>2820</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">200 x 125</td><td>2820</td></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Duty Point</th></tr><tr><th>Discharge l (PS)</th><th>Head (m)</th><th>Efficiency %</th><th>Input Kw</th></tr><tr><td>234</td><td>92</td><td>48.5</td><td>7.3</td></tr><tr><td>290</td><td>50</td><td>45</td><td>14.3</td></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Motor</th></tr><tr><th>Kw</th><th colspan="2">Variation in Voltage</th><th>Current</th></tr><tr><td>7.5</td><td colspan="2">Cat-B</td><td>15.5 AMP</td></tr><tr><td>11.0</td><td colspan="2">Cat-B</td><td>13.0 AMP</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">IS : 8034-1976</td></tr></table>	Sl. No.	Model of Pump	Stage	1.	1515	10	2.	2011	3	Bore and outlet size mm x m		Speed RPM	150 x 50		2820	200 x 125		2820	Duty Point			Discharge l (PS)	Head (m)	Efficiency %	Input Kw	234	92	48.5	7.3	290	50	45	14.3	Motor				Kw	Variation in Voltage		Current	7.5	Cat-B		15.5 AMP	11.0	Cat-B		13.0 AMP	IS : 8034-1976			
Sl. No.	Model of Pump	Stage																																																							
1.	1515	10																																																							
2.	2011	3																																																							
Bore and outlet size mm x m		Speed RPM																																																							
150 x 50		2820																																																							
200 x 125		2820																																																							
Duty Point																																																									
Discharge l (PS)	Head (m)	Efficiency %	Input Kw																																																						
234	92	48.5	7.3																																																						
290	50	45	14.3																																																						
Motor																																																									
Kw	Variation in Voltage		Current																																																						
7.5	Cat-B		15.5 AMP																																																						
11.0	Cat-B		13.0 AMP																																																						
IS : 8034-1976																																																									
82. CM/L-17072 49 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Jain Enterprises, 1443-44 Shastri Nagar, Delhi-110052. (Office: 3541, Qutab Road, Delhi-110006).	Metal filled sheathed heating elements water heating 1.5 Kw and 2.0 Kw rating IS : 4159-1976																																																						
83. CM/L-17073 50 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. SKS Accessories, Iyer Thotam Road, Kumarpalayam Post, Coimbatore-641026.	Single-phase, capacitor start and run motor of 0.37 Kw rating, 2 Pole, Class B insu- lation IS : 996-1979																																																						
84. CM/L-17074 51 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Haryana Industrial Company, 6-A, Industrial Estate, Hisar-125001 (Haryana)	15-Kg square tins for vanaspathi and edible oils. IS : 10325-1982																																																						
85. CM/L-17075 52 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Ellen Industries, No. 1 Ellathoffam Road, P. elamedu, Coimbatore-641004.	Submersible pumpsets for clean, cold, fresh water of the following ratings:	<table><tr><th>Model of pump</th><th>Size</th><th>Speed</th></tr><tr><td>6/5/5</td><td>50</td><td>2850</td></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Duty Point</th></tr><tr><th>Discharge l (PS)</th><th>Head (m)</th><th>Overall efficiency %</th><th>Motor</th></tr><tr><td>4.75</td><td>40</td><td>39</td><td>3.7 Kw to suit 150 mm bore well.</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">IS : 8034-1976</td></tr></table>	Model of pump	Size	Speed	6/5/5	50	2850	Duty Point			Discharge l (PS)	Head (m)	Overall efficiency %	Motor	4.75	40	39	3.7 Kw to suit 150 mm bore well.	IS : 8034-1976																																			
Model of pump	Size	Speed																																																							
6/5/5	50	2850																																																							
Duty Point																																																									
Discharge l (PS)	Head (m)	Overall efficiency %	Motor																																																						
4.75	40	39	3.7 Kw to suit 150 mm bore well.																																																						
IS : 8034-1976																																																									
86. CM/L-17076 53 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Swami Containers Pvt Ltd., At Post, Velu, Taluka : Bhor, Distt: Pune-412205 (Office: 'Neelgiri', 242/1, Bhandarkar Institute Road, Shivajinagar, Pune-411004).	Drums large fixed ends Grade B IS : 1783 (Pt II)-1283																																																						

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)																																																																										
87. CM/L-17077 54 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Bhoruka Steel L'd., Mahadevapura Post, White field Road, Bangalore-560048. (Office: 5th floor, Hitananda, 26/1, Lavella Road, Bangalore).	Steel ingots and billets for Production of carbon Steel Wire Rods. IS : 8951-1978																																																																											
88. CM/L-17078 55 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Kannapiran Steel Rolling Mills. 334/1-A, Mettu-Palayam Road, Coimbatore-641029 (Office: 17/18, Mill Road, Coimbatore-641001).	Structural steel standard quality Type Angle IS : 226-1975																																																																											
89. CM/L-17079 56 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Bharati Fire Engineers, 3/6, Anupam Industrial Estate, L.B.S. Marg, Opp. Ralli Wolf, Malund (W), Bombay-400080.	Refills for soda acid type fire extinguisher, 9 litre capacity IS : 5490 (Pt I)-1977.																																																																											
90. CM/L-17080 49 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. A or e Manufacturing Company. G.T. Road, Bye Pass, Jalandhar-144004.	Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings : Equal elbows, Tees, Sockets and Union. Size designation 2 Reducing Elbow, Tees, Sockets Size designation 1 x 3/4 IS : 1879-1975																																																																											
91. CM/L-17081 50 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Hindustan Casting Co., 24, Hrishikesh Ghosh Lane, Salkia, Howrah-711106.	Horizontal Centrifugal Pumps for clear, cold, fresh water for agricultural purposes of the following ratings: <table><tr><th>Size mm x m</th><th>Speed RPM</th></tr><tr><td>80 x 65</td><td>1500</td></tr></table> Duty Point <table><tr><th>Discharge (l PS)</th><th>Head (m)</th><th>Efficiency %</th></tr><tr><td>15.5</td><td>14</td><td>64</td></tr></table> Range of <table><tr><th>Input Kw</th><th>Discharge (l PS)</th><th>Head (m)</th></tr><tr><td>3.7</td><td>18.1</td><td>10-16</td></tr></table> IS : 6595-1980	Size mm x m	Speed RPM	80 x 65	1500	Discharge (l PS)	Head (m)	Efficiency %	15.5	14	64	Input Kw	Discharge (l PS)	Head (m)	3.7	18.1	10-16																																																											
Size mm x m	Speed RPM																																																																													
80 x 65	1500																																																																													
Discharge (l PS)	Head (m)	Efficiency %																																																																												
15.5	14	64																																																																												
Input Kw	Discharge (l PS)	Head (m)																																																																												
3.7	18.1	10-16																																																																												
92. CM/L-17082 51 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s Kirloskar Oil Engineers L'd., Laxman Rao Kirloskar Road, Khakhi, Pune-411003.	Singl. cylinder, water cooled, four-stroke, diesel engine of the following IS : rating A (with overload): IS : 11170-1985 <table><tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Type/ Model</th><th>Vertical (V)/ Horizontal (H)</th><th>Output Kw (HP)</th><th>Rated Speed rev/min</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>AV1</td><td>V</td><td>3.7/5.0</td><td>1500</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>AV1</td><td>V</td><td>3.7/5.0</td><td>1500</td></tr><tr><td colspan="5">Sr. III</td></tr><tr><td>3.</td><td>TV1</td><td>V</td><td>5.2/7.0</td><td>1500</td></tr><tr><td>4.</td><td>SV1</td><td>V</td><td>5.2/7.0</td><td>1500</td></tr><tr><td colspan="5">Sr. II</td></tr><tr><td>5.</td><td>SV1</td><td>V</td><td>5.9/8.0</td><td>1800</td></tr><tr><td>6.</td><td>TV110</td><td>V</td><td>7.4/10.0</td><td>1500</td></tr><tr><td>7.</td><td>R. 11</td><td>V</td><td>8.8/12.0</td><td>1500</td></tr><tr><td>8.</td><td>R. 11</td><td>V</td><td>10.3/14.0</td><td>1800</td></tr><tr><td>9.</td><td>TV2</td><td>V</td><td>10.3/14.0</td><td>1500</td></tr><tr><td>10.</td><td>TV2</td><td>V</td><td>11.8/16.0</td><td>1800</td></tr><tr><td>11.</td><td>AV47</td><td>V</td><td>3.7/5.0</td><td>1500</td></tr><tr><td>12.</td><td>AV57</td><td>V</td><td>8/5.9</td><td>1800</td></tr></table>	Sl. No.	Type/ Model	Vertical (V)/ Horizontal (H)	Output Kw (HP)	Rated Speed rev/min	1.	AV1	V	3.7/5.0	1500	2.	AV1	V	3.7/5.0	1500	Sr. III					3.	TV1	V	5.2/7.0	1500	4.	SV1	V	5.2/7.0	1500	Sr. II					5.	SV1	V	5.9/8.0	1800	6.	TV110	V	7.4/10.0	1500	7.	R. 11	V	8.8/12.0	1500	8.	R. 11	V	10.3/14.0	1800	9.	TV2	V	10.3/14.0	1500	10.	TV2	V	11.8/16.0	1800	11.	AV47	V	3.7/5.0	1500	12.	AV57	V	8/5.9	1800
Sl. No.	Type/ Model	Vertical (V)/ Horizontal (H)	Output Kw (HP)	Rated Speed rev/min																																																																										
1.	AV1	V	3.7/5.0	1500																																																																										
2.	AV1	V	3.7/5.0	1500																																																																										
Sr. III																																																																														
3.	TV1	V	5.2/7.0	1500																																																																										
4.	SV1	V	5.2/7.0	1500																																																																										
Sr. II																																																																														
5.	SV1	V	5.9/8.0	1800																																																																										
6.	TV110	V	7.4/10.0	1500																																																																										
7.	R. 11	V	8.8/12.0	1500																																																																										
8.	R. 11	V	10.3/14.0	1800																																																																										
9.	TV2	V	10.3/14.0	1500																																																																										
10.	TV2	V	11.8/16.0	1800																																																																										
11.	AV47	V	3.7/5.0	1500																																																																										
12.	AV57	V	8/5.9	1800																																																																										

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
				Class of governing Efficiency %Declared-D/ Assumed-A
				Mechanical SFC (Declared) g/kwh
				B1 80 (A) 245
				B1 80 (A) 251
				B1 80 (A) 251
				B1 80 (A) 251
				B1 80 (A) 251
				B1 80 (A) 251
				B1 80 (A) 251
				B1 80 (A) 251
				B1 80 (A) 251
				B1 80 (A) 251
				B1 80 (A) 251
93. CM/L-17033 52 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Damodar Iron Works, Post Box No. 107, Poon-Bangalore Road, Belgaum-590016.	C.I. Manhole Covers and Frames LD square type, double seal of size 455 mm. IS : 1726 . Pt VII (Section 2)-1974.	
94. CM/L-17084 53 1987-06-13	1987-06-01	M/s. Superjet Fire and Safety Services, Gala No. 4, Santanbhal Perch Compound, Netaji Park Marg, Asalfa, Near Himalaya Society, Ghatkoper, Bombay-400084. (Office: 36, Prospect Chambers Annexe, 3rd floor, 317, D.N. Road, Bombay-400001).	Portable chemical fire extinguisher acid type, 9 litre capacity. IS : 934-1976	
95. CM/L-17085 54 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Superjet Fire and Safety Services, Gala No. 4, Santanbhal Perch Compound, Netaji Park Marg, Asalfa, Near Himalaya Society, Ghatkoper, Bombay-400084. (Office: 36, Prospect Chambers Annexe, 3rd floor, 317, D.N. Road, Bombay-400001).	Portable Chemical fire extinguisher of m type, 9 litre capacity.	
96. CM/L-17086 55 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Vanwall Corporation, 83, Ballygunge Place, Calcutta-700019.	Single-phase, capacitor start induction motors 0.37 Kw, with class 'B' in- sulation. IS : 996-1979	
97. CM/L-17087 56 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Hilton Metal Steel Works, 1982, Doda Colony, Industrial Area, Jalandhar.	Malleable cast iron pipe fittings Equal elbows, tees, socket and Union Size desig- nation 2 Reducing Size Designation 1 x 3/4. IS : 1879-1975	
98. CM/L-17088 57 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Manipur Polypipes Industries, Manripukhei, Imphal, Manipur-795002 (Office:-Thangal Barar, Imphal, Manipur-795001).	High density polyethylene pipes for port- able water supplies sewage and industrial effluents class 4—Sizes 32 mm to 100 mm. IS : 4984-1978	
99. CM/L-17988 58 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s. Brady & Morris Engg. Co. Ltd., 414 Senapati Bagat Marg, Lower Parel, Bombay-400013. (Office: Brady House, 12/14, Veer Nariman Road, Fort, Bombay-400023).	Hand operated chain Pulley blocks upto 3 t capacity. IS : 3832—1971	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
100.	CM/L-17090 51 1987-06-13	1987-07-01	M/s Agro Hydraulics (P) Ltd., KBL Ancillary Estate, Dhebewadi Road, Karad, Distt. Sofara.	Centrifugal Pumps for clear, cold, fresh water for aricultural puposes of the following ratings: Mode of Pump Size Mix. Speed RPM NW 2 80 x 65 1500 Duty Point Discharge Head efficiency (IPS) (Cm) (M) 16 16 78% Input Kw 3.7 IS : 6595-1980
101.	CM/L-17091 52 1987-06-18	1987-07-01	M/s Mittal Industries, 49.6 Km, Delhi Rohtak Road, Sampla-124501 (Haryana)	Paraffin Wax, Type 3. IS : 4654-174
102.	CM/L-17092 53 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Kalpana Engg. Works, Balaji Darshan, Plot No. 99, 1st Floor, Gala No. 7, Pestomsagar, Chembur. Bombay-400089. (Maharashtra)	Burners for oil pressure stoves, roaser type, designation No. 2. IS : 8808-1986
103.	CM/L-17093 54 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Shabha Industries, Unit No. 8-19, Shreeyas Indusirial Estate, Western Express Highway Goregaon (East), Bombay-400063 (Maharashtra).	Burners for oil pressure stoves, roaser type, designation No. 1. IS : 8808-1976
104.	CM/L-17094 55 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Premier Industrial Corporation, Karsar Nana Chawl, Nest to Municipal Dispensary, Sewri cross Road, Bomay-400015 (Maharashtra).	Burners for oil pressure stoves, roaser type designation No. 1. IS : 8808--1986
105.	CM/L-17095 56 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s M.V. Store Products, Milan Industrial Estate, Tokersey Jivraj Marg, 2nd Unit No. 29, Cotton Green, Bombay-400033.	Oil pressure stoves, designation No. 1. IS : 1342-1986
106.	CM/L-17096 57 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s M.R. Products. 215-A, Rangoonwala Compound, Moulana Azad Road, Madanpura, Bombay 400008. (Maharashtra)	Burners for oil pressure stoves, roaser type, designation No. 1. IS : 8808-1986
107.	CM/L-17097 58 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Pushpa Industries, Opposite B.K. No. 1064, OT Section, Ulhasnagar-4210003. (Maharashtra)	Burners for oil pressure stoves, Roaser type, designation No. 2. IS : 8808-1986
108.	CM/L-17098 59 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Sagera Chemical Industries, 92/2, Gagalgunte Village, Behind Widia, Bangalore-560073. (Karnataka) (Office: 18 Aroof Srinivasachar Street, Bangalore-560053.)	Copper Sulphate, Technical grade. IS : 261--1987.
109.	CM/L-17099 60 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Dr. Sabharwal's Mfg., Lab. Ltd., Plot No. 9, G.T. Road, Bhawanipur, P.O. Mandhra, Tehsil-Bilhapur, Kanpur Dehat. (Office: 117/H-2/160, Pandu Nagar, Kanpur).	Zinc Oxide self-adhesive plaster. IS : 4717-1980

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
110. CM/L-17100 36 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Halim & Co., 234, Maulana Azad Road, Nagri Compound, (Madanpura), Gala No. 4, Bombay-400008 (Maharashtra).	Oil Pressure stoves, designation No. 1. IS : 1342-1986	
111. CM/L-17101 37 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Nilawar Industries Plot No.59, Opp: Gangabai Ghat, Nagpur-440008.	Safety Requirements for Power Thresher, spike Tooth cylinder Type rating 5 Hp only. IS : 9020-1979	
112. CM/L-17102 38 1987-06-20	1997-07-01	M/s Garg Stoves & Appliances, A-2/4906 G.I.D.C., Ankleshwar, Distt. Brouch (Gujarat)	Burners for oil pressure stoves, roaster type, designation No. 1. and 2. IS : 8808-1986	
113. CM/L-17103 39 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Maruthi Electrodes Pvt. Ltd., No. 12, Doddanakkundi, Industrial Area, 2nd Phase, Bangalore-560048. (Office: 1977, Maruthi Mansion, Currirghan Rd., Bangalore-560052.	Covered electrodes for incfal arc welding of structural steel for welding sheets, Nominal Penetration type. Brand: Maruthi 6013 x & Maruthi 6013 xx Classification E 317 Size : 2.5 & 3.15 mm IS : 814 (Part II)-1974	
114. CM/L-17104 40 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Salbo Conductors Pvt Ltd., 12 Masat, Industrial Area, Silvassa-396230. (Daolra & Nagar Haveli), Via Vapa (W. Rly). (Office: 902, Rajendra Ratna, Mahesh Nagar, S.V. Road, Goregaon (West), Bombay-400062).	Aluminium Conductors for Overhead Transmission Purposes, seven strands. IS : 398 (Part I)-1976	
115. CM/L-17003 41 1987-06-20	1987-07 01	M/s Gwalior Wire Products, 40, Industrial Estate, Gwalior-474002.	7 Wires stranded Aluminium Conductors galvanized steel reinforced for overhead transmission purposes IS : 398 (Part II)-1976	
116. CM/L-17106 42 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Aalbo Conductors Pvt. Ltd. 12 Industrial Area, Masat, P.O. Silvassa-396230 (Dadra & Nagar Haveli) Via Vapi (W. Rly)	7 Wire stranded Aluminium conductor galvanized steel reinforced for overhead transmission purposes. IS : 398 (Part II)1976	
117. CM/L-17107 43 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Nexo Industries, Overlock Road, Miller Ganj, Ludhiana (Punjab).	Black hexagon bolts screws and nuts as given below: (i) Bolts upto and including size M-16 and of Property Class 4.6 & 4.8 (ii) Nuts upto and including size M-16 and of property class 5. IS : 1363-1984	
118. CM/L-17108 44 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Mass Plastic & Films Pvt. Ltd., W-42, H Nasik Additional Industrial Area, MIDC Ambad, Nasik-422010. (Office: 405, Vile Parle Sanjivani Co-op Housing Society Buliding A-Shahaji Rate Marg Andheri (F) Bombay-400069).	Unplasticized rigid PVC Pipes Class I- Sizes 125 mm to 315 mm. IS : 4985-1981	
119. CM/L-17109 45 1987-06-20	1987-07-01	M/s Vijay Kumar & Brothers, Plot No. C-9, Waglo Industrial Estate, Road No. 15, Thane-400604 (Office: 28-30 (Baroda Street) K.N. Marg, Iron Market, Bombay-400009).	Structural steel (ordinary quality) type: Medium beam only. IS : 1977-1975	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
120.	CM/L-17110 38 1987-06-25	1987-07-01	M/s Subrise Biscuit Co. Ltd., Pub - Boragaon, P.O. Gotanagar, Guwahati. (Office: Raj Singh Place, Fancy Bazar, Guwahati-781001).	Thin Arrowroot Biscuit Variety. IS : 1011-1981.
121.	CM/L-17111 39 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s Everest Enterprises, S-121, Kasturba Nagar, Anakaguthur, Madras-600070. (Office: 7 Venkatroyan Lane, Madras-600003).	Adhesive Insulations tapes with Cotton textile substrates for electrical purposes. IS : 2448(Part I)-1963.
122.	CM/L-17112 40 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s Popular Enterprises, Old Nagardas, Bhutta School Road, Win Soap & Chemical Compound, (Touching Highway), Andheri (E). Bombay-400069.	PVC Insulated unsheathed Single core flexible cables with copper conductors for working voltages upto and including 1100 volts excluding cables for outdoor use and low temperature application. IS : 694-1977
123.	CM/L-17113 41 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s Shree Mahabir Steel Re-rolling Mills. Agam Kuan, Patna-800007.	High strength deformed steel bars and wires for concrete reinforcement. IS : 1786-1985
124.	CM/L-17114 42 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. S.K. Electrodes (P) Ltd, 4693, Hospital Road, Bhatinda (Punjab)	Covered electrode for metal Arc welding of structural steel, other than sheets Group I Code: E 317412, Size: Upto & Including 5mm. IS:814 (Part I)—1974.
125.	CM/L-17115 43 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. JD Jones & Co Ltd, 8 Dasmesh Sheik Lane, Shibpur, Howrah-71109. (Office: C-3/1, Gillander House, 8 Netaji Subash Road, Calcutta-700001.)	Gland Packing asbestos Grade 1 and upto 12mm size only. IS:4687-1980
126.	CM/L-17116 44 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Superjet Fire & Safety Service, Gala No. 4 Pereira Compound, Asalpha, Govind Nagar, Ghatkopar, Netaji Parkar Marg, Bombay-400084. (Office : 36 Pros- pect Chambers, Annexe, 3rd Floor, 31 D.N. Road, Bombay-400001).	Portable Fire Extinguisher, dry power type capacity—5 kg. IS:2171-1976
127.	CM/L-17117 45 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	The Assam Co-operative Jute Mills Ltd, P.O. Silghat Silghat—782143 (Distt. Nowgong (Assam))	B-Twill Jute Bags for packing food Grains. IS:2566-1984.
128.	CM/L-17118 46 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Kores (India) Ltd, No. 7, Canal Street Calcutta—700014 (W.B.)	Type writer ribbons, Cotton Type 1 (repacking) IS:4174-1977
129.	CM/L-17119 47 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Pesto Chem India, 320, Karawal Nagar Industrial Area, Delhi 74 (Office : 966-67, Tellan Street, Behind Novelty Cinema, Delhi-110006.)	Methyl Parathion Dusting Powder 2%. IS:8960-1978
130.	CM/L-17120 40 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s Frac Power Motors, 334-B, Jonapur, Mehrauli, New Delhi-110030.	Single -Phase, AC Motors of 93 and 130 w, Capacitor start & run with class E insulation fan duty (fixed load) Motors IS:996-1979
131.	CM/L-17121 41 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Gupta Bakelite Factory, 220, Gali Basheshor Nath, Subzi Mandi, Delhi- 110007.	Propeller type AC Ventilating fans, single phase 450 mm class E insulation without speed regulators. IS:2312—1967.
132.	CM/L-17122 42 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Metropol India Pvt. Ltd. 262, Phase III, Okhla Industrial Estate, New Delhi- 110020.	Soft soap type 1. IS:7532-1974.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
133. CM/L-17123 43 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Metzler (India), 220, Naskarpasa Road Chusury, Howrah-711007 (W.B.) (Office : A, Jackson Lane, Calcutta-700001.)	Domestic gas stoves for use with LI G, stainless steel body, double burner, gas stove with cast iron conventional burners of ratings 1662Kcal/L and 2064Kcal/l, total gas consumption is 342 g/l. IS:4246-1984.	
134. CM/L-17124 44 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	The Assam Co-operative Jute Mills Ltd, P.O. Silghat-782143, Distt. Nowgong (Assam).	B-Twill Jut Bags. IS:1943-1964.	
135. CM/L-17125 45 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. North East Biscuits (Pvt.) Ltd, Jakkal, Maheshitala, B.B.T. Road, 24-Parganas, 43552.	Biscuit Varieties: Topsy, Thin Arrowroot, Marine and Gold. IS:1011-1981.	
136. CM/L-17126 46 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Nikam Stove Industries, Bharucha Stable No. 2, Gala No. 12, Musa Killedar St; Jacob Circle, Bombay-400011. (Maharashtra)	Oil Pressure stoves Designation No. 1. IS : 1342—1986.	
137. CM/L-17127 47 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Patel Engg. Corporation, Block No. 6, Khetani Industrial Premises, Bazar Ward, Kurla, Bombay-400070	Domestic gas stoves for use with LPG stainless steel body double burner gas stoves with cast iron conventional burner of ratings 1876 Kcal/l and 1608 Kcal/l. Total gas consumption is 320 g/l. IS:4246-1984.	
138. CM/L-17128 48 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s Indo Wax Industries, C/o M/Shri Hanuman Mills, Kalighat Road, Kahalgau-813203. (Distt. Bhagalpur (Bihar)	Paraffin wax Type 3. IS:4654-1974.	
139. CM/L-17129 49 1987-06-25	1987-06-16	M/s. Plastics Concern, 15, Daspara Road, Calcutta-700067 (Office: 18, R.N. Mukherjee Road, 5th floor, Calcutta-700001).	High density polyethelene pipes for potable water supplies sewages and industrial effluents. Class 1: All sizes upto 110 mm Class 2: All sizes upto 110 mm Class 3: All sizes upto 110 mm Class 4: All sizes upto 110 mm Class 5: All sizes upto 110 mm. IS : 4984-1978	
140. CM/L-17130 42 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Rehmani Metal Corporation., A-10, Bonanza Industrial Estate, Ashok Chakravarti Road, Kandivali (E), Bombay 400101.	Ball Valves (Horizontal Plunger type) Class : HP Size : 15 mm. IS : 1703-1977.	
141. CM/L-17131 43 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. The Indian Aluminium Cable Ltd., Kannamangala Village, Hoskote Taluka, via Kadugodi, Bangalore Distt.-560067.	Aluminium Conductors galvanized steel reinforced for extra High Voltages 400 KV and above). IS : 398 (Pt V)-1982.	
142. CM/L-17132 43 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Western Cables Private Limited Plot No. 7613/P, GIDC Estate, Ankleshwar-393002.	PVC Insulate: (Heavy Duty) electric cables with aluminium conductors armoured and unarmoured for working voltages upto and including 1100 Volts. IS : 1554 (Pt I)-1976	
143. CM/L-17133 45 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. National Manufactures, Thana O.T. Section, Near BK No. 1145, Ulhasnagar-421005.	PVC insulated sheathed/unsheathed cables with copper and aluminium conductors for working voltages upto and including 1100 volt excluding cables for outdoor use and Low Temperature Condition. IS : 694—1977.	
144. CM/L-17134 46 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Blue Punk Industries, 66/3, GIDC Estate, Wadhwan-city-363030 (Gujarat)	Three phase induction motors with class of insulation E, 4 pole, TFFC enclosures for output rating of 0.37KW. IS : 325—1978.	
145. CM/L-17135 47 1987-06-25	1987-07-16	M/s. Popular Enterprises, Old Nagardas Bhuta School Road, Near Highway, Andheri (E) Bombay-400093.	PVC insulated (Heavy Duty) Electric Cables with copper conductors armoured, unarmoured for working voltages excluding cables for low temperature conditions. IS : 1554(Pt I)—1976.	

का.भा. 2420 :—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के विनियम 4 के उपविनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :—

अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	लाइसेंस संख्या	लागू होने की अवधि	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम और पता	लाइसेंस के अन्तर्गत वस्तु/प्रकार और भारतीय मानक
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1. सी एम/एल 17136-48 1987-06-30	1987-07-01	मै. सिद्धार्थ पेपर्स लि., 61 एंड 62 इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, फेज 3, सैक्टर, 6, धारुहेड़ा (हरियाणा)	चुम्बकीय स्थायी लक्षण पहचान वाले चैकों के मुद्रण हेतु कागज IS: 11087-1986	
2. सी एम/एल-17137-49 1987-06-30	1987-08-16	मै. जे.डी. जोन्स एंड कं. लि., 8 दिनेश शेख नैन शिवपुर, हावड़ा 711109	स्नेहित सूती ग्लैण्ड पैकिंग, साइज 12 मिमी तक केवल IS: 9066-1985	
3. सी ए/एल-17138-50 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. फूड्स स्पेशलिटीज लि. तुघ्रियाना-फिरोजपुर रोड, मोगा-142001 (पंजाब)	शिशु आहार IS: 11156-1986 (मया)	
4. सी एम/एल 17139-51 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. बोडरोह लि., आई.डी. ए फेज 2, पटनाचारी-502319 जिला मंडक (कार्यालय : 115, पार्क लेन, सिकन्दराबाद-500003)	कैप्सूल बंध बांधेदार फोरेट IS: 5359-1980	
5. सी एम/एल-17140-44 1987-07-03	1987-07-01	मै. मनोज होल्डिंग्स एंड मैयूफैक्चरिंग कं. (प्रा.) लि. (प्लॉट नं. 4 एंड 5, सैक्टर 21 बिबानी (हरियाणा) (कार्यालय : 40, रोहित, हाउस, 3 टालस्टाय मार्ग, नई दिल्ली- 110001)	वनस्पति और खाद्य तेलों के लिए 15 कि ग्राम के चौकोर कनस्तर IS: 10325-1982	
6. सी एम/एल-17141-45 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. विजय इंडस्ट्रीज, धोरापदेव रोड, पहली क्रास लैन मोदी कंपाउण्ड रिफ रोड बम्बई-400010 (महाराष्ट्र)	तेल दाब स्टोव, पदनाम, नं. 1 IS: 1342-1986	
7. सी एम/एल-17142-46 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. बी.बी.स्टोव इंडस्ट्रीज, 213, टोडी इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, एनएम जोशी रोड, बम्बई 400013 (महाराष्ट्र) कार्य: 47 सी मोरलेण्ड रोड, शरीफ बिल्डिंग रुवाला, कम्पाउण्ड, बम्बई 400008	तेल दाब स्टोव के बर्नर, शोर वाले टाइप IS: 8808-1980	
8. सी एम/एल-17143-47 1987-07-04	1987-07-16	मै. वि. नेशनल पेंट्स एंड कैंमीकल इंडस्ट्रीज, 19/1 बम्बई-भागरा रोड, मनोरमा ग्रेज, इबीर-452001	भवनों के बाहर फिनिशिंग हेतु संश्लिष्ट इनेमल रंग सबैंग नं.1 IS: 2932-1974	
9. सी एम/एल-17148-48 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. वेंकटेश्वर सिलिंडर्स प्रा.लि., बी-70, सिपकोट इंडस्ट्रियल काम्प्लेक्स, गुमीडिपोन्नी-601201	पुराने सिमिन्टों का पुनर्नवीनीकरण IS: 3196-1982	
10. सी एम/एल-17145-49 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. धार.एस. कन्वर्टर्स एंड केबल्स (प्रा.) लि. ए-81 सैक्टर 4, नोएडा-201301 गाजियाबाद) (कार्य: 26 फिरोज गांधी रोड, राजपत नगर 3, नई दिल्ली-110024)	गिरोपरि प्रेषण के लिए जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित 7 लड़ पाजे एल्युमीनियम जालक IS: 398(भाग 2)-1976	
11. सी एम/एल-17146-50 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. गुप्ता कैलाश कैंट्री, 220 गली बभेश्वर नाथ, सन्जी मंडी, दिल्ली-110007	घरेलू और ऐसे ही प्रयोजनों के लिए स्विच, 5 एम्प और 15 एम्प रेडिंग, 250 मो ए सी केवल प्लाग टाइप IS: 3854-1966	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
12. सी एम/एल-17147-51 1987-07-03	1987-07-01	मै. श्रीराम सीमेंट वर्क्स श्रीराम नगर, कोटा-324001	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट IS: 269-1976	
13. सी एम/एल-17148-52 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. प्रसन्न इंडस्ट्रीज, उषना जिला जींद (हरियाणा)	वनस्पति और खाद्य तेलों के लिए 15 किलोग्राम के चौकोर कमस्तर IS: 10325-1982	
14. सी एम/एल-39149-53 1987-17-03	1987-07-16	मै. श्री शक्ति एनोडिंग इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लाट न. सी-1, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, ग्रनसपु	घनीकृत धातु के टावर काबजों, टाइप 4 सभी साइज IS: 204(भाग 2)-1978	
15. सी एम/एल-17150-46 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	-वही-	एल्युमीनियम मिश्र धातु के दरवाजे के हथके टाइप 4, सभी साइज IS: 208-1979	
16. सी एम/एल-177151-47 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. विन्जुसारिया मेटल वर्क्स क. लि., सर्चें. 139 एंड 140 गगनपहद, रंगरिखी, जिला ग्रान्ध प्रदेश	कंक्रीट प्रबलन के लिए उच्च सामर्थ्य इस्पात के विकृत सरिये और तार IS: 1786-1985	
17. सी एम/एल-17152-48 1987-07-04	1987-07-16	मै. ब्लैकसी पावर केबल्स लि., घाखेरा, जिला महेंद्रगढ़ (हरियाणा) (कार्यालय: ए-24, न्यू शॉपिंग कॉम्प्लेक्स, डिफेंस कॉलोनी, नई दिल्ली-110024)	पी बी सी रोहित (टैबी इयूटी) बिजली और खनन की केबल कवचित और अकवचित. 3.3 किबा कार्यकारी बोस्टता तांबा तारों सहित IS: 1534 (भाग 2)-1981	
18. सी एम/एल-17153-49 1987-07-04	1987-07-16	मै. सी.आई. लैबोरेटरीज, 493, जी.टी. रोड (दक्षिण) शिवपुर, हवड़ा-700002 (प.ब.) (कार्या : 24 बी बसंतपाल शाह रोड, कलकत्ता-700053)	ट्राइबल्लोइथालीन, तकनीकी ग्रेड IS: 245-1970	
19. सी एम/एल-17154-50 1987-07-06	1987-07-01	मै. विन्डल एग्रो कैमिकल लि., जिला रायसेन (म.प्र.) (कार्या: 278 महाराणा प्रताप जोग 2, भोपाल-462011)	वनस्पति IS: 10633-1986	
20. सी एम/एल-17155-51 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. नोबल टरपुलिन इंडस्ट्रीज, कारूर गांव, कर्नाटक (कार्यालय: अशोक रोड, गांधी सर्किल के पास, बेबंगरे-577002)	सामान्य सह कैनवास/डाक पुलिन (टरपुलिन) IS: 2089-1977	
21. सी एम/एल-17156-52 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. जयको इंडस्ट्रीज, जिरांग नगर, एल.बी., शास्त्री मार्ग, पाटकोपर (प.) बम्बई-400006 महाराष्ट्र	तेल दाब स्टोव के लिए बनर, शोर वाले टाइप परनाम न. 1 IS: 8808-1986	
22. सी एम/एल-17157-53 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	मै. धनानिया रबड़ लि., 10, वेमेटल गार्डन लैन, (कार्यालय: 29-ए एबी बी, नविन्द्र सरानी कलकत्ता-700073)	घुटने तक के रबड़ के बूट ग्रेड-2 IS: 3738-1975	
23. सी एम/एल-17158-54 1987-07-10	1987-07-01	मै. निकी ताम्बा इंडिया प्रा. लि., प्लाट नं. 38, सैक्टर 6, करीबाबाद-121008 (हरियाणा)	निम्न रेटिंग के दो बनेर वाले गोबर गैस बूट (क) डलवां लोहे का रोगन किया डांचा, सा.ता.पा. पर प्रत्येक 450 लि./घ. रेटिंग के बनेर सहित (ख) स्टेनलैस इस्पात के डांचा सा.ता. पा. पर 450 लि. रेटिंग के बनेरों सहित IS: 8749-1978	

1	2	3	4	5
24. सी एम/एल-17159-55 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. धनरेश्वर सीमेंट्स लि., 8 किमी, हुनसिंगी, कोमभाषी रोड, मरेखेरेजी, शरारपुर, ताप्लुक, गुलबर्गा जिला, 'कार्या: माहार कुलप, 496 ए 9वां रोड क्रास 3 मेन रंग महुल बिलास एक्सटेंशन, बगलोर-560008)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट IS : 269-1976	
25. सी एम/एल-17160-48 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. मून लाइट ग्लू इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लाट नं. 5, गली नं. 9, खसरा नं. 22/3 समथ पुर, विष्ली-110042	सामान्य प्रयोजनों के लिए एथ्युमिनियम रोगन IS : 2339-1963	
26. सी एम/एल-17161-49 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. एयरभोम कैमिकल इंडस्ट्रीज, ताकी रोड, डा. बाबू बाजार वामुनपुरा, भारासत, जिला 24 परगना (प. ब.) (कार्या: 23-ए नेताजी सुभाष रोड, उरा तल, कामरा नं. 5, कमकसा-700001)	द्रवों के साथ प्रयुक्त निम्न टाइप के धरलू गैस बूल्हे : (1) स्टैनलेस इस्पात ढांचा, दुहुरा बर्नर वाला चूल्हा, 1554 कि. मी. घु 2064 कि. मी. रेडिंग के परम्परागत ढलवां लोहे के बर्नर, कल गैस खपत 332 ग्राम/घं. (2) ढलवां लोहे का रोगन किया ढांचा, दुहुरे बर्नर वाला 1394 कि. मी./घं. और 2064 कि. मी./घं. रेडिंग ढलवां बर्नर कुल गैस खपत 305 ग्राम/घं. IS : 4246-1984	
27. सी एम/एल-17162-50 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. श्री जैना पीथ धर्मा, 2-3-12 मुक्तिम नायकर ब्लॉक, शिवकाशी-626123 (तमिलनाडु) (कार्यालय : 301-बी गनाभिरी रोड, किबाकमकी)	विश्वी बंध लिफाफे विद्यालयाई IS : 2653-1980	
28. सी एम/एल-17163-51 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. ओसवाल इलेक्ट्रीकल कंक्टर्स, गांव राम चन्द्रपुरा भजनर रोड, जयपुर (कार्यालय : 17 पुरोहित जी बाग, एम टी रोड, जयपुर-302001)	शिरोपरिप्रेषण के लिए 7 लड़ काले अस्तीकृत इस्पातीकृत प्रणालित एथ्युमिनियम चालक IS : 398 (भाग 2)-1977	
29. सी एम/एल-17164-52 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. फौरोकोन, ग्राम-मोहेवाला इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, बेहराबून (उत्तर प्रदेश) (कार्या: 6 अमृत नौर रोड, बेहराबून-248001)	प्रयुक्त सीमेंट कंक्रीट पाइप (श्रेणी एन पी 2 एन पी 2-साइज 150 मिमी तक श्रेणी एन पी 3 साइज 450 मिमी. तक IS : 468-1971	
30. सी एम/एल-17165-53 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. मेटल टेक्नोलॉजी कारपोरेशन, सी-7, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, बारणसी-221002 (कार्या: डी-57/49 जी मौलवी बाग, बारणसी)	एक पक्षित काले रेडियल बालबेयरिंग बोर साइज 85 मिमी तक आयाम साइज 02 और 03 IS : 6455-1972 (नया)	
31. सी एम/एल-17166-54 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	ग्रान्ध सीमेंट कं. लि. पो. बा. नं. 601 गांधी नगर पोस्ट, बिजेयवाड़ा-520003	पोर्टलैंड ब्राउ मल सीमेंट IS : 455-1976	
32. सी एम/एल-17167-55 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. गणेश इंजीनियरिंग, विष्णु फोर्ड काम्प्लेक्स, सुब्रतो मुखर्जी रोड, डा. बेराहल्लो, बगलोर-560057	इंजीनियरी प्रयोजनों हेतु लोहे और इस्पात पर कठोर क्रोमियम का विद्युतलैंग्य IS : 1337-1980	
33. सी एम/एल-17168-56 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. श्री राम सीमेंट इंडस्ट्रीज, ए.बी. रोड, गाढ़रोतली मकसी 465106 जिला-सागरपुर	प्रयुक्त सीमेंट कंक्रीट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी-2 साइज 900 मिमी से 1200 मिमी तक श्रेणी एन पी-3 साइज 900 मिमी से 1200 मिमी तक IS : 458-1971	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
34. सी.एम./एल.-17169-57 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. सावर पम्पस प्रा. लि., प्लॉट सं. 3704- जीआरडीसीएस्टेट, फेज 4, म्यू निरमा सर्किल के पीछे, बैतवा, महमबाबाद (कार्या) 10, कोठारी इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, धस्तम मिल के सामने, दुधेधर रोड, महमबाबाद	माफ ठंडे, ताजे पानी के लिए सैट निम्न रेटिंग के माडल चरण गति (आरपी एम) जेएम 100 5 2860 बोर एंड ड्राउटलैट माहज (मि मी) 150 X 50 ड्यूटी प्वाइंट	विमज्य पम्प (आरपी एम) 2860 (मि मी) 150 X 50 ड्यूटी प्वाइंट
			उठाव (मी)	निकास (लिप्रिमि) समग्र दक्षता % पावर निवेश (किवा)
			40	286 41 4.5
			मोटर रेटिंग (किवा)	
			मोटर वैट टाइप, के. डब्ल्यू. संवर्ग-बी	
			कि वा 3.7	
			ए 7.8	
			IS : 8034-1976	
35. सी.एम./एल.-17170 50 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. इलेक्ट्रिकल एप्लाइमेज, शिव भवन, जागलपुर 812001	गिरोपरि प्रेषणों के लिए 4 09 धरा के ए:पु. मोनियम तार वाले इस्पात प्रचलित एड्यू- मोनियम चालक-- IS : 398 (भाग 2) - 1976	
36. सी.एम./एल.-17171 51 1987-07-14	1987-08-01	मै. न्यू विक्रम सीमेंट, विक्रम नगर डा., जिला कोर, संवर्ग-438330	पोर्टलैंड फोजोलाना सीमेंट-- IS : 1489-1976	
37. सी.एम./एल.-17172 52 1987-07-14	1987-08-01	-बड़ी-	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट IS : 269-1976	
38. सी.एम./एल.-17173 53 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	मै. मश्रम सीमेंट फं. लि, जयसौपुरम 521175, जगदलौट तालुक, कृष्णा जिला (आन्ध्र प्रदेश)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट-- IS : 269-1976	
39. सी.एम./एल.-17174 54 1987-07-14	1987-08-01	मै. आर. कुमार एंड कं., डा. माटोगु (फिज गोवाम के पास) जिला बार्जिलिंग (प. बं.)	आय को पेटियों के लिए प्लाईवुड कोष्ठ-- IS : 10 (भाग 2)-1976	
40. सी.एम./एल.-17175 55 1987-07-14	1987-08-01	मै. यूनिवर्सल पेस्ट्रोसिस्ट्स (प्रा.) लि. 17/1, बी एंड सी इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट बिल्डिंग (कार्यालय: पावर हाउस के सामने, अस्पताल रोड, बिल्डिंग-464001)	डो डाटो 5 % सुरक्षा बर्ग-- IS : 364-1984	

1	2	3	4	5
41. सी एम/एल-17176 56 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. दिगु. के. टिन कन्स्ट्रेंस, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, खंडवा (म.प्र.) (कार्यालय : मांभर निवास, खंडवा-430001)	वनस्पति और छाया सेवों के लिए 15 कि.मी. के बौकोर कनस्तर-- IS : 10325-1982	
42. सी एम/एल-17177 57 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. कृषि इंस्टीट्यूट, 12 टेक्स्टाइल फोडर इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, गंगानि, कोयंबटूर-641006	माक, ठंडे, ताजे पानी के लिए निम्न रेटिंग के निमज्ज्य पम्प-- माइल स्टेज गति वितरण (च.प्र.मि.) माइल (मिमी) 64-6 -- 2880 65 ड्यूटी प्वाइंट उठाव निकास समग्र प्रभाव (मी.) (फु.) प्रतिफल 36 8.6 48 मोटरप रेटिंग (कि.वा.) 5.5 कि.वा.पू.तम 150 मिमी बोर के लिए उपयुक्त- IS : 8034-1975	
43. सी एम/एल-17178 58 1987-07-16	1987-07-16	मै. एम. के. इंजीनियर्स (विल्ली) प्रा. लि., बो-11, मोहन कोप. इ. इ. एस्टेट, मधुरा रोड, बदरपुर, नई दिल्ली-110044	कंक्रिट प्रबलन के लिए उच्च सामर्थ्य के इस्पात के विकृत सर्पिल और तार-- IS : 1788-1985	
44. सी एम/एल-17179 59 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. लम्बोवर पाइप्स, 11वां किमी हरबोई रोड, लखनऊ (उ.प्र.)	प्रबलित सीमेंट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी 2-माइल 1200 मिमी तक श्रेणी एन पी 3-माइल 450 मिमी तक 600 मिमी और 900 मिमी से 1200 मिमी तक-- IS : 458-1977	
45. सी एम/एल-17180 52 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. श्री शिव स्वर्ण पाइप्स, मुरखी, बजरंग नगर, जौनपुर (उ.प्र.) (कार्यालय : बजरंग नगर जौनपुर)	प्रबलित सीमेंट कंक्रिट पाइप श्रेणी एन पी 3-माइल 450 मिमी तक, श्रेणी 600 मिमी और 900 मिमी से 1200 मिमी तक IS : 418-1971	
46. सी एम/एल-17181 53 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. पी. एम. ओ. इंस्टीट्यूट इंस्टीट्यूट, पी एन को ओरिएण्टेड ट्रेनिंग सेक्टर, (इंजीनियरिंग डिबीजन) द्वारा पी एस जी कालिज आर्ट्स एंड साइंस, मिथिला एरोग्राम डा. कोयंबटूर (कार्या. : पीलासूड कोयंबटूर 641014)	एक मिनेट, लाल पीनित, चार स्टोक निम्न साईज रेटिंग का ड्राइंग इंजन (प्रतिघूर्णन सहित)-- टाइम/माइल ऊर्जा/घंटा घातक कोई किमी. (3) (4) अ. व. व. व. व. टो बी 3.7 (5)	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
भ				
			रेटिंग गति गति व प्र सि	यांत्रिक क्षमता घोषित (घ) परिष्कृत (घ)
			1300	301 33 रेटिंग (घ)
			एसपीसी (घोषित) इंजिन	
			याम/निर्माण	प्रमाण/प्रमाण
			245	प्रमाण
			IS : 11170-1986	
47. सीएम/एल-17182 54 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	सै. निरूपित पेपर इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 33 जी-ईई कुटीरपल्ली, हैदराबाद 500037	20 कि मा अधिकतम द्रव्यमान के लिए दुरुस्तो मिलित वाले वासीदार रेगा बोर्ड के बावजूद-- IS : 2771 (भाग 1)-1977	
48. सीएम/एल-17183 55 1986 07 16	1987-08-01	ग्रीष्म काटन कं. लि., जे-2 एम आई सी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, बिआलथाना (कार्या : 1 डा. पी. बी. गणेश मॉन, पो. बी. नं. 1 बम्बई 400023)	एक सिलिंडर, ऊर्ध्वधर, वायु शक्ति, चार स्ट्रोस निम्न रेटिंग का औद्योगिक ईंधन :- क्र.सं. टाइप/माडल उ वर्ग/ (उ)/(स)	
			1. 8 एल बी 325/सी/523 उ	
			2. -वही- उ	
			3. 6 एल बी 325/सी/1520 उ	
			4. -वही- उ	
			5. 3 एल बी 400/एल बी ए-80 उ	
			6. 3 एल बी 450/एल बी ए-450 उ	
			7. 3 एल बी 510/एल बी ए-510 उ	
			8. 6 एल बी 360/530 उ	
			9. 6 एल बी 360/530 उ	
			10. 6 एल बी 360/सी/533 उ	
			11. 6 एल बी 360/सी/536 उ	
			प्रोड्यूसर किता/	रेटिंग गति
			आयन शक्ति)	चरित्र
			एसएससी (बी ई सी) संशोधन/प्रो एव पो.	
			3. 65/5	3600 299/220
			3. 23/4. 4	3000 279/205
			3. 68/5	3600 299/220
			3. 23/4. 4	3000 279/205
			4. 9/6. 6	3000 286/210
			5. 5/7. 4	3000 206/210
			6. 2/8. 3	3000 279/205
			4. 4/6	3600 299/220
			4/5. 5	3000 279/205
			4. 4/6	3600 299/220
			4. 5	3000 279/205

1	2	3	4	5
			गवनिग श्रेणी	यांत्रिक दक्षता प्र. कोषित प्र.
			बी 1	60
			बी 1	65
			बी 1	60
			बी 1	65
			बी 1	70
			बी 1	70
			बी 1	70
			बी 1	60 प
			बी 1	60 प
			बी 1	60 प
			बी 1	65
			IS : 11170-1985	
49. सी एम/एल-17184 56 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	म. गार्डन इंजी. वर्क्स, 64 बन्धवन, मधिलकलेन, हुबली	अल कल के लिए सी आई स्लस वाल्व श्रेणी पी एन 1.0 स्लस 350 मि.मी. श्रेणी एन 1.6 स्लस 600 मि.मी. IS : 2930-1984	
50. सी एम/एल-17185 57 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. शामभावी इंस्ट्रुमण्ट कंजिंग, डी 2/3 काकोमाडा इंस्ट्रुमण्ट एस्टेट, कुरकोरम, गोवा-403706	व्यापारिक फिस्कोटकों के लिए नालीदार रेखा बोर्ड के बाक -7 प्लैट वाक्स--- IS : 20212 (भाग 1)-1982	
51. सी एम/एल-17186 58 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. मांडेला स्टील्स एंड एलायज लि., प्लॉट नं. 34/43 इंस्ट्रुमण्ट एरिया, बोसीगांव, रतलाम (म.प्र.) कार्यालय : 7 बां तल, रेगम भवन, बी र निर्माण रोड, बम्बई	कंक्रीट प्रबल के लिए उच्च सामर्थ्य इस्पात के सरिंग और तार--- IS : 1786-1985	
52. सी एम/एल-17187 59 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. भारदा प्लाईवुड इंस्ट्रुमण्ट लि., अयपुर रोड, जिला अयपुर, बिछा गढ़ (असम) (कार्यालय : 9 पागली चर्च स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-700001)	पातवड़ी सजावटी प्लाईवुड बाण 1 और 2--- IS : 1329-1982	
53. सी एम/एल-17188 60 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. नेशनल ग्रायल कं., 7 एम. एन. फील्ड रोड, एरियावाहा, कलकत्ता 700057 (कार्यालय : पी-38 इंडियन एक्सचेंज प्लेत, कलकत्ता-700001)	फर्टिलिज, माफ टाइप 1 ग्रेड 2, टाइप 2 ग्रेड 2 और 3 IS : 3065-1985	
54. सी एम/एल-17189 61 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. एटलस सर्जिकल कं., ए-95 प्रधान मार्ग, निरकारी कालोनी, दिल्ली-110009 (कार्यालय : 32 लाजपत राय मार्किट, दिल्ली-110006)	निजमक. थेंद (मज माहल) बिजली चालिन साइज बड़ा IS : 5022-1979	
55. सी एम/एल-17190 54 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. वाई एम इंस्ट्रुमण्ट, 101 पारस इंस्ट्रुमण्ट एस्टेट, पहला तल, नारघर, वासी (यू)-401202, जिला ठाणे	तेल वाष्प स्टोव के बर्नर, थोर बाई टाइप पबनाम नं 1 IS : 5022-1979	
56. सी एम/एल-17191 55 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. शिव मैननीशिया, पंचायत के पास कार्यालय : खारगोष्ठा डाकखाने के पास, जिला सुरेन्द्र नगर (गुजरात)	मैत्रनीशियम क्लोराइड ग्रेड 3--- IS : 254-1974	
57. सी एम/एल-17192 56 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. पारुल इंस्ट्रुमण्ट, 4-1/96 जी आई सी सी, नंदसारी इंस्ट्रुमण्ट एस्टेट, जिला बड़ोवा	मोनोक्रोमोफास 36 प्रतिगत एस एल--- IS : 8774-1983	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
58. सी एम/एल-17193 57 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. एस एस इंडस्ट्रीज, 202/3, नेताजी सुभाष चन्द्र बोस रोड, कलकत्ता-70007 (पं.बं.)	मेम्बर सीलिंग्ट डिटरजेंट आधारित रंग और पायल टाइप IS : 7884-1978	
59. सी एम/एल-17194 58 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. सीको पेन्ट एंड केमीकल इंडस्ट्रीज (इंडिया), इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया के पास, रीवा-486006 (म.प्र.)	हवा में सूखने वाला तैयारमुदा रोगन--- IS : 2074-1979	
60. सी एम/एल-17195 59 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	मै. गुप्ता प्रोडक्ट्स, डी-14, लाईन-ए, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, मथुरा रोड, सिकन्दरा, भागलपुर-222007 (कार्यालय : 49 एम आई जी, म्यू बाहंगंज, भागलपुर-222010)	साधारण पोर्टलैण्ड सीमेंट-- IS : 269-1976	
61. सी एम/एल-17196 60 1987-07-17	1987-08-01	मै. किजल इलेक्ट्रोड प्रा. लि., जी. टी. रोड, डा. चिकम्बरपुर गिरी बाईर, साहिबाबाद जिला गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.) (कार्यालय : 1805 इलेक्ट्रिकल मार्किट, चांदनी चौक, दिल्ली-110006)	विद्युत प्रयोजनों के लिए वायु संतृप्ति मांसजी विद्युत रोधन टैप, प्लास्टिककृत पी वी सी नान थर्मोसिटिंग सहित मांसजी पदनाम एफ-पी वी सी पी/90/मो टी पी IS : 7809 (भाग 2, खंड 1)-1977 (नया)	
62. सी एम/एल-17197 61 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	मै. श्री मीना सर्जिकल काटन, 24-ए/4 छत्रपति रोड, समूरीगापुरम, राजापलायम-626117 (तमिलनाडु)	हथकरखे की अवशोषी, निःनिर्जमित सूती गांज IS : 758-1982	
63. सी एम/एल-17198 62 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	मै. शाह प्रदर्श, पटेल इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, पड़मा तल, भूना भट्टी, एम बी रोड बाहिर (पू) बम्बई-400068 (महाराष्ट्र) (कार्यालय : 14 खोंसला क्रॉसलेन 2सरा तल, पडोनी, बम्बई-400003)	तेल वायु स्टोव, पदनाम नं. 101--- IS : 1342-1986	
64. सी एम/एल-17199 63 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	मै. थिस्वेकूक हेडपूम्स, 90-114 अशोक टाकीज, सजापलायम (कार्यालय : 114 अशोक टाकीज, स्ट्रीट, राजापलायम-626117)	हथकरखे की अवशोषी, निःनिर्जमित सूती गांज-- IS : 758-1978	
65. सी एम/एल-17200 39 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	मै. सब्बरवाल मैन्युफैक्चरिंग लैब्स लि., प्लाट नं. 9, जी टी रोड भवानीपुर, डा मधाला, तहसील बिलूर, कानपुर (कार्यालय : 117/एच-2/160 पंडितनगर, कानपुर)	सूती फ्रेम पट्टी-- IS : 4605-1981 (नया)	
66. सी एम/एल-17201 40 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	मै. कार्ड बोर्ड प्रोडक्ट्स (गोपिया) डा. इंडियन ग्रुपमोसिव जिला गिरडी बिहार (कार्यालय : 7ए लोथर सर्फुवर रोड, कलकत्ता-700017)	व्यापारिक उच्च धिसकोटकों के लिए पैकेज (नालीदार रेखा बोर्ड) 5 प्लाई कुहरी भित्ति IS : 10212 (भाग 1)-1986	
67. सी एम/एल-17202 41 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	मै. एक्सेस्ट बिल्डिंग प्रोडक्ट्स लि., एक्सेस्ट नगर कैमूर-483880, बाया जुकीही, (मध्य नेपाल) (म.प्र.)	अप्रबलित नाथीदार एक्सेस्टात सामट का चबूतर (कुहरा प्रतिरोधी को छोड़कर)-- IS : 459-1970	
68. सी एम/एल-17203 42 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	मै. अरुणोदय मार्फोडी काटन सोल्म प्रासेसिंग प्लांट गिदुडवाहा-15210 (पंजाब) जिला पटानकोट	मिश्रित पशु आहार, टाइप 2 (वैलेंट और मसला हुआ) IS : 2052-1979	
69. सी एम/एल-17204 43 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. अरुणोदय मेरल इंडस्ट्रीज प्रा. लि., ग्राम पिनगुली एम आई डी इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट के पास, कुदल, जिला सिपुगुरी-416520 (महाराष्ट्र) कार्यालय : 3 यमुना ग्रांड फ्लोर, आजाद रोड, अंधेरी (पू) बम्बई-400069	पिटवां लोहे एड्युमिनियम के बलन--- IS : 1660 (भाग 1 से 4)	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
70. सी एम/एल-17205 44 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. कबी प्लांटेशन एंड इन्वेस्टमेंट, ठाना मामपेडडा-676542 मलापरम जिला (केरल) (कार्यालय: बनारघाटा रोड, बंगलौर-560076)	अमोनिया परिरक्षित सांद्र रबलेड लेटेक्स एच ए ग्रेड-- IS: 5430-1981 उच्च विरुद्धकोणों के लिए न.सी.दार	
71. सी एम/एल-17206 45 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. पेपर प्लास्ट, सी-II इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, राउरकेला-769004 (उड़ीसा)	रेशाबोर्ड के बाइ-3-5 प्लाई वुडरी भित्ति-- IS: 10212 (भाग 1)--1982	
72. सी एम/एल-17207 46 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. मगडायने इ-स्ट्रीक प्रा. लि. एल-6 एल आई डी सी ओ एस्टेट, कोडिगियर मद्रास-600051 (कार्यालय: 41 बूमरा तल, अपना गार्डन टेकर रोड, मद्रास)	तीन फेज पावर ट्रांसफार्मर, 250 किवा एम्प तक, 11 किवा 433 वो, तेल और प्राकृतिक हवा द्वारा शीतलन बेस्टर ग्रुप बी आई II IS: 2026 (भाग I) 1977	
73. सी एम/एल-17208 47 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. सोडापी सीमेंट्स एंड केमिकल्स प्रा. लि., ई-88/89 अर्बुडा इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, भाबूरोड 307026 (राजस्थान)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट-- IS: 269-1976	
74. सी एम/एल-17209 48 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. बजरिया इंडस्ट्रीज, बोधक रोड, खाममेट 388620 (कार्यालय: 103 डा. मैसक्रेगिलास रोड, मन्नगांव, बम्बई-400010)	एच ग्रार सी 9युज लार्ज, 63ए, 415 ए, एसी टाइप जी 2 बोल्टेज टाइप, साइज ए-3- IS: 2206-1982	
75. सी एम/एल-17210 41 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. शांता इलेक्ट्रिकल्स इंडस्ट्रीज, सी-16, इंड- स्ट्रियल एस्टेट, ययाडी, मंगलूर-575008	फ्लोरोसेंट लैम्प के लिए बैलेस्ट, 40वां, 240 वो बिना चिल्लकन के, तांबा बंधी, धारा 0.43ए और पावर गुणक 0.5 IS: 1534 (भाग 1)--1977	
76. सी एम/एल-17211 42 1987-07-14	1987-08-16	मै. केबल्स (इंडिया) प्रा. लि., बी.-5 इंड- स्ट्रियल एस्टेट, बालसोर (उड़ीसा) (कार्या: गोपाल गांव) मिरजापोखारी (बालसोर)	मोटर वाहनों के लिए 100 वो तक सामान्य तारबन्धी के लिए एक क्रॉड (2.5 मिमी. 2 तक) वाली पीसीसी रोधिन केबल। IS: 2465--1969	
77. सी एम/एल-17212 43 1987-07-24	1987-08-16	मै. मोहता सीमेंट प्रा. लि., प्लाट नं. 61- 62 पीतमपुर, फेज 3, बङ्गागांव, (कार्या: सागरमाथा अपार्टमेंट, 18 एम.जी. रोड, इन्दौर-452001)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट। IS: 269-1976	
78. सी एम/एल-17213 44 1987-07-23	1987-08-16	मै. दिव्यकण इंडस्ट्रीज, 41 पोरैज इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, डा. साहिबाबाद, जिला गाजिया- बाद (उ.प्र.) (कार्या: 432/3 अम्सारी रोड, दरिया गंज, नई दिल्ली-110002)	सड़क पर रोशनी के अती उपकरण, 1x40 वा और 2x40 नलिकाकार फ्लोरोसेंट लैम्प का प्रयोग करने हुए 8 मी कम माउंटिंग ऊंचाई IS: 2149-1970	
79. सी एम/एल-17214 45 1987-07-21	1987-08-16	मै. जय प्रकाश एंटर प्राइजेज, 3/1 साइट नं. 4, साहिबाबाद इंडस्ट्रियल कॉम्प्लेक्स साहिबाबाद जिला गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	इंजीनियरी और सामान्य प्रयोजन के लिए इस्पात के पाइप ग्रेड ईथारक्यू, 200 साइज: 42 से 114.3 मिमी तक। IS: 3601--1984	
80. सी एम/एल-17215 46 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. आनन्द स्टीलमेड इंडियन प्रा. लि., सी 18 एंड 19, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, पटना 800013 (बिहार)	केशिका पुरित, बहुबन्ती टाइप, गैर स्टाव। IS: 2980-1979	
81. सी एम/एल-17216 47 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. पारा डाईकैमसी/ओ चारला ग्रुप इंडस्ट्रीज, एच-11 एमआईडीसी तालोजा जिला रिंगाव (महारा) कार्या: 103 प्रिया, रुप दर्शन के पास "सी" जुहू स्कीम अंधेरी (प) बम्बई-400058)	टाइटाजीत, छाद्य ग्रेड IS: 1694-1974	

1	2	3	4	5
82. सीएम/एल-17217 48 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. श्रुतारी प्रुकिंग इंडस्ट्रीज, न. 63 माउंट रोड, गुन्डी, मद्रास-600032	सामान्य सह कैमकम/उरु पुलिन (टर्नपुलिन) IS:2089-1977	
83. सीएम/एल-17218 49 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. राहुल एन्टरप्राइजेज, प्लाट नं. 150 सेक्टर 1, बजाज टेम्पो, पीतमपुरा इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया जिला-धारा (म.प्र.) (कार्या: 88 कंचनबाग 3 नागोरी अपार्टमेंट, इन्दौर-452001)	खाद्य तेलों और वनस्पति के लिए 15 किग्रा के चौकोर कनस्तर। IS:10325-1982 IS:10325-1982	
84. सीएम/एल-17219 50 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. कालकर प्रॉडक्ट्स प्रा.लि., ग्राम भूपदेव-पुर तहसील-खरसिया राजगढ़ (म.प्र.) (कार्या: कैसल हाउस, पल्ला तल, 5/1ए ह्यूबर्फोर्ट स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-700017)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट। IS:269-1976	
85. सीएम/एल-17220 43 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. एम.पी. स्टेट काप., आयनसीड प्रोजेक्ट सर्विसेज, सोसाइटी, वनस्पति प्लास्ट, सराह, चुरहट, सिधी (कार्या: महाराणा प्रताप नगर भगवान काम्पलैक्स, भोपाल-462011)	वनस्पति और खाद्य तेलों के लिए 15 किग्रा के चौकोर कनस्तर IS:10325-1982	
86. सीएम/एल-17221 44 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	पी.एन. इंजीनियरिंग कं., एलाप्पकम, रोड, कार्या: धारकोट रोड, बालासारा-बक्कम, मद्रास-600087	पावर ट्रांसफार्मर, 100 किबा एम्प. 11 किबा/433 वो "ओएनएएन" शीतलन टाइप महिन वेक्टर गुण दीवाई। IS:2026(भाग 1)-1977	
87. सीएम/एल-17222 45 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. सीको पेट्स एंड फैमिली इंडस्ट्रीज (इंडिया), इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया के पास, चौरा हाटा, रीवा-486006(उ.प्र.)	भवनों के बाहर प्रयोग के लिए फिनिशिंग हेतु संश्लिष्ट इनेमल रंग संबंध संख्या 11 गहरा त्रिम शेड IS:2932-1974	
88. सीएम/एल-17223 46 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	म. सीपारागा सीमेंट, प्रा.लि., परागी रोड, शाह नगर -509216, महबूब नगर जि. (कार्या: प्लाट नं. 265 एन, रोड नं. 10, जुबली मिस्स, हैबराबाद-500031)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट IS:269-1976	
89. सीएम/एल-17224 47 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. पारा डाईकैम डारा/चावला ग्रुप प्रॉक इंडस्ट्रीज, एच 11 एम आईडीसी सला-कोडा जिला रिगाद (मरा.) (कार्या: 103 प्रिया दर्शन के पास ("सी" ब्रू स्कीम, भंघेरी (पश्चिम), बम्बई-400058)	सनवैट येनो एफकोएफ, खाद्य ग्रेड IS:1695-1974	
90. सीएम/एल-17225 48 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. प्रबो पैटोकेम (प्रा.) लि., लाओवाली रोड, जालंधर सिटी (पंजाब)	पैराकिन मोम, टाइप 3 IS:4654-1974	
91. सीएम/एल-17226 49 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. अवि एन्टरप्राइजेज, 10 इंडस्ट्रियल एन्स्टेट, बनमोर सुरेता (म.प्र.) (कार्या: 50 शाहबा प्रताप आश्रम, लखर, ग्वालियर)	प्रबलित सीमेंट कंक्रीट वाइर। IS:458-1971	
92. सीएम/एल-17227 50 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. ओरियन्टल कलर एंड पेंट्स इंडस्ट्रीज (प्रा.) लि., बी-91 फेज 1, मायापुरी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, नई दिल्ली-110064	भारतीय मानकों के अनुकूल भवनों के अंदर प्रयुक्त फिनिशिंग हेतु रंगार शुभा रंगत। IS:3537-1966	
93. सीएम/एल-17228 51 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. ओरियन्टल कलर एंड पेंट्स इंडस्ट्रीज (प्रा.) लि., बी.-91, फेज 1, मायापुरी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, नई दिल्ली-110064	भवनों के अंदर प्रयुक्त फिनिशिंग के लिए संश्लिष्ट इनेमल। IS:133-1975	
94. सीएम/एल-17229 52 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	मै. रस्तो बक्से, सी-45 बजीरपुर इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, दिल्ली-110052	द्वय भातु पालिन। IS:5487-1989	

S.O. 2420:—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations, 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No. and Date (CM/L—)	Period of Validity From to	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/process Covered by the Licences and the Relevant IS : Designation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	CM/L—17136 48 1987-06-30	1987-07-01	M/s. Sidharth Paper Ltd., 61 & 62, Industrial Estate, Phase-III, Sector-6, Dharuhera (Haryana)	Paper for magnetic ink character recognition cheque printing IS : 11087—1986.
2.	CM/L—17137 49 1986-06-30	1987-07-16	M/s. J.D. Jones & Company Ltd., 8 Danesh Shaikh Lane Shilpur, Howrah-711109	Lubricated cotton gland packing, upto size 12 mm only. IS : 9066—1979 (New)
3.	CM/L—17138 50 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Food Specialities Limited, Ludhlana-Ferozpur Road, Moga-142001 (Punjab)	Infant Formulae. IS : 11156—1985 (NEW)
4.	CM/L—17139 51 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Volrho Limited, IDA, Phase II, Patancheru-502319 Medak Distt. (Office : 115, Park Lane, Secunderabad-500003).	Phorate Granules Encapsulated. IS : 9359—1980
5.	CM/L—17140 44 1987-07-03	1987-07-01	M/s. Manoj Holdings and Manufacturing Company (P) Ltd., Plot No. 4 & 5, Sector 21, Industrial Area, (Bhiwani (Haryana) (Office : 401, Rohit House, 3 Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi-110001).	15-Kg Square Tins for Vanaspati and Edible Oils. IS : 10325—1982
6.	CM/L—17141 45 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Vijay Industries, Ghorapdeo Road, 1st Cross Lane, Medi Compound, Reay Road, Bombay-400010 (Maharashtra)	Oil Pressure stoves designation No. 1. IS : 1342—1986
7.	CM/L—17142 46 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. V.D. Stove Industries, 213, Todi Industrial Estate, N.M. Joshi Marg, Bombay-400013 (Maharashtra). (Office : 47/C, Morland Road, Sharaf Building, Roowala Compound, Bombay-400008).	Burners for oil pressure stoves, toraxer type, designation No. 1. IS : 8808—1986.
8.	CM/L—17143 47 1987-07-04	1987-07-16	M/s. The National Paint & Chemical Industries, 19/1, Bombay-Agra Road, Manorma Ganj, Indore-452001.	Enamel, synthetic, exterior, finishing, colour category No. 1. IS : 2732—1974
9.	CM/L—17144 48 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Venkateswara Gylinders Private Ltd., B-70, SIP COT Industrial Complex, Gummidipoondi-601201.	Reconditioning of old LPG cylinders. IS : 3176—1982
10.	CM/L—17145 49 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. R.S. Conductors & Cables (P) Ltd., A-81, Sector IV, NOIDA-201301 (Ghaziabad) (Office : 26, Peroz Gandhi Road, Lajpat Nagar III, New Delhi-110024.)	Aluminium conductors galvanized steel reinforced for overhead transmission purposes with seven wire strands. IS : 398 (Pt II)—1976
11.	CM/L—17146 50 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Gupta Bakelite Factory, 220, Gali Bashesar Nath, Subzimendi, Delhi-110007.	Switches for domestic and similar purposes, 5A and 15A rating 250V, AC only flush type. IS : 3854—1966

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
12. CM/I—17147 51 1987-07-03	1987-07-01	M/s. Shri Ram Cement Works, Shriram Nagar, Kota-324004.	Ordinary Portland Cement IS : 269—1976	
13. CM/L—17148 52 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Amul Industries, Uchana, Distt. Jind (Haryana)	15-Kg square tins for vanaspati and edible oils. IS : 10325—1982	
14. CM/L—17149 53 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Sri Shakthi Anodizing Industries, Plot No. C-1, Industrial Estate, Anantapur.	Non-ferrous metals tower bolts, Type 4, All sizes IS : 204 (Pt II)—1978	
15. CM/L—17150 46 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Sri Shakti Anodizing Industries, Plot No. C-1, Industrial Estate, Anantapur.	Aluminium alloy door handles, Type 4, All sizes IS : 208—1979	
16. CM/L—17151 47 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Binjuria Metal Box Co. Pvt. Ltd., Survey No. 139 & 140, Ganganpahad, Ranga Reddy, Distt., Andhra Pradesh.	High strength deformed steel bars and wires for concrete reinforcement. IS : 1786—1985	
17. CM/L—17152 48 1987-07-04	1987-07-16	M/s. Galaxy Power Cables Ltd., Dharubera Distt Mohinder Garh (Haryana). (Office : A-23, New Shopping Complex, Defence Colony, New Delhi-110024).	PVC insulated (Heavy duty) Electric and mining cables armoured/ unarmoured for working voltage 3.3 Kv with copper conductors, IS : 1554 (Pt II)—1981	
18. CM/L—17153 49 1987-07-04	1987-07-16	M/s. C.I. Laboratories, 493, G.T. Road, (South) Sibpur, Howrah-700002 (WB) (Office : 24-B, Basantlal Saha Road, Calcutta-700053).	Trichloroethylene Technical IS : 245—1970	
19. CM/L—17154 50 1987-07-06	1987-07-01	M/s. Bindal Agro Chemical Ltd., Mandideep-462046. Distt. Raipur (M.P.) (Office : 278, Maharana Pratap Nagar, Zone-II, Bhopal-462011).	Vanaspati IS : 10633—1986	
20. CM/L—17155 51 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Noble Tarpaulins Industries, Karur Village, Davanagere Taluk, (Karnataka) (Office : Ashoka Road, Near Gandhi Circle, Davangere-577002).	Common Proofed canvas duck pauline (Tarpaulins) IS : 2089—1977	
21. CM/L—17156 52 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Jeco Industries, Chirag Nagar, L.B. Shastri, Marg. Ghatkopar (West) Bombay-400006 (Maharashtra).	Burners for oil pressure stoves, roarer type, designation No 1. IS : 8808—1986	
22. CM/L—17157 53 1987-07-03	1987-07-16	M/s. Dhanania Rubber Ltd., 10, Paymental Garden Lane, Calcutta-700015 (West Bengal) (office : 29-A & B, Rabindra Sarani, Calcutta-700073).	Rubber Knee boots, grade—2. IS : 3738—1975	
23. CM/L—17158 54 1987-07-10	1987-07-01	M/s. Niky Tasha India Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 38, Sector 6, Faridabad-121006 (Haryana).	Double burner gober gas stoves of following types : (a) Cast iron painted body with cast iron burners of ratings 450 l/h each at S.T.P. (b) Stainless steel body with cast iron burners of ratings 450 l/h each S.T.P. IS : 8749—1978	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
24. CM/L—17159 55 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Antraswara Cements Limited, 8 Km Hunsigi Kembhavi Road, Arkere J, Sharapur Tq. Gulbarga Distt. (office : 'AMARA KRUPA', 496, 9th Cross, III Main Reganahal Vilas Extension, Bangalore-560008)	Ordinary portland cement, IS : 267—1976	
25. CM/L—17160 48 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Moonlite Glue Industries, Plot No. 5, Gali No. 9, Khasra No. 22/3, Samepur, Delhi-110042.	Aluminium paints for general purposes, IS : 2339—1963	
26. CM/L—17161 49 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Airon Chemical Industries, Taki Road, PO : Badu Bazar, Bamunmura, Barasat, Distt. 24 Parganas (WB) (office : 23-A, Netaji Subhash Road, 3rd floor, Room No. 5, Calcutta-700001).	Domestic gas stoves for use with LFG of following types : (i) Stainless steel body double burner gas stove with conventional cast iron burners of ratings 1554 Kcal/h and 2064 Kcal/h. Total gas consumption is 332 g/h. (ii) Cast iron painted body double burner gas stove with, conventional cast iron burners of ratings 1394 Kcal/h and 1930 Kcal/h. Total gas consumption is 305 g/h. IS : 4246—1984.	
27. CM/L—17162 50 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Sri Jana Match Works, 2-3-12, Muslim Tkaikkar Street, Sivakasi-626123 (Tamil Nadu) (office : 301-B, Ganaganagiri Road, Sivakasi).	Safety matches in boxes. IS : 2653—1980	
28. CM/L—17163 51 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Oswal Electrical Conductors. Village Ram Chandra Pura, Ajmer Road, Jaipur. (office : 17, Purohit Ji Ka Bagh, M.T. Road, Jaipur-302001).	7 strands aluminium conductors galvanized steel reinforced for overhead transmission purposes. IS : 398 (Pt II)—1777	
29. CM/L—17164 52 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Ferrocon, Village : Mohabewala, Industrial Area, Dehradoon (UP). (office : 6, Amrit Kaur Road, Dehradoon-248001).	Reinforced cement concrete pipes: Class NP 2—Sizes 150 mm Class NP 3—Sizes upto and including 450 mm. IS : 458—1971	
30. CM/L—17165 53 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Metal Technology Corporation, C-7, Industrial Estate, Varanasi-221002. (office : D-57/49G, Moulvi Bagh, Varanasi-771010).	Singal Row radial ball bearings upto 85 mm bore size in dimension series 02 and 03. IS : 6455—1972 (NEW)	
31. CM/L—17166 54 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Andhra Cement Company Limited, P. B. No. 501, Gandhinagar Post, Vijayawada-520003.	Portland Slag Cement. IS : 455—1976	
32. CM/L—17167 55 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Ganesh Engineering. Vishnu Forge Complex, Subroto Mukherji Road, Dasarahalli PO., Bangalore-560057.	Electroplated coatings of hard chromium on iron and steel for engineering purposes. IS : 1337—1980	
33. CM/L—17168 56 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Shri Ram Cement Industries, A.B. Road, Gadroli Maksi-465106 Distt. Shajarpur.	Reinforced cement concrete pipes : Class NP 2—Sizes from 900 mm to 1200 mm. Class NP 3—sizes from 900 mm to 1200 mm. IS : 458—1971	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)							
34. CM/L—17169 37 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Sabar Pumps Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 3704, GIDC Estate, Phase IV, Behind New Nirma Chemicals, Vatva, Ahmedabad. (office : 10, Kothari Industrial Estate, opp. Rustam Mill, Dudheswar Road, Ahmedabad).	Submersible pump sets for clear, cold fresh water of the following ratings :								
			<table><tr><th>Model</th><th>Stage</th><th>Speed (RPM)</th></tr><tr><td>JM 100</td><td>5</td><td>2860</td></tr></table>	Model	Stage	Speed (RPM)	JM 100	5	2860		
Model	Stage	Speed (RPM)									
JM 100	5	2860									
			Bore and outlet size (mm)								
			150 × 50								
			Duty Point								
			<table><tr><th>Head (m)</th><th>Discharge (lpm)</th><th>Overall efficiency %</th><th>Power input (KW)</th></tr><tr><td>40</td><td>285</td><td>41</td><td>4.5</td></tr></table>	Head (m)	Discharge (lpm)	Overall efficiency %	Power input (KW)	40	285	41	4.5
Head (m)	Discharge (lpm)	Overall efficiency %	Power input (KW)								
40	285	41	4.5								
			Motor Rating (KW)								
			Motor wet type KW 3.7 Cat-B A-7.8 IS : 8034—1976								
35. CM/L—17170 50 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Electrical Appliances, Shiva Bhavan, Bhagalpur-812001.	Aluminium conductors steel reinforced Employing aluminium wire with dia upto 4.09 mm only for overhead transmission purposes. IS : 398 (Pt. II)—1976								
36. CM/L—17171 51 1987-07-14	1987-08-01	M/s. New Vikram Cement, Vikram Nagar P.O., Khor Distt., Mandsaur-458330.	Portland Pozzolana Cement. IS : 1489—1976								
37. CM/L—17172 52 1987-07-14	1987-08-01	-do-	Ordinary Portland Cement, IS : 269—1976.								
38. CM/L—17173 53 1987-07-11	1987-08-01	M/s. Madras Cement Ltd., Jayanthipuram-521175. Jaggayyapet Taluk, Krishna Distt. (A.P.).	Ordinary Portland Cement. IS : 269—1976.								
39. CM/L—17174 54 1987-07-14	1987-08-01	M/s. R. Kumar & Co., P.O. Matlgarah, (Near Fish Godown), Distt. Darjeeling (W.B.)	Tea-chests plywood, panels. IS : 10 (Pt. II)—1976								
40. CM/L—17175 55 1987-07-14	1987-08-01	M/s. Unkil Pesticides (P) Limited, 17/1, B & C Industrial Estate, Vidisha (office : opp. Power House, Hospital Road, Vidisha-464001).	DDT 5% dusting powder. IS : 564—1984								
41. CM/L—17176 56 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. The A.K. Tin Containers, Industrial Area, Khandwa (MP) (office : Sambhar Niwas, Khandwa-450001).	15-Kg square tins for vanaspati and edible oils. IS : 10325—1982.								
42. CM/L—17177 57 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Krithi Industries, 12, Textool Feeder Industrial Estate, Ganapathy, Coimbatore-641006.	Submersible pump sets for clear cold, fresh water of the following ratings :								
			<table><tr><th>Model</th><th>Stage</th><th>Speed (RPM)</th><th>Delivery size (mm)</th></tr><tr><td>64-6</td><td>...</td><td>2880</td><td>65</td></tr></table>	Model	Stage	Speed (RPM)	Delivery size (mm)	64-6	...	2880	65
Model	Stage	Speed (RPM)	Delivery size (mm)								
64-6	...	2880	65								

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
				Duty Point
				Head (m)
				Discharge (IPS)
				Overall efficiency %
				36
				8.6
				48
				Motor Rating KW
				5.5 Kw to suit minimum 150 mm bore
				IS : 8034—1975
43. CM/L—17178 58 1987-07-16	1987-07-16	M/s. Amkay Engineers (Delhi) Pvt. Ltd., B-11/76, Mohan Co-op. Industrial Estate, Mathura Road, Badarpur, New Delhi-110044.	High strength deformed steel baars and wires for concrete reinforcement. IS : 1786—1985	
44. CM/L—17179 59 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Lambodar Pipes, 11th Km. Hardoi Road, Lucknow—(UP).	Reinforced cement concrete Pipes : Class NP 2:—Sizes upto and including 1200 mm Class NP 3:—Sizes upto 450 mm, 600 mm and from 900 mm to 1200 mm. IS : 458—1971	
45. CM/L—17180 52 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Shri Shco Spun Pipes, Murkha, Bajrang Nagar, Jaunpur (UP) (Office : Bajrang Nagar, Jaunpur).	Reinforced cement concrete Pipes : Class NP 3:—Sizes upto and including 450mm, 600 mm and 900 mm to 1200 mm. IS : 458—1971	
46. CM/L—17181 53 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. P.S.G. Industrial Institute, PSG Job Oriented Training Centre, (Engine Division) C/o PSG College of Arts and Science, Civil Aerodrome Post, Coimbatore-641004. (office : Peelamedu, Coimbatore-641014).	Single cylinder , water cooled, four stroke, diesel engine of the following IS rating A. (with overload) :	
				Type/Model Vertical(V)/ Horizontal (u)
				Output KW (HP)
				T V 1 V 3.7(5)
				Rated speed rev/min Class of governing Mechanical efficiency/ declared D/ assumed A
				1500 B1 80% (A)
				SFC (Declared) g/Kwh Injuction Direct/Indirect
				245 Direct
				IS : 11170-1985
47. CM/L—17182 54 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Tirupathi Paper Industries, Plot No. 33, G.I.E., Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500037.	Double walled corrugated fibre board boxes for the maximum mass of contents upto 20 Kg. IS : 2771 (Pt I)—1977	
48. CM/L—17183 55 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Greaves Cotton & Co. Ltd., J-2, MIDC Industrial Area, Chikalthana Aurangabad-131210.	Single cylinder, vertical, air-cooled, four stroke, diesel engine of the following ratings :	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
			(office : 1, Dr. V.B. Gandhi Marg, P.B. No. 91, Bombay-400023).	Sl. Type/Model No.
				Vertical (V)/ Horizon- tal(H)
				Output KW/ HP
				Rated speed rev/min
				SFC(Dec.) 9/kWh/ g/bhp
				Gover- ning Class
				Mecha- nical Efficie- ncy %
				Dec.- D/A
				3600 299/220 B1 60
				3000 279/205 B1 65
				3600 299/220 B1 60
				3000 279/205 B1 65
				3000 286/210 B1 70
				3000 286/210 B1 70
				3000 279/205 B1 70
				3600 299/220 B1 60A
				3000 299/205 B1 60A
				3600 929/220 B1 60A
				3000 279/205 B1 65A
				IS : 11170-1985
49. CM/L-17184 56 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Modern Engg Works, 64, Brindaban, Mullick Lane, Howrah-711101.	CI Sluice valves for water works purposes Class PN 1.0 :-Sizes 350 mm. Class PN 1.6:-Sizes 600 mm. IS : 2906-1984	
50. CM/L-17185 57 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Shambhavi Industrial Packaging, D 2/3, Kakeda Industrial Estate, Curchoram, Goa-403706.	Corrugated fibre board boxes for packing of commercial explosives-7 Ply boxes. IS : 10212 (Pt I)-1982.	
51. CM/L-17185 53 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Modella Steels & Alloys Limited, Plot No. 34/43, Industrial Area, Dosigaon, Ratlam, (M.P.) (Office : 7th floor, Resham Bhavan, Veer Nariman Road, Bombay-400020).	High Strength deformed steel bars and wires for concrete reinforcement, IS:1786-1985.	
52. CM/L-17187 59 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Sarda Plywood Industries Ltd., Jeypore Road, Jeypore Distt. Dibrugarh (Assam) (Office : 9, Parsee Church Street, Calcutta-700001).	Veneered decorative plywood type I & II. IS:1328-1982	
53. CM/L-17188 60 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. National Oil Company 7 MM. Feeder Road Ariadaha, Calcutta-700057. (Office: P-38, Inaian Exchange Place, Calcutta-700001).	Cutting Oil Neat type 1, grade II type 2, grade II and III. IS:3065-1985.	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
54. CM/L-17189 61. 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Atlas Surgical Co., A/95, Pradhan Marg, Nirankari Colony, Delhi-110009. (Office : 332, Lajpat Rai Market, Delhi-110006).	Sterilizer, instruments (table model) electrically operated, size large. IS:5022-1979.	
55. CM/L-17190 54 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Y.M. Industries, 101, Paras Industrial Estate, First Floor, Narghar, Vasai (E),-401202, Distt. Thana (Maharashtra)	Burners for oil pressure stoves, roarer type designation No. 1. IS:8808-1986	
56. CM/L-17191 55 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Shiv Magnesia, Near Panchayat Office : At & Post Kharaghoda, Distt. Surendranagar (Gujarat)	Magnesium chloride, grade 3. IS:254-1973	
57. CM/L-17192 56 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Parul Industries, 4-1/96, GIDC Nandesari Industrial Estate Distt. Baroda.	Monocrotophos 36% SL. IS:8074-1983.	
58. CM/L-17193 57 1987-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. S.S. Industries, 202/3, Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Road Cacutta-700047 (WB)	Shampoo, Synthetic- Detergent Basic, Clear and Emulsion Type. IS:7884-1978	
59. CM/L-17194 58 1977-07-16	1987-08-01	M/s. Seco Paint & Chemical Industries (India) Near Industrial Area, Chorahata, Rewa-486006 (M.P.)	Ready mixed paint, air-drying, red oxide-zinc chrome, Priming. IS:2074-1979	
60. CM/L-17195 59 1987-07-17	1987-08-01	M/s. Gupta Products, D-14, Site-A, Industrial Area, Mathura Road, Sikandara, Agra-282007. Office 49, MIG New Shahganj, Agra-282010).	Ordinary Portland Cement. IS:269-1976.	
61. CM/L-17196 60 1987-07-17	1987-08-01	M/s. Kinjal Electrode Pvt. Ltd. G.T. Road, P.O. Chikambarpur, Giani Boarder, Sahibabad, Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.) (Office : 1805 Electrical Market, Chandni Chowk, Delhi-110006).	Pressure sensitive adhesive insulating types for electric purposes, plasticized PVC with non-thermosetting adhesive designation I-PVC P/90/OTP IS:7809 (Pt III/Sec 1)-1977	
62. CM/L-17179 61 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	M/s. Sri Meena Surgical Cotton, 24-A/4, Chatragatty Road, Samurigapuram Village, Rajapalayam-626117(TN). (Office : 96, Ashoka Talkies Street, Rajapalayam-626117	Handloom cotton gauge Absorbent, Non-sterilized. IS:758-1982	
63. CM/L-17198 62 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	M/s. Shah Brothers, Patel Industrial Estate, 1st floor, Chunabhatti S.V. Road, Dahisar (East), Bombay -400068 (Maharashtra), (Office : 14, Kolsa Cross Lane, 2nd Floor, Pydhonie, Bombay-400003).	Oil pressure stoves, designation No. 101. IS:1342-1986.	
64. CM/L-17199 63 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	M/s. Thiruvallurvar Handlooms, 90-114, Ashok Talkies Street, Rajapalayam-626117 (TN). (Office : 114, Ashok Talkies Street, Rajapalayam-626117).	Handloom cotton gauge absorbent, Non-sterilized. IS:758-1982	
65. CM/L-17200 39 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	M/s. Dr. Saharwal's Manufacturing Labs Ltd., Plot No. 9, G.T. Road, Bhawaniapur, P.O., Madhna, Tehsil : Bilhaur, Kanpur Dehat (U.P.) (Office : 117/H-2/160 Pandu Nagar, Kanpur).	Cotton Cr-type bandage. IS:4605-1981	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
66. CM/L-1720140 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	M/s. Card Board Products (Gemia), P.O. Indian Explosives (Gemia), Distt. Giridih (Bihar). (office : 7-A, Lower Circular Road, Calcutta-700017).	Packages (corrugated fibre board) for commercial high explosives-5, Ply double wall. IS:10212 (Pt I)-1986	
67. CM/L-17202 41 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	M/s. Everest Building Products Ltd., Asbestos Nagar, Kymore-483880, Via-Jukehi (C. Rly.) - (M.P.)	Unreinforced corrugated asbestos cement sheets, (excluding frost resisting type). IS:459-1970	
68. CM/L-17203 42 1987-07-21	1987-08-01	M/s. Markfed Cotton Seeds Processing Plant Giddarbaha-152101 (Punjab) Distt. Faridkot.	Compounded cattle feeds type-2, (Pallets and Mash). IS:2052-1979	
69. CM/L-17204 43 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Arunodaya Metal Industrial Pvt. Ltd, Village Pinguli, Near M.I.D.C. Industrial Estate, Kudal, Distt. : Sindurdurg-416520 (Maharashtra) (Office: 3, 'Yamuna' Ground Floor, Azad Road, Andheri (East), Bombay-400069).	Wrought aluminium utensils. IS:1660 (Pt I and IV)-	
70. CM/L-17205 44 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Ruby Plantation & Investments Ltd. Ltd. Tana, Mampad Post-676542 Malapuram Distt (Kerala), (Office: Bannarghata Road, (Bangalore-560076).	Ammonia preserved concentrated natural Rubber latex, HA Grade. IS:5430-1981	
71. CM/L-17206 45 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Paper Plast, C-11, Industrial Estate, Rourkela-769004 (Orissa)	Corrugated fibre board boxes for packing of commercial explosives 5 Ply double wall IS:10212 (Pt I)-1982	
72. CM/L-12707 46 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Magdyne Electrics Pvt. Ltd., L-6, SIDCO Estate, Kodungaiyur, Madras-600051. (Office: 4, IInd Floor, Anna Garden, Taylor Road, Madras-600010).	Three phase, power transformers upto and including 250 KVA, 11 KV/433 V, with Oil and Air Natural (ONAN) cooling, vector group Dy 11. IS:2026 (Pt I)-1977	
73. CM/L-17208 47 1987-07-25	1988-08-16	M/s. Sodani Cement & Chemicals Pvt Ltd. E-88/89, Arbuda Industrial Area, Ahu Road-307026 (Rajasthan).	Ordinary Portland Cement. IS:269-1976	
74. CM/L-17209 48 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Bajeria Industries, Bodhak Road, Khambhat-388620, (Office: 103, Dr. Mascarenhas Road, Mazagaon, Bombay-400010).	HRC fuse links of 63 A, 415 V, ac type g II bolted type, size A 3. IS:2208-1962	
75. CM/L-17201 41 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Shanta Electrical Industries, C-16, Industrial Estate, Yeyyadi, Mangalore-575008.	Ballasts for fluorescent lamps, 40W, 240 V without the marking, copper wound, current 0.43A and power factor, 0.5 IS:1534 (Pt I)-1977.	
76. CM/L-17211 42 1987-07-14	1987-08-16	M/s. Cables (India) Pvt. Ltd., B/5, Industrial Estate, Balasore (Orissa) (Office: Gopalgaon, (Mirzapokhari), Balasore).	PVC insulated, single core (Upto 2.5 mm) general wiring cables and upto 100v for motor vehicles. IS:2465-1969	
77. CM/L-17212 43 1987-07-24	1987-08-16	M/s. Mohita Cement Pvt Ltd., Plot No. 61-62, Pithampur, Phase III, Bagdooon, (Office: Sagar Matha Apartment, 18 M.G. Road, Indore-452001).	Ordinary Portland Cement, IS:269-1976	
78. CM/L-17213 44 1987-07-23	1987-08-16	M/s. Twinkle Industries, 41, Prakash Industrial Estate, P.O. Sahibabad, Distt. Ghaziabad (UP) (Office: 4311/3, Ansari Road, Darya Ganj New Delhi-110002).	Luminaries for street lighting using 1X40 W and 2X40 W tubular fluorescent lamps mounting height below 8 mm. IS:2149-1970	
79. CM/L-17214 45 1987-07-21	1987-08-16	M/s. Jal Akash Enterprises, 3/1, Site No. 4, Sahibabad Industrial Complex, Sahibabad, Distt. Ghaziabad-UP	Steel Tubes for mechanical and general engineering purposes : Grade: ERW 200 Sizes : from 42.4 to 114.3 mm OD. IS:3601-1984	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
80. CM/L-1721546 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Anand Steelmet India Pvt Ltd., C-18 & 19, Industrial Area, Patna-800013 (Bihar)	Capillary-Red, multi-wick type non-pressure stoves. IS:2980-1979	
81. CM/L-1721647 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Para Dye Chem, C/o Chawla Group of Industries, H-11, MIDC Talaja, Distt. Raigad, (Maharashtra), (Office: 103, Priya, near Roop Darshan 'C' Juhu Scheme, Andheri (West) Bombay-400058).	Martrazine, food grade. IS:1694-1974.	
82. CM/L-1721748 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Heteri Proofing Industries, No. 63, Mount Road, Guindy, Madras-600032.	Common proofed canvas/duck paulins (Tarpaulins). IS:2089-1977.	
83. CM/L-1271849 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Rahul Enterprises, Plot No. 150, Sector I, Opp. Bajaj temple Pithampur Industrial Area, Distt. Dhar (MP), (Office: 88, Kanchan Bag, 3, Nagoti Apartment, Indore-452001).	15-Kg square tins for vanaspati and edible oils. IS:10325-1982	
84. CM/L-1721950 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Calcar Products Pvt. Ltd., Village : Mhupdeopur, Tehsil : Kharsia Distt. Raigarh(MP) (Office : Castle House, 1st Floor, 5/1A, Hungerford Street, Calcutta-700017).	Ordinary Portland Cement. IS:269-1976	
85. CM/L-17220 43 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. M.P. State Co-op-Oilseed Growers Services Society, Vanaspati Plant, Saschi, Churhat, Sidhu, Office : Meherana Patel Nagar, Bhagwan Complex Bhopal-462011).	15-Kg square tins for vanaspati and edible oils. IS : 10325—1982	
86. CM/L-17221 44 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. P.N. Engineering Company, , Alleppukkam Road, Off Arcot Road, Valasaravakkam, Madras-600067.	Power transformers, 100 KVA 11KV/433V, with 'ONAN', type of cooling, vector group Dy 11. IS : 2006 (Part I)—1977.	
87. CM/L-17222 45 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Soro P. in R. Chemical Industries (India), Near Industrial Area, Chandigarh, Row-486006-UP.	Enamel, synthetic, exterior, finishing colour category No. 17 Pale cream shade. IS : 2932—1974.	
88. CM/L-17223 46 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Srinivasa Cement Co., Parsi Road, Shadnagar-509116, Mehboobnagar Distt., (Office : Plot No. 265 N, Road No. 10, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad-500034).	Ordinary Portland Cement. IS : 269—1976	
89. CM/L-17224 47 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Para Dye Chem, C/o Chawla Group of Industries, H-11, MIDC Talaja, Distt. Raigad (Maharashtra) (Office : 103, Priya, Near Roop Darshan, 'C' Juhu Scheme, Andheri (West), Bombay-400058).	Sunset Yellow FCF, Food Grade IS : 1695—1974	
90. CM/L-17225 48 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Ambay Petro Chem (P) Ltd., Ladhowali Road, Jalandhar City, (Punjab).	Paraffin wax, Type 3. IS : 4654—1974	
91. CM/L-17226 49 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Baba Enterprises, 10, Industrial Estate, Banmore, Norena (MP). (Office : 50, Shabda Pratap Ashram, Lashkar, Gwalior).	Reinforced cement concrete pipes : class- PI Size 900 mm. IS : 458—1971	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
92. CM/L—17227 50 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Oriental Colour & Paint Industries (P) Ltd., R-91, Phase I, Mayapuri Industrial Area, New Delhi-110064.	Ready mixed paint, finishing, interior, for general purposes, to Indian Standard Colours. IS : 3537—1956	
93. CM/L—17228 51 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Oriental Colour & Paint Industries (P) Ltd., B-91, Phase I, Mayapuri Industrial Area, New Delhi-110064.	Enamel, interior, finishing synthetic. IS : 133—1975	
94. CM/L—17229 52 1987-07-25	1987-08-16	M/s. Rusto Works, C-45, Wazirpur Industrial Area, Delhi-110052.	Metal Polish, Liquid. IS : 5487—1969	

[No. CMD/13 : 11]

नई दिल्ली, 21 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 2421:—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 9 के उपनियम (1) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए भारतीय मानकों संबंधी मानक मुहर निर्धारित कर दिए गए हैं।

क्रम सं. मानक मुहर का डिजाइन	उत्पाद/उत्पाद की श्रेणी	भारतीय मानक की सं. और वर्ष	लागू होने की तिथि	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.		हल्का केओमिन	IS 505-1978	1990-04-16
2.		लेपित अपघर्षक एमरी कपड़ा	IS 715 (भाग 1)-1976	1989-20-01
3.		सजावटी लाइटिंग आउटफिट	IS 5077-1969	1989-12-01
4.		650 थो एसी से अनाधिक बोल्टता के लिए वायुविशोध्य स्विच और पुनःतार लगा सकने वाले फ्यूज की कम्पोजिट इकाइयाँ	IS 10027-1981	1990-04-16

[सं.के.प्र.वि. 13 : 9]

(एम. सुब्रह्मण्यन, अपर महानिदेशक)

New Delhi, the 21st August, 1990

S.O. 2421 :—In pursuance of Sub-rule (1) of the rule 9 of Bureau of the Indian Standards Rules, 1987 the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the Standard Mark(s), for the Indian Standards given in the schedule:

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Design of the Standard Mark	Product/Class of Product	No. and year of the Indian Standard	Date of
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	ISI: 801	Light Kaolin	IS : 505—1978	1990-04-16
2.	ISI: 715	Coated abrasive emery cloth, emery paper and flint paper	IS : 715 (Part 1)—1976	1989-01-01
3.	ISI: 5077	Decorative lighting outfits	IS : 5077—1969	1989-12-01
4.	ISI: 10027—1981	Composite units of air-break switches and rowirable type fuses for voltages not exceeding 650V AC.	IS : 10027—1981	1990-04-16

[No. CMD/13: 9]

S. SUBRAHMANYAN, Addl. Director General

कृषि मंत्रालय

(कृषि अनुसंधान तथा शिक्षा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 अगस्त, 1990

कर्मचारियों ने हिन्दी का कार्यालयिक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, अधिसूचित करती है।

[फा.सं. 13-26/90-हिन्दी]

हजारी लाल, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agricultural Res. and Education)

New Delhi, the 21st August, 1990

S.O. 2422.—The Central Government, Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Research and Education hereby declares the Central Institute of Fisheries Education, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Seven Bunglows, Varsoua, Bombay where more than 80 percent of staff have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi, as notified office, in pursuance of Sub-rule 4 of rule 10 of the Official Language (Use of Official purpose of the Union) Rules, 1976.

[F. No. 13-26/90-Hindi]

HAZARI LAL, UnderSecy.

गुड-पत्र

पेट्रोलियम एवं रसायन मंत्रालय

(रसायन और पेट्रो रसायन विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 1 सितम्बर, 1990

श.ग. 2423--निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का. आ. 1817 तारीख 3 जून, 1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग II खंड 3 उपखंड (ii) तारीख 18-6-88 पृष्ठ 2362 से 2371 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में छपे हैं। इससे वजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	घाट	नं.	क्षेत्र	
								हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9
1.	गच्छाण	पन्वेल	रायगड	278	1 पै.		—	0-32.6	
2.	"	"	"	279	7 पै.		—	0-09.03	
3.	"	"	"	279	8 पै.		—	0-02.5	
4.	"	"	"	274	1 अ पै.		—		
				274	1 ब पै.		—	0-00.7	
				274	1 क पै.		—		
5.	"	"	"	274	3 पै.		—	0-05.5	
6.	"	"	"	274	4 पै.		—	0-03.7	
7.	"	"	"	274	5 पै.		—	0-16.9	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	घाट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आ.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	गच्छाण	पन्वेल	रायगड	278	1 पै.		—	0-35.7
2.	"	"	"	279	7 पै.		—	0-01.7
3.	"	"	"	279	8 पै.		—	0-07.0
4.	"	"	"	274	1 अ पै.		—	
				274	1 ब पै.		—	0-13.5
				274	1 क पै.		—	0-06.5
5.	"	"	"	274	3 पै.		—	0-03.0
6.	"	"	"	274	4 पै.		—	0-07.3
7.	"	"	"	274	5 पै.		—	0-11.3

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
8.	गङ्गा	पनवेल	रायगड	274	6 पे.	---	0-02.5	
9.	"	"	"	273	2 पे.	---	0-11.1	
10.	"	"	"	362	5 पे.	---	0-00.3	
11.	"	"	"	61	3 पे.	---	0-03.7	
12.	"	"	"	76	1 पे.	---	0-05.0	
13.	"	"	"	76	2 पे.	---	0-13.9	
14.	"	"	"	76	4 पे.	---	0-01.0	
15.	"	"	"	76	5 पे.	---	0-04.3	
16.	"	"	"	76	6 पे.	---	0-06.8	
17.	"	"	"	76	7 पे.	---	0-00.7	
18.	"	"	"	125	6 पे.	---	0-00.5	
19.	"	"	"	125	3 पे.	---	0-00.5	
20.	"	"	"	77	3 पे.	---	0-03.0	
21.	"	"	"	77	4 पे.	---	0-11.6	
22.	"	"	"	77	6 पे.	---	0-18.2	
23.	"	"	"	77	7 पे.	---	0-11.6	
24.	"	"	"	77	11 पे.	---	0-00.2	
25.	"	"	"	78	1 पे.	---	0-00.2	
26.	"	"	"	78	3 पे.	---	0-03.0	
27.	"	"	"	42	8 पे.	---	0-00.2	
28.	"	"	"	81	1 पे.	---	0-23.2	
29.	"	"	"	81	2 पे.	---	0-16.1	
30.	"	"	"	81	3 पे.	---	0-0.5	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
8.	गङ्गा	पनवेल	रायगड	274	6 पे.	---	0-11-3	
9.	"	"	"	273	2 पे.	---	0-02.5	
10.	"	"	"	362	5 पे.	---	0-00.2	
11.	"	"	"	61	3 पे.	---	कम किया	
12.	"	"	"	76	1 पे.	---		
13.	"	"	"	76	2 पे.	---		
14.	"	"	"	76	4 पे.	---		
15.	"	"	"	76	5 पे.	---		
16.	"	"	"	76	6 पे.	---		
17.	"	"	"	76	7 पे.	---		
18.	"	"	"	125	6 पे.	---		
19.	"	"	"	125	3 पे.	---		
20.	"	"	"	77	3 पे.	---		
21.	"	"	"	77	4 पे.	---		
22.	"	"	"	77	6 पे.	---		
23.	"	"	"	77	7 पे.	---		
24.	"	"	"	77	11 पे.	---		
25.	"	"	"	78	1 पे.	---		
26.	"	"	"	78	3 पे.	---		
27.	"	"	"	42	8 पे.	---		
28.	"	"	"	81	1 पे.	---		
29.	"	"	"	81	2 पे.	---		
30.	"	"	"	81	3 पे.	---		

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
31.	गण्डाण	पनबेल	रायगड	41	3 पै.	--	0-02.5	
32.	"	"	"	27	8 पै.	--	0-01.2	
33.	"	"	"	27	3 पै.	--	0-00.7	
34.	"	"	"	27	2 पै.	--	0-00.2	
35.	"	"	"	34	1 पै.	--	0-01.7	
36.	"	"	"	34	24 पै.	--	0-00.2	
37.	"	"	"	34	2 पै.	--	0-00.2	
38.	"	"	"	34	3 पै.	--	0-01.0	
39.	"	"	"	40	1+2 अ पै.	--	0-14.1	
40.	"	"	"	40	1+2 अ पै.	--	0-00.2	
41.	"	"	"	84	1 पै.	--	0-02.0	
42.	"	"	"	84	3 अ पै.	--	0-01.7	
43.	"	"	"	85	1 पै.	--	0-02.2	
44.	"	"	"	85	2+5 पै.	--	0-05.0	
45.	"	"	"	85	4 अ पै.	--	0-00.5	
46.	"	"	"	85	4 अ पै.	--	0-00.2	
47.	"	"	"	85	7 पै.	--	0-01.5	
48.	"	"	"	85	9 अ पै.	--	0-02.7	
49.	"	"	"	109	5 पै.	--	0-08.0	
50.	"	"	"	88अ	10 पै.	--	0-03.5	
51.	"	"	"	88अ	6 पै.	--	0-01.0	
52.	"	"	"	88अ	5 पै.	--	0-01.5	
53.	"	"	"	89	1 पै.	--	0-06.0	
54.	"	"	"	89	4 पै.	--	0-00.2	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
31.	गण्डाण	पनबेल	रायगड	41	3 पै.	--	कम किया	
32.	"	"	"	27	8 पै.	--		
33.	"	"	"	27	3 पै.	--		
34.	"	"	"	27	2 पै.	--		
35.	"	"	"	34	1 पै.	--		
36.	"	"	"	34	24 पै.	--		
37.	"	"	"	34	2 पै.	--		
38.	"	"	"	34	3 पै.	--		
39.	"	"	"	40	1+अ पै.	--		
40.	"	"	"	40	1+2 अ पै.	--		
41.	"	"	"	84	1 पै.	--		
42.	"	"	"	84	3अ पै.	--		
43.	"	"	"	85	1 पै.	--		
44.	"	"	"	85	2+5 पै.	--		
45.	"	"	"	85	4 अ पै.	--		
46.	"	"	"	85	4 अ पै.	--		
47.	"	"	"	85	7 पै.	--		
48.	"	"	"	85	9 अ पै.	--		
49.	"	"	"	109	5 पै.	--		
50.	"	"	"	88 अ	10 पै.	--		
51.	"	"	"	88 अ	6 पै.	--		
52.	"	"	"	88 अ	5 पै.	--		
53.	"	"	"	89	1 पै.	--		
54.	"	"	"	89	4 पै.	--		

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
55.	गण्डाण	पनधेल	रायगड	90	1 वी.	—	0-01.5	
56.	"	"	"	90	2 वी.	—	0-00.2	
57.	"	"	"	90	3 वी.	—	0-04.0	
58.	"	"	"	90	4 वी.	—	0-01.0	
59.	"	"	"	90	7वा वी.	—	0-13.9	
60.	"	"	"	90	8 वी.	—	0-01.5	
61.	"	"	"	363	5 वी.	—	0-04.3	
62.	"	"	"	363	3 वी.	—	0-01.0	
63.	"	"	"	270	4 क वी.	—	0-00.5	
64.	"	"	"	270	3 वी.	—	0-08.0	
65.	"	"	"	270	2 वी.	—	0-02.7	
66.	"	"	"	270	1 वी.	—	0-19.9	
67.	"	"	"	270	10 वी.	—	0-04.3	
68.	"	"	"	268	4 वी.	—	0-03.7	
69.	"	"	"	268	6 वी.	—	0-03.0	
70.	"	"	"	268	3 वी.	—	0-07.0	
71.	"	"	"	268	5 वी.	—	0-00.7	
72.	"	"	"	271	7 वी.	—	0-07.8	
73.	"	"	"	271	8 वी.	—	0-04.3	
74.	"	"	"	271	10 वी.	—	0-08.8	
75.	"	"	"	271	11 वी.	—	0-01.5	
76.	"	"	"	271	12 वी.	—	0-01.2	
77.	"	"	"	273	4 वी.	—	0-03.5	
78.	"	"	"	273	3 वी.	—	0-07.0	
79.	"	"	"	273	1 वी.	—	0-01.5	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
55.	गण्डाण	पनधेल	रायगड	90	1 वी.	—		
56.	"	"	"	90	2 वी.	—		
57.	"	"	"	90	3 वी.	—		
58.	"	"	"	90	4 वी.	—		
59.	"	"	"	90	7वा वी.	—		
60.	"	"	"	90	8 वी.	—		
61.	"	"	"	363	5 वी.	—		
62.	"	"	"	363	3 वी.	—		
63.	"	"	"	270	4क वी.	—		
64.	"	"	"	270	3 वी.	—		
65.	"	"	"	270	2 वी.	—		
66.	"	"	"	270	1 वी.	—		
67.	"	"	"	270	10 वी.	—		
68.	"	"	"	268	4 वी.	—		
69.	"	"	"	268	6 वी.	—		
70.	"	"	"	268	3 वी.	—		
71.	"	"	"	268	5 वी.	—		
72.	"	"	"	271	7 वी.	—		
73.	"	"	"	271	8 वी.	—		
74.	"	"	"	271	10 वी.	—		
75.	"	"	"	271	11 वी.	—		
76.	"	"	"	271	12 वी.	—		
77.	"	"	"	273	4 वी.	—		
78.	"	"	"	273	3 वी.	—		
79.	"	"	"	273	1 वी.	—		

III

कम किया

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
80.	गव्हान	पनवेल	रायगड	266	3 पै.	+	0-04.3	
81.	"	"	"	274	7 पै.	--	0-00.2	
82.	"	"	"	274	2 पै.	--	0-34.0	
83.	"	"	"	279	3 पै.	--	0-00.2	
84.	"	"	"	279	9 पै.	--	0-04.1	
85.	"	"	"	279	4 पै.	--	0-04.0	
86.	"	"	"	279	5 पै.	--	0-01.0	
87.	"	"	"	279	6 पै.	--	0-00.2	
88.	"	"	"	287	2 पै.	--	0-11.5	
89.	"	"	"	287	1+4+5	--	0-02.0	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
80.	गव्हान	पनवेल	रायगड	266	3 पै.	--	कम किया	
81.	"	"	"	274	7 पै.	--		
82.	"	"	"	274	2 पै.	--		
83.	"	"	"	279	3 पै.	--		
84.	"	"	"	279	9 पै.	--		
85.	"	"	"	279	4 पै.	--		
86.	"	"	"	279	5 पै.	--		
87.	"	"	"	289	6 पै.	--		
88.	"	"	"	287	2 पै.	--		
89.	"	"	"	287	3+4+5	--		

संख्या 34037/1/87-पी०सी०II(पार्ट I)/जिल्द II]

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM & CHEMICALS

(Department of Chemicals & Petrochemicals)

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 1st September, 1990

S.O. 2423.—Read words and figures show in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1817 Date 3-6-88 published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-Section (ii) dated 18-6-88 on pages 2362 to 2371 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	S.No.	H.No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Gavhan	Panvel	Raigad	278	1		0-32.6	
2	"	"	"	279	7		0-09.3	
3	"	"	"	279	8		0-02.5	

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	S.No.	H.No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Gavhan	Panvel	Raigad	278	1		0-35.7	
2	"	"	"	279	7		0-01.7	
3	"	"	"	279	8		0-07.0	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
4	Gavhan	Panvel	Raigad	274 274 274	1A } 1B } 1C }		0-00.7	
5	"	"	"	274	3		0-05.5	
6	"	"	"	274	4		0-03.7	
7	"	"	"	274	5		0-16.9	
8	"	"	"	274	6		0-02.5	
9	"	"	"	273	2		0-11.1	
10	"	"	"	362	5		0-00.3	
11	"	"	"	61	3		0-03.7	
12	"	"	"	76	1		0-05.0	
13	"	"	"	76	2		0-13.9	
14	"	"	"	76	4		0-01.0	
15	"	"	"	76	5		0-04.3	
16	"	"	"	76	6		0-05.8	
17	"	"	"	76	0		0-00.7	
18	"	"	"	125	6		0-00.5	
19	"	"	"	125	3		0-00.5	
20	"	"	"	77	3		0-03.0	
21	"	"	"	77	4		0-11.0	
22	"	"	"	77	6		0-18.2	
23	"	"	"	77	7		0-11.6	
24	"	"	"	77	11		0-00.2	
25	"	"	"	78	1		0-00.2	
26	"	"	"	78	3		0-03.0	
27	"	"	"	42	8		0-00.2	
28	"	"	"	81	1		0-23.2	
29	"	"	"	81	2		0-16.1	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
4	Gavhan	Panvel	Raigad	274 274	1B 1C		0-13.5	
5	"	"	"	274	3		0-06.5	
6	"	"	"	274	4		0-03.0	
7	"	"	"	274	5		0-07.3	
8	"	"	"	274	6		0-11.3	
9	"	"	"	273	2		0-02.5	
10	"	"	"	362	5		0-00.2	
11	"	"	"	61	3 }			
12	"	"	"	76	1 }			
13	"	"	"	76	2 }		Deleted	
14	"	"	"	76	4			
15	"	"	"	76	5			
16	"	"	"	76	6			
17	"	"	"	76	7			
18	"	"	"	125	6			
19	"	"	"	125	3			
20	"	"	"	77	3			
21	"	"	"	77	4			
22	"	"	"	77	6		Deleted	
23	"	"	"	77	7			
24	"	"	"	77	11			
25	"	"	"	78	1			
26	"	"	"	78	3			
27	"	"	"	42	8			
28	"	"	"	81	1			
29	"	"	"	81	2			

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
30	Gavhan	Panvel	Raigad	81	3		0-00.5
31	"	"	"	41	3		0-02.5
32	"	"	"	27	8		0-01.2
33	"	"	"	27	3		0-00.7
34	"	"	"	27	2		0-00.2
35	"	"	"	34	1		0-01.7
36	"	"	"	34	24		0-00.2
37	"	"	"	34	2		0-00.2
38	"	"	"	34	3		0-01.0
39	"	"	"	40	1+2A		0-14.1
40	"	"	"	40	1+2B		0-00.2
41	"	"	"	84	1		0-02.0
42	"	"	"	84	3A		0-01.7
43	"	"	"	85	1		0-02.2
44	"	"	"	85	2+5		0-05.0
45	"	"	"	85	4A		0-00.5
46	"	"	"	85	4B		0-00.2
47	"	"	"	85	7		0-01.5
48	"	"	"	85	9A		0-02.7
49	"	"	"	109	5		0-08.0
50	"	"	"	88A	10		0-03.5
51	"	"	"	88A	6		0-01.0
52	"	"	"	88A	5		0-01.5
53	"	"	"	89	1		0-06.0
54	"	"	"	89	4		0-00.2
55	"	"	"	90	1		0-01.5
56	"	"	"	90	2		0-00.2
57	"	"	"	90	3		0-04.0
58	"	"	"	90	4		0-01.0
59	"	"	"	90	7B		0-13.9
60	"	"	"	90	8		0-01.5
61	"	"	"	363	5		0-04.3

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
30	Gavhan	Panvel	Raigad	81	3			
31	"	"	"	41	3			
32	"	"	"	27	8			
33	"	"	"	27	3			
34	"	"	"	27	2			
35	"	"	"	34	1			
36	"	"	"	34	24			Deleted
37	"	"	"	34	2			
38	"	"	"	34	3			
39	"	"	"	40	11+2A			
40	"	"	"	40	1+2B			
41	"	"	"	84	1			
42	"	"	"	84	3A			
43	"	"	"	85	1			
44	"	"	"	85	2+5			
45	"	"	"	85	4A			
46	"	"	"	85	4B			
47	"	"	"	85	7			
48	"	"	"	85	9A			
49	"	"	"	109	5			
50	"	"	"	88A	10			
51	"	"	"	88A	6			Deleted
52	"	"	"	88A	5			
53	"	"	"	89	1			
54	"	"	"	89	4			
55	"	"	"	90	1			
56	"	"	"	90	2			
57	"	"	"	90	3			
58	"	"	"	90	4			
59	"	"	"	90	7B			
60	"	"	"	90	8			
61	"	"	"	363	5			

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
62	Gavhan	Panvel	Raigad	363	3		0-01.0	
63	"	"	"	270	4C		0-00.5	
64	"	"	"	270	3		0-08.0	
65	"	"	"	270	2		0-02.7	
66	"	"	"	270	1		0-19.9	
67	"	"	"	270	10		0-04.3	
68	"	"	"	268	4		0-03.7	
69	"	"	"	268	6		0-03.0	
70	"	"	"	268	3		0-07.0	
71	"	"	"	268	5		0-00.7	
72	"	"	"	271	7		0-07.8	
73	"	"	"	271	8		0-04.3	
74	"	"	"	271	10		0-07.0	
75	"	"	"	271	11		0-01.5	
76	"	"	"	271	12		0-01.2	
77	"	"	"	273	4		0-03.5	
78	"	"	"	273	3		0-09.2	
79	"	"	"	273	1		0-01.5	
80	"	"	"	266	3		0-04.3	
81	"	"	"	274	7		0-00.2	
82	"	"	"	274	2		0-34.0	
83	"	"	"	279	3		0-00.2	
84	"	"	"	279	9		0-04.8	
85	"	"	"	279	4		0-04.0	
86	"	"	"	279	5		0-01.0	
87	"	"	"	279	6		0-00.2	
88	"	"	"	287	2B		0-11.5	
89	"	"	"	287	3+4+5		0-02.0	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
62	Gavhan	Panvel	Raigad	363	3	Deleted		
63	"	"	"	270	4C			
64	"	"	"	270	3			
65	"	"	"	270	2			
66	"	"	"	270	1			
67	"	"	"	270	10			
68	"	"	"	268	4			
69	"	"	"	268	6			
70	"	"	"	268	3			
71	"	"	"	268	5			
72	"	"	"	271	7			
73	"	"	"	271	8			
74	"	"	"	271	10			
75	"	"	"	271	11			
76	"	"	"	271	12			
77	"	"	"	273	4			
78	"	"	"	273	3			
79	"	"	"	273	1			
80	"	"	"	266	3			
81	"	"	"	274	7			
82	"	"	"	274	2			
83	"	"	"	279	3			
84	"	"	"	279	9			
85	"	"	"	279	4			
86	"	"	"	279	5			
87	"	"	"	279	6			
88	"	"	"	287	2B			
89	"	"	"	287	3+4+5			

सूचिपत्र

का. प्रा. 2424.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में खाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं० का० आ० 1820 तारीख 12-3-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II, खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 19-3-88 पृष्ठ 782 से 784 तक प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इससे जहाँ निम्नलिखित अनुसूची खाना 10 से 13 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ता।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन								
क्रम सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	ग.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र है. प्रार.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	दिबोड	उरण	रायगढ़		3 अ पै 3 बी पै	—	0-12.6	
2.	"	"	"	34	4 पै	—	0-32.1	
3	"	"	"	108	6 पै	—	0-08.1	
4.	"	"	"	33	6 पै	—	0-00.2	
5.	"	"	"	35	1 पै	—	0-00.5	
6.	"	"	"	35	3 पै	—	8-00.2	
7.	"	"	"	108	11 पै	—	0-01.2	
8.	"	"	"	108	12 पै	—	0-00.2	
9.	"	"	"	108	13 पै	—	0-02.5	
10	"	"	"	109	4 अ पै	—	0-00.5	
11.	"	"	"	109	2 पै	—	0-00.2	
12	"	"	"	109	4 अ पै	—	0-03.5	
13.	"	"	"	109	4क पै	—	0-09.1	
14.	"	"	"	109	4 फ पै	—	0-02.7	

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

क्रम नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	ग.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र है. प्रार.	
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	दिबोड	उरण	रायगढ़	34	3 अ पै	—	0-05.0	
2.	"	"	"	34	3 ब पै	—	0-11.0	
3.	"	"	"	34	4 पै	—	0-31.6	
4.	"	"	"	108	6 पै	—	0-06.0	
5.	"	"	"	33	6 पै	—	} विभीषिड	
6.	"	"	"	35	1 पै	—		
7.	"	"	"	35	3 पै	—		
8.	"	"	"	108	11 पै	—		
9.	"	"	"	108	12 पै	—		
10.	"	"	"	108	13 पै	—		
11.	"	"	"	109	4 अ पै	—		
12.	"	"	"	109	2 पै	—	0-00.2	
13.	"	"	"	109	4 अ पै	—	0-03.5	
14.	"	"	"	109	4क पै	—	0-09.1	
15.	"	"	"	109	4फ पै	—	0-02.7	

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2424.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 690 dated 12-2-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 on pages 782 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tehsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H. Ars.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Dighode	Uran	Raigad	34	3A } 3B }			0-12-5
2	"	"	"	34	4			0-32-1
3	"	"	"	108	6			0-08-1
4	"	"	"	33	6			0-00-2
5	"	"	"	35	1			0-00-5
6	"	"	"	35	3			0-00-2
7	"	"	"	103	11			0-01-2
8	"	"	"	108	12			0-00-2
9	"	"	"	108	13			0-02-5
10	"	"	"	109	4B			0-00-5
11	"	"	"	109	2			0-00-2
12	"	"	"	109	4A			0-03-5
13	"	"	"	109	4C			0-09-1
14	"	"	"	109	4F			0-02-7

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tehsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H. Ars.	
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Dighode	Uran	Raigad	34	3A			0-05-0
2	"	"	"	34	3B			0-11-0
3	"	"	"	34	4			0-31-6
4	"	"	"	108	6			0-06-0
5	"	"	"	33	6			
6	"	"	"	35	1			
7	"	"	"	35	3			
8	"	"	"	108	11			Deleted
9	"	"	"	108	12			
10	"	"	"	108	13			
11	"	"	"	109	4B			
12	"	"	"	109A	2			0-00-2
13	"	"	"	109A	4A			0-03-5
14	"	"	"	109A	4C			0-09-1
15	"	"	"	109A	4F			0-02-7

सुद्धि-पत्र

का.प्र. 2425.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में खाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का. प्र. 1820 तारीख 3-6-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18-6-88 पृष्ठ 2375 से 2389 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में छपे हैं। इससे बजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची खाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							है.	घार
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	जासई	उरण	रायगड	137	5 पै	—	0-03	7
2.	"	"	"	137	6 + 7 पै	—	0-01	0
3.	"	"	"	152	6 पै	—	0-00	5
4.	"	"	"	164	1 पै	—	0-05	3
5.	"	"	"	164	3 पै	—	0-12	3
6.	"	"	"	164	2अ पै	—	0-14	4
7.	"	"	"	187	1 पै	—	0-10	1
8.	"	"	"	187	2 पै	—	0-12	6
9.	"	"	"	67	5 पै	—	0-05	0
10.	"	"	"	67	7 पै	—	0-07	3
11.	"	"	"	67	1 पै	—	0-13	1
12.	"	"	"	140	6 पै	—	0-03	3
13.	"	"	"	140	5 पै	—	0-33	8
14.	"	"	"	152	7अ पै	—	0-13	4
15.	"	"	"	154	9 पै	—	0-11	3
16.	"	"	"	154	7 पै	—	0-03	7
17.	"	"	"	154	5 पै	—	0-13	4
18.	"	"	"	138	5अ पै	—	0-06	8
19.	"	"	"	139	8 पै	—	0-01	5
20.	"	"	"	137	9 पै	—	0-12	6
21.	"	"	"	137	10 पै	—	0-01	5
22.	"	"	"	138	10अ पै	—	0-00	5
23.	"	"	"	138	2/7/11अ पै	—	0-00	7
24.	"	"	"	152	5 पै	—	0-00	2
25.	"	"	"	154	8 पै	—	0-00	2

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. सं.	हि. न.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घार
10	11	12	13	14	15		16	17
1.	जासई	उरण	रायगढ़	137	5 पै		--	0-11.0
2.	"	"	"	137	6+7 पै		--	0-00.5
3.	"	"	"	152	8 पै		--	0-01.5
4.	"	"	"	164	1 पै		--	0-07.5
5.	"	"	"	164	3 पै		--	0-08.0
6.	"	"	"	164	2 अ पै		--	0-18.0
7.	"	"	"	187	1 पै		--	0-08.0
8.	"	"	"	187	2 पै		--	0-11.6
9.	"	"	"	67	5 अ पै		--	0-05.0
10.	"	"	"	67	7 अ पै		--	0-07.5
11.	"	"	"	67	1 अ पै		--	0-15.0
12.	"	"	"	140	6 पै		--	0-03.0
13.	"	"	"	140	5 पै		--	0-30.8
14.	"	"	"	152	7 अ पै		--	0-12.4
15.	"	"	"	154	9 पै		--	0-12.0
16.	"	"	"	154	7 पै		--	0-06.0
17.	"	"	"	154	5 पै		--	0-10.0
18.	"	"	"	138	5 अ पै		--	
19.	"	"	"	139	0 पै			कम किया
20.	"	"	"	137	9 पै		--	
21.	"	"	"	137	10 पै			
22.	"	"	"	138	10 अ पै		--	
23.	"	"	"	138	2/7/11 अ पै		--	कम किया
24.	"	"	"	152	5 पै			
25.	"	"	"	154	8 पै			

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2425.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1820 dated 8-6-88 published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) date 118-6-88 on pages 2375 to 2399 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tehsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H.	Are.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Jasai	Uran	Raigad	137	5		0-03.7	
2	"	"	"	137	6+7		0-01-0	
3	"	"	"	152	6		0-00.5	
4	"	"	"	164	1		0-05.3	
5	"	"	"	164	3		0-12.3	
6	"	"	"	164	2A		0-14.4	
7	"	"	"	187	1		0-10.1	
8	"	"	"	187	2		0-12.3	
9	"	"	"	67	5		0-05.0	
10	"	"	"	67	7		0-07.5	
11	"	"	"	67	1		0-13.1	
12	"	"	"	140	6		0-03.3	
13	"	"	"	140	5		0-33.8	
14	"	"	"	152	7B		0-13.4	
15	"	"	"	154*	9		0-11.3	
16	"	"	"	154	7		0-03.7	
17	"	"	"	154	5		0-13.4	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tehsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H.	Are.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Jasai	Uran	Raigad	137	5		0-11.0	
2	"	"	"	137	6+7		0-00.5	
3	"	"	"	152	6		0-01.5	
4	"	"	"	164	1		0-07.5	
5	"	"	"	164	3		0-08.0	
6	"	"	"	164	2A		0-18.0	
7	"	"	"	187	1		0-08.0	
8	"	"	"	187	2		0-11.6	
9	"	"	"	67	5A		0.05.0	
10	"	"	"	67	7B		0-07.5	
11	"	"	"	67	1A		0-15.0	
12	"	"	"	140	6		0-03.0	
13	"	"	"	140	5		0-30.0	
14	"	"	"	152	7B		0-12.4	
15	"	"	"	154	9		0-12.0	
16	"	"	"	154	7		0-06.0	
17	"	"	"	154	5		0-10.0	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
18	Jasai	Uran	Raigad	138	5B		0-06.8	
19	"	"	"	139	0		0-01.5	
20	"	"	"	137	9		0-12.6	
21	"	"	"	137	9		0-01.5	
22	"	"	"	138	10A		0-00.5	
23	"	"	"	138	2/7/11B		0-00.7	
24	"	"	"	152	5		0-00.2	
25	"	"	"	154	8		0-00.2	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
18	Jasai	Uran	Raigad	138	5B			
19	"	"	"	139	0		Deleted.	
20	"	"	"	137	9			
21	"	"	"	137	10			
22	"	"	"	138	10A			
23	"	"	"	138	2/7/11B		Deleted	
24	"	"	"	152	5			
25	"	"	"	154	8			

[No. 34027/1/87 P.C. II(Part)/Vol. II]

गृह-पत्र

भा. नं. 2426—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में खाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का भा. 1820 तारीख 3 जून, 88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18-6-88 पृष्ठ 2375 में 2389 प्रसारित हुए। अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इससे बजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची खाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ.नं.	गाव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	बिरतेर	उरण	रायगड	71	0 पै	—	0-25.8	
2.	"	"	"	72	1 पै	—	0-10.9	
3.	"	"	"	72	2 पै	—	0-18.7	
4.	"	"	"	73	2 पै	—	0-00.2	
5.	"	"	"	80	1 पै	—	0-03.2	
6.	"	"	"	80	2+4 पै	—	0-09.3	
7.	"	"	"	80	6 पै	—	0-03.5	
8.	"	"	"	80	3 पै	—	0-08.6	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ.नं.	गाँव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घार
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	बिरतेर	उरण	रायगड	71	3 पै	—	0-12.0	
2.	"	"	"	71	4 पै	—	0-12.6	
3.	"	"	"	72	1 पै	—	0-15.0	
4.	"	"	"	72	2 पै	—	0-18.2	
5.	"	"	"	73	2 पै	—	0-01.0	
6.	"	"	"	80	1 पै	—	0-06.5	
7.	"	"	"	80	2+4 पै	—	0-06.3	
8.	"	"	"	80	6 पै	—	0-06.0	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
9.	"	"	"	81	8१	--	0-03.7	
10.	"	"	"	79	1१	--	0-16.4	
11.	"	"	"	79	2१	--	0-10.6	
12.	"	"	"	79	3१	--	0-08.0	
13.	"	"	"	78	1१	--	0-08.6	
14.	"	"	"	113	1१	--	0-09.0	
15.	"	"	"	113	2१	--	0-26.4	
16.	"	"	"	48	1१	--	0-13.0	
17.	"	"	"	48	3१	--	0-08.6	
18.	"	"	"	47	4१	--	0-01.0	
19.	"	"	"	52	1.ख१	--	0-03.7	
20.	"	"	"	52	2+7+8१	--	0-25.0	
21.	"	"	"	54	1+2+3क१	--	0-10.8	
22.	"	"	"	54	1+2+3ख१	--	0-03.7	
23.	"	"	"	58	2१	--	0-11.3	
24.	"	"	"	175	2१	--	0-00.7	
25.	"	"	"	175	3१	--	0-01.2	
26.	"	"	"	175	4१	--	0-03.5	
27.	"	"	"	141	1१	--	0-33.6	
28.	"	"	"	85	3१	--	0-12.1	
29.	"	"	"	72	3१	--	0-0.5	
30.	"	"	"	22	0१	--	0-02.0	
				183	3१	--	0-00.2	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
9.	"	"	"	80	3१	--	0-09.4	
10.	"	"	"	81	8१	--	0-01.0	
11.	"	"	"	79	1१	--	0-15.0	
12.	"	"	"	79	2१	--	0-11.2	
13.	"	"	"	79	3१	--	0-12.4	
14.	"	"	"	78	1१	--	0-09.4	
15.	"	"	"	113	1१	--	0-00.5	
16.	"	"	"	113	8ख+9+10१	--	0-09.0	
17.	"	"	"	113	1१	--	0-25.8	
18.	"	"	"	48	1.ख१	--	0-08.1	
19.	"	"	"	48	1.ख१	--	0-07.0	
20.	"	"	"	48	3१	--	0-10.0	
21.	"	"	"	47	4१	--	0-03.0	
22.	"	"	"	52	1.ख१	--	0-01.7	
23.	"	"	"	52	2१	--	0-10.0	
24.	"	"	"	52	7१	--	0-05.8	
25.	"	"	"	52	8१	--	0-08.4	
26.	"	"	"	54	1+2+3 क१	--	0-08.0	
27.	"	"	"	54	1+2+3ख१	--	0-04.5	
28.	"	"	"	58	2१	--	0-10.0	
29.	"	"	"	175	2+3+4+5+6१	--	0-00.5	
30.	"	"	"	141	1१	--	0-30.1	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
32	चिरनेर	उरण	रायगड	179	3 पै	—	0-00.2	
33.	"	"	"	135	2 पै	—	0-00.2	
34.	"	"	"	175	1 पै	—	0-00.2	
35.	"	"	"	209	5 पै	—	0-00.2	
36.	"	"	"	208	2 पै	—	0-00.7	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
31	चिरनेर	उरण	रायगड ¹	85	3 पै			
32	"	"	"	71	3 पै			
33	"	"	"	32	0 पै			
24	"	"	"	183	3 पै			
35.	"	"	"	179	3 पै	}	कम किया	
36.	"	"	"	135	2 पै			
37.	"	"	"	175	1 पै			
38.	"	"	"	209	5 पै			
39.	"	"	"	208	2 पै			

[सं. 34027/1/87-पी.सी. II (पार्टे)/मिल्ड-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2426.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1820 Dated 3-6-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 18-6-88 on pages 2375 to 2389 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area
							H. Are.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 9
1	Chirner	Uran	Raigad	71	0		0-25-8
2	"	"	"	72	1		0-10-9
3	"	"	"	72	2		0-18-7
4	"	"	"	73	2		0-00-2
5	"	"	"	80	1		0-03-2
6	"	"	"	80	2+4		0-09-3
7	"	"	"	80	6		0-03-5
8	"	"	"	80	3		0-08-6
9	"	"	"	81	8		0-03-7
10	"	"	"	79	1		0-16-4
11	"	"	"	79	2		0-10-6

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area
							H. Are
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17 18
1	Chirner	Uran	Raigad	71	3		0-12-0
2	"	"	"	71	4B		0-12-6
3	"	"	"	72	1		0-15-0
4	"	"	"	72	2B		0-18-2
5	"	"	"	73	2		0-01-0
6	"	"	"	80	1		0-06-5
7	"	"	"	80	2+4		0-06-3
8	"	"	"	80	6		0-06-0
9	"	"	"	80	3		0-09-4
10	"	"	"	81	8		0-01-0
11	"	"	"	79	1		0-15-0

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
12	Chirner	Uran	Raigad	79	3		0-08-0	
13	"	"	"	78	1		0-08-0	
14	"	"	"	113	1		0-09-0	
15	"	"	"	113	2		0-26-4	
16	"	"	"	48	1		0-13-0	
17	"	"	"	48	3		0-08-6	
18	"	"	"	47	4		0-01-0	
19	"	"	"	52	1B		0-03-7	
20	"	"	"	52	2+7+8		0-25-0	
21	"	"	"	54	1+2+3		0-10-8	
					C			
22	"	"	"	54	1+2+3		0-03-7	
					E			
23	"	"	"	58	2		0-11-3	
24	"	"	"	175	2		0-00-7	}
25	"	"	"	175	3		0-01-2	
26	"	"	"	175	4		0-03-5	
27	"	"	"	141	1		0-33-6	
28	"	"	"	86	3		0-12-1	
29	"	"	"	72	3		0-00-5	
30	"	"	"	22	0		0-02-0	
31	"	"	"	183	3		0-00-2	
32	"	"	"	179	3		0-00-2	
33	"	"	"	135	2		0-00-2	
34	"	"	"	175	1		0-00-2	
35	"	"	"	209	5		0-00-2	
36	"	"	"	208	2		0-00-7	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
12	Chirner	Uran	Raigad	79	2		0-11-2	
13	"	"	"	79	3		0-12-4	
14	"	"	"	78	1		0-09-4	
15	"	"	"	113	1		0-00-5	
16	"	"	"	113	8B+9+10		0-09-0	
17	"	"	"	113	2		0-25-8	
18	"	"	"	48	1A		0-08-1	
19	"	"	"	48	1B		0-00-0	
20	"	"	"	48	3		0-10-0	
21	"	"	"	47	4		0-03-0	
22	"	"	"	52	1B		0-01-7	
23	"	"	"	52)	2		0-10-0	
24	"	"	"	52)	7		0-05-8	
25	"	"	"	52)	8		0-08-4	
26	"	"	"	54	1+2+3		0-08-0	
					C			
27	"	"	"	54	1+2+3E		0-04-5	
28	"	"	"	58	2		0-10-0	
29	"	"	"	175	2+3+4+ 5+6		0-00-5	
30	"	"	"	141	1		0-30-1	
31	"	"	"	85	3		0-11-1	
32	"	"	"	72	3	}	Deleted	
33	"	"	"	22	0			
34	"	"	"	183	3			
35	"	"	"	179	3			
36	"	"	"	135	2			
37	"	"	"	175	1			
38	"	"	"	209	5			
39	"	"	"	208	2			

शुद्धि-पत्र

का.आ. 2427.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में खाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों आं सख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. 1820 तारीख 3-6-1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (II) तारीख 18-6-1988 पृष्ठ 2375 से 2389 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इससे बजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची खाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और सख्या पढ़ना

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ.न.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. भोम		उरण	रायगड	4	4अ (2) पै	—	0-05.3	
2. "		"	"	4	4अ (1) पै	—	0-14.9	
3. "		"	"	6	1 पै	—	0-18.9	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ.न.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.न.	हि.नं.	गट.न.	क्षेत्र	
							हे	आर
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1. भोम		उरण	रायगड	4	4ब-2पै	—	0-10.1	
2. "		"	"	4	4ब-1पै	—	0-10.1	
3. "		"	"	6	1 पै	—	0-08.0	

[सं. 34027/1/87-पी.सी. II (पाठ) जिल्द-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O.2427.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India, Notification No. S.O. 1820 Dated 3-6-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 18-6-88 on pages 2375 as 2389 "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cut No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1 Bhom		Uran	Raigad	4	4A(2)		0-05-3	
2	4	4A(1)		0-14-9	
3	6	1		0-18-9	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cut No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1 Bhom		Uran	Raigad	4	4B-2		0-10-1	
2	4	4B-1		0-10-1	
3	6	1		0-08-0	

शुद्धि-पत्र

का. आ. 2428.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में स्तंभ 1 में 9 में किये हुए जहाँ और संख्या भारत सरकार की पत्रिसूचना नं. का. आ. 18/90 तारीख 3-6-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (II) तारीख 18-6-88 पृष्ठ 2375 में 2389 प्रसारित हुए अत्रिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए है। इससे वजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 में 18 में लिखे हुए जहाँ और संख्या पढ़ना

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							है	आर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. चिखलीभोम		उरण	रायगड	14	3 पै	—	0-01.2	
2. "		"	"	17	2 पै	—	0-26.5	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							है	आर
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
1. चिखलीभोम		उरण	रायगड	14	3 पै	—	0-05.0	
2. "		"	"	17	2 पै	—	0-17.0	

[स. 34027/1/87पी.सी. II (पार्ट) जिल्द-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2428.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1820 Dated 3-6-88 Published in the Gazette of India, Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii), dated 18-6-88 on pages as.. "words figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cut No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Chikhali-Bhom	Uran	Raigad	14	3		0-01-2	
2	"	"	"	17	2		0-26-5	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cut No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Chikhali-Bhom	Uran	Raigad	14	3		0-05-0	
2	"	"	"	17	2		0-17-0	

[No. 34027/1/87-P.C. II (Part), Vol. II]

शुद्धि-पत्र

का. अ. 2429.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना न. का. अ. 1820 तारीख 3 जून, 1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18 जून, 1988 पृष्ठ 2375 से 2389 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इससे बतह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. न.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. न.	हि. न.	गैट न.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. बलोडाखार		उरण	रायगढ़	96	1 बै	—	0-14.6	
2. "		"	"	96	2 बै	—	0-02.5	
3. "		"	"	68	9 बै	—	0-04.7	
4. "		"	"	93	1(4) बै	—	0-00.2	
5. "		"	"	93	1(3) बै	—	0-00.2	
6. "		"	"	94	1 बै	—	0-01.5	
7. "		"	"	77	10 बै	—	0-00.2	
8. "		"	"	77	6 बै	—	0-00.2	
9. "		"	"	76	10 बै	—	0-00.2	
10. "		"	"	75	11 बै	—	0-00.2	
11. "		"	"	72	9 बै	—	0-00.2	
12. "		"	"	72	2 बै	—	0-00.2	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. न.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. न.	हि. न.	गैट न.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1. बलोडाखार		उरण	रायगढ़	96	1 बै	—	0-15.6	
2. "		"	"	96	2 बै	—	0-06.0	
3. "		"	"	68	9 बै	—	0-05.0	
4. "		"	"	93	1(4) बै	—	कम किया	
5. "		"	"	93	1(3) बै	—		
6. "		"	"	94	1 बै	—		
7. "		"	"	77	10 बै	—		
8. "		"	"	77	6 बै	—		
9. "		"	"	76	10 बै	—		
10. "		"	"	75	11 बै	—		
11. "		"	"	72	9 बै	—		
12. "		"	"	72	2 बै	—		

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2429 —Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1870 dated 3-6-88. Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 18-6-88 on pages 2375 to 2389 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Belondakhar	Uran	Raigad	96	1B		0-14-6	
2.	"	"	"	96	2		0-02-5	
3.	"	"	"	68	9		0-04-7	
4.	"	"	"	93	1(4)		0-00-2	
5.	"	"	"	93	1(3)		0-00-2	
6.	"	"	"	94	1		0-01-5	
7.	"	"	"	77	10		0-00-2	
8.	"	"	"	77	6		0-00-2	
9.	"	"	"	76	10		0-00-2	
10.	"	"	"	75	11		0-00-2	
11.	"	"	"	72	9		0-00-2	
12.	"	"	"	72	2		0-00-2	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	Belondakhar	Uran	Raigad	96	1B		0-15-6	
2.	"	"	"	96	2		0-06-0	
3.	"	"	"	68	9		0-05-0	
4.	"	"	"	93	1(4)	}	Deleted	
5.	"	"	"	93	1(3)			
6.	"	"	"	94	1			
7.	"	"	"	77	10			
8.	"	"	"	77	6			
9.	"	"	"	76	10			
10.	"	"	"	75	11			
11.	"	"	"	72	9			
12.	"	"	"	72	2			

[No. 34027/1/87-P.C. II (Part)/Vol. II]

शुद्धि-पत्र

का. भा. 2430.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में खाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का. भा. 1820 तारीख 3 जून, 1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18 जून 1988 पृष्ठ 2375 से 2389 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इससे वजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची खाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे	घार
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	विधणे	उरण	रायगड	239	2 पै	—	0-05.3	
2.	"	"	"	237	5 पै	—	0-03.4	
3.	"	"	"	237	4 पै	—	0-06.3	
4.	"	"	"	237	2 पै	—	0-03.2	
5.	"	"	"	237	3 पै	—	0-01.8	
6.	"	"	"	42	0 पै	—	0-36.1	
7.	"	"	"	41	0 पै	—	0-03.7	
8.	"	"	"	37	0 पै	—	0-10.8	
9.	"	"	"	14	1 पै	—	0-06.3	
10.	"	"	"	14	3 पै	—	0-09.1	
11.	"	"	"	14	7 पै	—	0-13.1	
12.	"	"	"	7	3 पै	—	0-02.0	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

क्रम सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	गेट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
13.	विघणो	उरण	रायगड	51	1 पै	--	0-19.7	
14.				56	1 पै	--	0-09.3	
15.				9	4 पै	--	0-01.7	
16.				9	3ब पै	--	0-14.0	
17.	"	"	"	9	3क 1पै	--	0-10.0	
18.	"	"	"	9	3क 2पै	--	0-02.0	
19.	"	"	"	8	1 पै	--	0-27.8	
20.	"	"	"	8	2 पै	--	0-02.5	
21.	"	"	"	12	1 पै	--	0-01.5	
22.	"	"	"	48	1 पै	--	0-04.5	
23.	"	"	"	14	2 पै	--	0-01.5	
24.	"	"	"	14	4 पै	--	0-00.2	
25.	"	"	"	51	3 पै	--	0-02.2	
26.	"	"	"	56	2 पै	--	0-00.2	

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

सं. न.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. न.	हि. न.	गेट न.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	विघणो	उरण	रायगड	239	2 पै	--	0-06.0	
2.	"	"	"	237	5 पै	--	0-03.0	
3.	"	"	"	237	4 पै	--	0-06.0	
4.	"	"	"	237	2 पै	--	0-01.5	
5.	"	"	"	237	3 पै	--	0-01.5	
6.	"	"	"	42	0 पै	--	0-03.0	
7.	"	"	"	41	0 पै	--	0-03.5	
8.	"	"	"	37	0 पै }	--	0-08.8	
9.	"	"	"	14	1 पै }	--	0-08.0	
10.	"	"	"	14	3 पै }	--	0-08.0	
11.	"	"	"	14	7 पै	--	0-10.8	
12.	"	"	"	7	3 अ पै }	--	0-04.0	
13.	"	"	"	7	3 ब पै	--		

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. न.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. न.	हि. नं.	गेट न.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
14.	विघणो	उरण	रायगड	31	1 पै	--	0-22.0	
15.	"	"	"	56	1अ पै	--	0-11.0	
16.	"	"	"	9	4 पै	--	0-01.5	
17.	"	"	"	9	3ब पै	--	0-10.0	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
18.	विंघण	उरण	रायगड	9	34 पे	--	0-11.3	
19.	"	"	"	9	34 2 पे	--	0-06.2	
20.	"	"	"	8	1 पे	--	0-62.2	
21.	"	"	"	8	3 पे	--	0-17.4	
22.	"	"	"	8	6 पे	--	0-05.2	
23.	"	"	"	8	2 पे	--	0-04.3	
24.	"	"	"	51	1 पे	--	0-02.5	
25.	"	"	"	56	1 पे	--		
26.	"	"	"	14	2 पे	}		
27.	"	"	"	14	4 पे			
28.	"	"	"	51	3 पे			कम क्रिया
29.	"	"	"	56	2 पे			

[सं. 34027/1/87-पो. सो. II (पार्ट/जिल्हा-II)]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2430.—Read Words and figures showing in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1820 dated 3-6-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub section(ii) dated 18-6-93 on pages 2375 to 2389 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H	Ars
1	2		4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Vindhane	Urban	Raigad	239	2		0-05-3	
2.	"	"	"	237	5		0-03-4	
3.	"	"	"	237	4		0-06-3	
4.	"	"	"	237	3		0-01-8	
5.	"	"	"	2a7	2		0-03-2	
6.	"	"	"	42	0		0-36-1	
7.	"	"	"	41	0		0-03-7	
8.	"	"	"	37	0		0-10-8	
9.	"	"	"	14	1		0-06-3	
10.	"	"	"	14	3		0-09-1	
11.	"	"	"	14	7		0-13-1	
12.	"	"	"	7	3		0-02-0	
13.	"	"	"	51	1		0-19-7	
14.	"	"	"	56	1		0-09-3	
15.	"	"	"	9	4		0-01-7	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	Vindhane	Urban	Raigad	239	2		0-06-0	
2.	"	"	"	237	5		0-03-0	
3.	"	"	"	237	4		0-06-0	
4.	"	"	"	237	3		0-01-5	
5.	"	"	"	237	2		0-01-5	
6.	"	"	"	42	0		0-43-0	
7.	"	"	"	41	0		0-03-5	
8.	"	"	"	37	0		0-08-8	
9.	"	"	"	14	1		0-08-0	
10.	"	"	"	14	3		0-08-0	
11.	"	"	"	14	7		0-10-8	
12.	"	"	"	7	3A }			
13.	"	"	"	7	3B }		0-04-0	
14.	"	"	"	51	1 }		0-22-0	
15.	"	"	"	56	1A		0-14-0	
16.	"	"	"	9	4		0-01-5	

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H. Ars.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
16.	Vindhane	Uran	Raigad	9	3B			0-14-0
17.	"	"	"	9	3C(1)			0-10-0
18.	"	"	"	9	3C(C2)			0-02-0
19.	"	"	"	8	1			0-27-8
20.	"	"	"	8	2			0-02-5
21.	"	"	"	12	1			0-01-5
22.	"	"	"	48	1			0-04-5
23.	"	"	"	14	2			0-10-5
24.	"	"	"	14	4			0-00-2
25.	"	"	"	51	3			0-02-2
26.	"	"	"	56	2			0-00-2

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H. Ars.	
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
17.	Vindhane	Uran	Raigad	9	3B			0-10-0
18.	"	"	"	9	3C(1)			0-11-3
19.	"	"	"	9	3C(2)			0-06-2
20.	"	"	"	8	1			0-02-2
21.	"	"	"	8	3			0-17-4
22.	"	"	"	8	6			0-05-2
23.	"	"	"	8	2			0-04-3
24.	"	"	"	12	1			0-02-5
25.	"	"	"	48	1			
26.	"	"	"	14	2			
27.	"	"	"	14	4			
28.	"	"	"	31	3			
29.	"	"	"	56	2			

[No. 34027/1/87-PC. II (Part)/Vol. II]

शुद्धि-पत्र

का.आ. 2431 -- निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में खाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए गडों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना का.आ. 1820 तारीख 3-6-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18-6-88 पृष्ठ 2375 से 2389 प्रकाशित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इससे वजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची खाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए गडों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रकाशित किया गया वर्णन

अ. सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	शि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आ.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	कंठवली	उरण	रायगड	29	5 पै	--	0-03	5
2.	"	"	"	39	1व 2 पै	--	0-01	0
3.	"	"	"	37	4 पै	--	0-09	1
4.	"	"	"	37	5व (1)	--	0-07	1
5.	"	"	"	30	2व पै	--	0-00	2
6.	"	"	"	27	2 पै	--	0-01	5
7.	"	"	"	39	1व 3 पै	--	0-06	0

प्रकाशित होने का वर्णन

क्र. सं.	गाँव का नाम	तहसिल	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	गेट सं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	कंठहवाली	उरण	रायगड	29	5B पै	--	0-02-2	
2.	"	"	"	39	1B 2 पै	--	0-09-2	
3.	"	"	"	37	4 पै	--	0-08-6	
4.	"	"	"	37	5B 1 पै	--	0-09-0	
5.	"	"	"	30	2B पै	}	कम किया	
6.	"	"	"	27	2 पै			
7.	"	"	"	39	1B 3 पै			

[सं. 34027/1/87-पी. सी. (पार्ट)/जिल्हा-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2431.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No.S.O. 1820 Dated 3-6-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-Section (ii) dated 18-6-88 on pages 2375 to 2389 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Kanthawali	Urban	Raigad	29	5			0-03-5
2.	"	"	"	39	1B(2)			0-01-0
3.	"	"	"	37	4			0-09-1
4.	"	"	"	37	5B(1)			0-07-1
5.	"	"	"	30	2B			0-00-2
6.	"	"	"	27	2			0-01-5
7.	"	"	"	39	1B(3)			0-06-0

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	Kanthawali	Urban	Raigad	29	5B			0-02-2
2.	"	"	"	39	1B(2)			0-09-2
3.	"	"	"	37	4			0-08-6
4.	"	"	"	37	5B(1)			0-09-0
5.	"	"	"	30	2B	}	Deleted	
6.	"	"	"	27	2			
7.	"	"	"	39	1B(3)			

[No. 34027/1/87-P.C. II (Part)/Vol. II]

का.प्र. 2432-- निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना सं. क. प्र. 1820 तारीख 3 जून, 1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18 जून, 1988 पृष्ठ 2375 से 2389 परितः ए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में है। इससे वजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. सं.	हि. सं.	गट सं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घार
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	बिले	उरण	रायगढ़	40	5 पै	--	0-19.3	
2.	"	"	"	41	1क पै	--	0-06.8	
3.	"	"	"	41	1ब पै	--	0-05.8	
4.	"	"	"	41	4 पै	--	0-17.4	
5.	"	"	"	41	1अ पै	--	0-06.5	
6.	"	"	"	41	5 पै	--	0-10.1	
7.	"	"	"	32	4 पै	--	0-07.3	
8.	"	"	"	33	2 पै	--	0-11.8	
9.	"	"	"	33	3 पै	--	0-05.5	
10.	"	"	"	20	2 पै	--	0-09.7	
11.	"	"	"	40	1 पै	--	0-02.3	
12.	"	"	"	40	2 पै	--	0-04.5	
13.	"	"	"	40	4 पै	--	0-01.2	
14.	"	"	"	21	2 पै	--	0-00.5	
15.	"	"	"	8	2ब पै	--	0-00.2	
16.	"	"	"	8	9 पै	--	0-00.2	
17.	"	"	"	20	5अ पै	--	0-01.0	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. सं.	हि. सं.	गट सं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घार
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	बिले	उरण	रायगढ़	40	5 पै	--	0-22.4	
2.	"	"	"	41	1क पै	--	0-10.0	
3.	"	"	"	41	1ब पै	--	0-04.8	
4.	"	"	"	41	4 पै	--	0-13.0	
5.	"	"	"	41	1अ पै	--	0-09.5	
6.	"	"	"	41	5 पै	--	0-09.1	
7.	"	"	"	32	2+3+4 पै	--	0-08.0	
8.	"	"	"	33	2 पै	--	0-12.8	
9.	"	"	"	33	3 पै	--	0-06.5	
10.	"	"	"	20	2 पै	--	0-11.0	
11.	"	"	"	40	1 पै	--	0-05.6	
12.	"	"	"	40	2 पै	--	0-07.5	
13.	"	"	"	40	4 पै }	--	कम किया	
14.	"	"	"	21	2 पै }	--		
15.	"	"	"	8	2ब पै }	--		
16.	"	"	"	8	9 पै }	--		
17.	"	"	"	20	4+5 पै	--	0-01.5	

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2432:—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule Annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1820 dated 3-6-1988 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 18-6-1988 on pages 2375 to 2389 as "words & figures" shown in column 10 to 18 to the Schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gal No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Chirle	Uran	Ragad	40	5		0-19-3	
2.	"	"	"	41	1C		0-06-8	
3.	"	"	"	41	1B		0-05-8	
4.	"	"	"	41	4		0-17-4	
5.	"	"	"	41	1A		0-06-5	
6.	"	"	"	41	5		0-10-1	
7.	"	"	"	32	4		0-07-3	
8.	"	"	"	33	2		0-11-8	
9.	"	"	"	33	3		0-05-5	
10.	"	"	"	20	2		0-09-7	
11.	"	"	"	40	1		0-02-2	
12.	"	"	"	40	2A		0-04-5	
13.	"	"	"	20	4+5A		0-01-0	
14.	"	"	"	40	4		0-01-2	
15.	"	"	"	21	2		0-00-5	
16.	"	"	"	8	2B		0-00-2	
17.	"	"	"	8	9		0-00-2	

SCHEDULE—Contd.

Sr. No.	Name of village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gal No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	Chirle	Uran	Raigad	40	5		0-22-4	
2.	"	"	"	41	1C		0-10-0	
3.	"	"	"	41	1B		0-04-8	
4.	"	"	"	41	4		0-13-0	
5.	"	"	"	41	1A		0-09-5	
6.	"	"	"	41	5		0-09-1	
7.	"	"	"	32	2+3+4		0-08-0	
8.	"	"	"	33	32		0-12-8	
9.	"	"	"	33	3		0-06-5	
10.	"	"	"	20	2		0-11-0	
11.	"	"	"	40	1		05-06	
12.	"	"	"	40	2A		0-07-5	
13.	"	"	"	20	4+5		0-01-5	
14.	"	"	"	40	4			
15.	"	"	"	21	2			
16.	"	"	"	8	2B			
17.	"	"	"	8	9			Deleted

शब्द-वृत्त

का.प्र. 2433-- निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में समाप्त 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का.प्र. 1817 व 2391 तारीख 3-6-88, 20-7-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग II खंड 3 उपखंड (ii) तारीख 10-6-88, 30-7-88 पृष्ठ 2332 से 2373 व 2867 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में लगे हैं। इसके अलावा निम्नलिखित अनुसूची समाप्त 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

अनुसूची

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अं. नं.	शब्द का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	अर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	दिघाटी	पनवेल	रायगढ़	33	1 पै	--	0-13.6	
2.	"	"	"	33	2 पै	--	0-03.7	
3.	"	"	"	32	0 पै	--	0-06.5	
4.	"	"	"	31	0 पै	--	0-11.6	
5.	"	"	"	5	4 पै	--	0-12.6	
6.	"	"	"	5	3 पै	--	0-00.5	
7.	"	"	"	5	2 पै	--	0-05.3	
8.	"	"	"	6	7 पै	--	0-07.2	
9.	"	"	"	6	6व पै	--	0-02.0	
10.	"	"	"	86	3 पै	--	0-03.0	
11.	"	"	"	86	1 पै	--	0-12.1	
12.	"	"	"	7	7व 2 पै	--	0-08.3	
13.	"	"	"	85	16 पै	--	0-05.0	
14.	"	"	"	85	8 पै	--	0-18.3	
15.	"	"	"	85	4 पै	--	0-04.0	
16.	"	"	"	77	5 पै	--	0-02.2	
17.	"	"	"	77	4व पै	--	0-04.3	
18.	"	"	"	77	4व पै	--	0-04.0	
19.	"	"	"	78	3व पै	--	0-16.7	
20.	"	"	"	81	2 पै	--	0-08.8	
21.	"	"	"	81	1व पै	--	0-14.1	
22.	"	"	"	82	3 पै	--	0-08.3	
23.	"	"	"	82	2 पै	--	0-05.8	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अं. नं.	शब्द का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	अर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	दिघाटी	पनवेल	रायगढ़	33	1 पै	--	0-10.5	
2.	"	"	"	33	2 पै	--	0-06.5	
3.	"	"	"	32	0 पै	--	0-05.2	
4.	"	"	"	31	0 पै	--	0-13.2	
5.	"	"	"	5	4 पै	--	0-11.0	
6.	"	"	"	5	3 पै	--	0-05.0	
7.	"	"	"	5	2 पै	--	0-04.0	
8.	"	"	"	6	7 पै	--	0-06.2	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
9.	दिघाटी	पनवेल	रायगड	6	68 पै	--	0- 05. 5	
10.	"	"	"	86	3 पै	--	0- 00. 5	
11.	"	"	"	86	1 पै	--	0- 17. 1	
12.	"	"	"	7	78 2 पै	--	0- 02. 7	
13.	"	"	"	86	16 पै	--	0- 13. 0	
14.	"	"	"	85	8 पै	--	0- 12. 0	
15.	"	"	"	85	4 पै	--	0- 07. 0	
16.	"	"	"	77	5 पै	--	0- 03- 1	
17.	"	"	"	77	48 पै	--	0- 04. 2	
18.	"	"	"	77	48 पै	--	0- 00. 2	
19.	"	"	"	78	28 पै	--	0- 16. 0	
20.	"	"	"	81	2 पै	--	0- 13. 8	
21.	"	"	"	81	18 पै	--	0- 14. 0	
22.	"	"	"	82	3 पै	--	0- 03. 5	
23.	"	"	"	82	2 पै	--	0- 08. 5	

[सं. 34027/1/87-पा. सी. II) पाट/अ. 11]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2433:—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of Indian Notification No. S.O. 1817 and 2300 dated 3-6-1988, 20-7-88 published in the Gazette of India, Part II Section 3 Sub section (ii) dated 18-6-88, 30-7-88 on pages 2362 to 2372 & 2867 "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below. :—

SCHEDULE

Sr. Name of the Village No.		Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ar.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Dighati	Panvel	Raigad	33	1		0-13-6	
2.	"	"	"	33	2		0-03-7	
3.	"	"	"	32	0		0-06-5	
4.	"	"	"	31	0		0-11-6	
5.	"	"	"	5	4		0-12-6	
6.	"	"	"	5	3		0-00-5	
7.	"	"	"	5	2		0-05-8	
8.	"	"	"	6C	7		0-07-2	
9.	"	"	"	6C	68		0-02-0	
10.	"	"	"	86	3		0-03-0	
11.	"	"	"	86	1		0-12-0	
12.	"	"	"	7	7B(2)		0-08-3	
13.	"	"	"	85	16		0-05-0	
14.	"	"	"	85	8		0-18-3	
15.	"	"	"	85	4		0-04-0	
16.	"	"	"	77	5		0-02-2	
17.	"	"	"	77	4A		0-04-3	
18.	"	"	"	77	4B		0-04-0	
19.	"	"	"	78	2B		0-16-7	
20.	"	"	"	81	2		0-08-8	
21.	"	"	"	81	1B		0-14-1	
22.	"	"	"	82	3		0-08-8	
23.	"	"	"	82	2		0-05-8	

SCHEDULE—Contd.

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H	Area
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	Dighati	Panvel	Raigad	33	1			0-10-5
2.	"	"	"	33	2			0-06-5
3.	"	"	"	32	0			0-05-2
5.	"	"	"	31	0			0-13-2
5.	"	"	"	5	4			0-12-6
6.	"	"	"	5	3			0-05-0
7.	"	"	"	5	2			0-04-0
8.	"	"	"	6 C	7			0-06-2
9.	"	"	"	6 C	6B			0-05-5
10.	"	"	"	86	3			0-00-5
11.	"	"	"	86	1			0-17-1
12.	"	"	"	7	7B(2)			0-02-7
13.	"	"	"	85	16			0-03-0
14.	"	"	"	85	8			0-12-0
15.	"	"	"	85	4			0-07-0
16.	"	"	"	77	5			0-03-1
17.	"	"	"	77	4A			0-04-2
18.	"	"	"	77	4B			0-00-2
19.	"	"	"	78	2B			0-16-0
20.	"	"	"	81	2			0-13-8
21.	"	"	"	81	1B			0-14-0
22.	"	"	"	82	3			0-08-5
23.	"	"	"	82	2			0-05-0

[No. 34027/1/87- P.C. (Part)/Vol. II]

गृह-पत्र

क्र.आ. 2434. - निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकान, 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. क.प्र. 1817 व 2301 तारीख 3-6-86 व 20-7-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारांक 8-6-89, 30-7-88 पृष्ठ 2392 से 2392 से 2807 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में छपे हैं। इससे बजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्द और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गाँव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	साई	पनवेल	रायगड	246	1 पे	---	0-04.3	
2.	"	"	"	246	4 पे	---	0-14.6	
3.	"	"	"	246	5 पे	---	0-07.8	
4.	"	"	"	246	7 पे	---	0-07.1	
5.	"	"	"	244	5 पे	---	0-01.8	
6.	"	"	"	244	6 पे	---	0-07.3	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
7.	नाई	पनवेल	रायगढ़	257	1 पै	—	0-06.3	
8.	"	"	"	257	6 पै	—	0 05.3	
9.	"	"	"	256	6 पै	—	0-06.0	
10.	"	"	"	256	2 पै	—	0-13.1	
11.	"	"	"	248	4 पै	—	0-00.7	
12.	"	"	"	253	3 पै	—	0-03.5	
13.	"	"	"	253	5 पै	—	0-04.3	
14.	"	"	"	260	3 पै	—	0-05.5	
15.	"	"	"	260	8 पै	—	0-05.3	
16.	"	"	"	260	9 पै	—	0-02.5	
17.	"	"	"	260	1 पै	—	0-06.8	
18.	"	"	"	262	3 पै	—	0-03.0	
19.	"	"	"	263	2 पै	—	0-02.0	
20.	"	"	"	265	3 पै	—	0-06.3	
21.	"	"	"	264	5 पै	—	0-11.6	
22.	"	"	"	264	6 पै	—	0-04.3	
23.	"	"	"	264	3 पै	—	0-00.5	
24.	"	"	"	261	1 पै	—	0-00.5	
25.	"	"	"	261	7 पै	—	0-00.2	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	राज्य का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	उप. नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे	अ.र.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	नाई	पनवेल	रायगढ़	246	1 पै	—	0-07.0	
2.	"	"	"	246	4 पै	—	0-14.0	
3.	"	"	"	246	5 पै	—	0-07.0	
4.	"	"	"	246	7 पै	—	0-06.2	
5.	"	"	"	244	5 पै	—	0-03.0	
6.	"	"	"	244	6 पै	—	0-03.0	
7.	"	"	"	257	1 पै	—	0-07.5	
8.	"	"	"	257	6 पै	—	0 06.0	
9.	"	"	"	256	6 पै	—	0-07.5	
10.	"	"	"	256	2 पै	—	0-09.5	
11.	"	"	"	248	4 पै	—	0-01.0	
12.	"	"	"	253	3 पै	—	0-02.0	
13.	"	"	"	253	5 पै	—	0-01.0	
14.	"	"	"	260	3 पै	—	0-06.2	
15.	"	"	"	260	8 पै	—	0-05.2	
16.	"	"	"	260	9 पै	—	0-03.9	
17.	"	"	"	263	1 पै	—	0-06.5	
18.	"	"	"	263	3 पै	—	0-01.7	
19.	"	"	"	263	2 पै	—	0-02.5	
20.	"	"	"	265	3 पै	—	0-08.0	
21.	"	"	"	264	5 पै	—	0-13.4	
22.	"	"	"	264	6 पै	—	0-02.5	
23.	"	"	"	246	3 पै	—	रूप किया	
24.	"	"	"	261	1 पै	—		
25.	"	"	"	261	7 पै	—		

[सं. 34027/1/87-पो. सी-II (पार्ट)/जिल्द-II]

COKE-LEGENDUM

S.O. 2434—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the Schedule/Annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S. O. 1317&2301 Dated 3-6-1988, 20-7-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 18-6-1988 to 30-7-88 on pages 2362 to 2392 2807 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ac.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Sai	Panvel	Raigad	246	1		0-04-3	
2.	"	"	"	246	4		0-14-6	
3.	"	"	"	246	5		0-07-8	
4.	"	"	"	246	7		0-07-1	
5.	"	"	"	244	5		0-01-2	
6.	"	"	"	244	6		0-07-3	
7.	"	"	"	257	1		0-06-3	
8.	"	"	"	257	6		0-05-3	
9.	"	"	"	256	6		0-06-0	
10.	"	"	"	256	2		0-13-1	
11.	"	"	"	248	4		0-09-7	
12.	"	"	"	253	3		0-03-5	
13.	"	"	"	253	5		0-04-3	
14.	"	"	"	260	3		0-05-5	
15.	"	"	"	260	8		0-05-3	
16.	"	"	"	260	9		0-05-5	
17.	"	"	"	263	1		0-06-8	
18.	"	"	"	263	3		0-03-0	
19.	"	"	"	263	2		0-02-0	
20.	"	"	"	265	3		0-06-3	
21.	"	"	"	264	5		0-11-6	
22.	"	"	"	264	6		0-04-3	
23.	"	"	"	246	3		0-00-5	
24.	"	"	"	261	1		0-09-5	
25.	"	"	"	261	7		0-00-2	

SCHEDULE—Contd.

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey.	Hissa	Gat. No.	Area	
							H.	Ac.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	Sai	Panvel	Raigad	246	1		0-02-0	
2.	"	"	"	246	4		0-14-0	
3.	"	"	"	246	5		0-07-5	
4.	"	"	"	246	7		0-06-7	
5.	"	"	"	244	5		0-03-0	
6.	"	"	"	244	6		0-03-0	
7.	"	"	"	257	1		0-07-5	
8.	"	"	"	257	6		0-06-0	
9.	"	"	"	256	6		0-07-5	
10.	"	"	"	256			0-09-5	
11.	"	"	"	248	4		0-01-0	
12.	"	"	"	253	3		0-05-5	
13.	"	"	"	253	5		0-05-0	
14.	"	"	"	260	3		0-06-2	

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
15	Not	Partial	Revised	260	8		0-08-2	
16.	"	"	"	260	9		0-03-9	
17.	"	"	"	263	1		0-06-5	
18.	"	"	"	263	3		0-01-7	
19.	"	"	"	263	2		0-07-5	
20.	"	"	"	265	3		0-08-0	
21.	"	"	"	264	5		0-13-4	
22.	"	"	"	264	6		0-02-5	
23.	"	"	"	246	3	}	Deleted	
24.	"	"	"	261	1			
25.	"	"	"	261	7			

[No.34027/1/87-P.C. II (Part)/Vol. II]

का. आ. 2435.--निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में एकांका 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का.आ. 1816-का.आ. तारीख 3 जून, 20 जुलाई, 88 भा.स. का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18 जून, 30 जुलाई, 88 पृष्ठ 2356 से 2362 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए है। इससे धनद निम्नलिखित अनुसूची 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

क्र. सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	दि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	चौडपाडा	पण	रायगड	27	2व पै	---	0-06.5	
2.	"	"	"	26	5 पै	---	0-06.2	
3.	"	"	"	26	10 पै	---	0-06.2	
4.	"	"	"	26	3 पै	---	0-06.8	
5.	"	"	"	29	4 पै	---	0-01.2	
6.	"	"	"	31	3 पै	---	0-00.5	
7.	"	"	"	29	2 पै	---	0-04.3	
8.	"	"	"	31	2 पै	---	0-01.7	
9.	"	"	"	31	1 पै	---	0-06.0	
10.	"	"	"	33	1क पै	---	0-13.1	
11.	"	"	"	33	1ब पै	---	0-14.9	
12.	"	"	"	33	2 पै	---	0-01.0	
13.	"	"	"	35	2 पै	---	0-04.8	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

क्र. सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. सं.	दि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	चौडपाडा	पण	रायगड	27	2व पै	---	0-06.5	
2.	"	"	"	26	5 पै	---	0-04.0	
3.	"	"	"	26	10 पै	---	0-07.7	
4.	"	"	"	26	3 पै	---	0-06.0	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
5	छोड़वाड़ा	पौग	रायगढ़	29	4 पै	---	0-02.5	
6	"	"	"	31	3 पै	---	0-02.5	
7	"	"	"	29	2 पै	---	0-02.0	
8	"	"	"	31	2 पै	---	0-05.0	
9	"	"	"	31	1 पै	---	0-09.0	
10	"	"	"	33	1क पै	---	0-03.0	
11	"	"	"	33	1य पै	---	0-12.5	
12	"	"	"	33	2 पै	---	0-02.0	
13	"	"	"	35	1 पै	---	0-02.0	

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गाँव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	हे०	घार०
14	छोड़वाड़ा	पौग	रायगढ़	35	2 पै	---	0--03.2	
15	"	"	"	42	3 अ पै	---	0--07.5	
16	"	"	"	36	1 पै	---	0--08.0	
17	"	"	"	42	5 पै	---	0--02.5	
18	"	"	"	42	4 पै	---	0--05.5	
19	"	"	"	128	5 पै	---	0--02.7	
20	"	"	"	128	3 पै	---	0--08-0	
21	"	"	"	26	6 पै	---	0--00.6	
22	"	"	"	33	1 अ पै	---	0--00.2	
23	"	"	"	42	2 पै	---	0--00.3	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गाँव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	हे०	घार०
14	छोड़वाड़ा	पौग	रायगढ़	35	2 पै	---	0--04.0	
15	"	"	"	42	3 अ पै	---	0--01.5	
16	"	"	"	36	1 पै	---	0--12.4	
17	"	"	"	42	5 पै	---	0--11.5	
18	"	"	"	42	4 पै	---	0--09.5	
19	"	"	"	128	5 पै	---	0--05.0	
20	"	"	"	128	2 पै	---	0--12.0	
21	"	"	"	26	6 पै	}	कम किया	
22	"	"	"	33	1 अ पै			
23	"	"	"	42	2 पै			

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. न.	गाँव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. न.	हि. न.	गट न.	क्षेत्र	
							इं.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
24	धोंडपाड़ा	पेण	रायगढ़	42	1 अ पै	—	0--01.2	
25	"	"	"	128	4 पै	—	0--03.0	
26	"	"	"	128	2 पै	—	0--04.3	
27	"	"	"	128	1 पै	—	9--00.9	
28	"	"	"	126	21 पै	—	0--01.0	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. न.	गाँव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. न.	हि. न.	गट न०	क्षेत्र	
							इं.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
24	धोंडपाड़ा	पेण	रायगढ़	42	1 अ पै.	—	कम किये	
25	"	"	"	128	4 पै	—		
26	"	"	"	128	2 पै	—		
27	"	"	"	128	1 पै	—		
28	"	"	"	128	2(1) पै	—		

[सं 34027/1/87-पा.सं. II(पोस्ट)/जिहद-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2435. Round words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of Indian Notification No. S.O. 1816 2290 dated 3-6-88 & 20-7-83 published in the Gazette of India Part II Section, 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 18-6-88 to 30-6-88 on pages 2356 to 2364 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cut No.	Area	
							H. Ars.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Dhondpada	Pen	Raigad	27	2B		0-06-5	
2.	"	"	"	26	5		0-06-2	
3.	"	"	"	26	10		0-06-2	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
4	Dhondpada	Pen	Raigad	26	3		0-06-8	
5	"	"	"	29	4		0-01-2	
6	"	"	"	31	3		0-00-5	
7	"	"	"	29	2		0-04-3	
8	"	"	"	31	2		0-01-7	
9	"	"	"	31	1		0-06-0	
10	"	"	"	33	1C		0-13-1	
11	"	"	"	33	1B		0-14-9	
12	"	"	"	33	2		0-01-0	
13	"	"	"	35	1		0-04-8	
14	"	"	"	35	2		0-03-2	
15	"	"	"	42	3A		0-07-5	
16	"	"	"	36	1		0-06-0	
17	"	"	"	42	5		0-02-5	
18	"	"	"	42	4		0-05-5	
19	"	"	"	128	5		0-02-7	
20	"	"	"	128	3		0-08-0	
21	"	"	"	26	6		0-00-5	
22	"	"	"	33	1A		0-00-2	
23	"	"	"	42	2		0-00-3	
24	"	"	"	42	1A		0-01-2	
25	"	"	"	128	4		0-03-0	
26	"	"	"	128	2		0-04-3	
27	"	"	"	128	1		0-00-9	
28	"	"	"	128	2(1)		0-01-0	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H	Are
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Dhondpada	Pen	Raigad	27	2B(1)		0-06-5	
2	"	"	"	26	5		0-04-0	
3	"	"	"	26	10		0-07-7	
4	"	"	"	26	3		0-06-0	
5	"	"	"	29	4		0-02-5	
6	"	"	"	31	3		0-02-5	
7	"	"	"	29	2		0-02-0	
8	"	"	"	31	2		0-05-0	
9	"	"	"	31	1		0-09-0	
10	"	"	"	33	1C		0-03-0	
11	"	"	"	33	1B		0-12-5	
12	"	"	"	33	2		0-02-0	
13	"	"	"	35	1		0-02-0	
14	"	"	"	35	2		0-04-0	
15	"	"	"	42	3A		0-01-5	
16	"	"	"	36	1		0-12-4	
17	"	"	"	42	5		0-11-5	
18	"	"	"	42	4		0-09-5	
19	"	"	"	128	5		0-05-0	
20	"	"	"	128	3		0-12-0	
21	"	"	"	26	6	Deleted		
22	"	"	"	33	1A			
23	"	"	"	42	2			
24	"	"	"	42	1A			
25	"	"	"	128	4			
26	"	"	"	128	2			
27	"	"	"	128	1			
28	"	"	"	126	2(1)			

शुद्धि पत्र

का. आ. 2436.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का. आ. 1816 तारीख 3-6-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग II, खंड 3, उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18-6-88 पृष्ठ 2356 से 2362 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में छपे हैं। इससे वजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या को पढ़ना ;

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	हि. नं.	स. नं.	हि. न.	गट न.	क्षेत्र	
								हे	आर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
1	कोप्रोली	पेण	रायगढ़	41	1 पै	—	0—10.6		
2	"	"	"	203	23 पै	—	0—04.0		
3	"	"	"	44	5 पै	—	0—04.5		
4	"	"	"	44	1 पै	—	0—00.2		
5	"	"	"	44	2 पै	—	0—00.5		
6	"	"	"	49	4 पै	—	0—02.5		
7	"	"	"	49	5 पै	—	0—00.7		
8	"	"	"	53	9 पै	—	0—04.8		
9	"	"	"	53	8 पै	—	0—05.5		
10	"	"	"	62	5 पै	—	0—13.0		
11	"	"	"	160	2 पै	—	0—06.1		
12	"	"	160	160	1/4 4 पै	—	0—13.1		
13	"	"	"	160	1/2 पै	—	0—12-1		
14	"	"	"	160	1/3 पै	—	0—01.7		
15	"	"	"	166	8 पै	—	0—04.8		

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गटा नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे	आर
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	कोप्रोली	पेण	रायगढ़	41	1 प	—	0—06.1	
2	"	"	"	203	23 पै	—	0—01.0	
3	"	"	"	44	5 पै	—	0—17.3	
4	"	"	"	44	1 पै	—	0—02.5	
5	"	"	"	44	2 पै	—	0—01.0	
6	"	"	"	49	4 प	—	0—01.8	
7	"	"	"	49	5 पै	—	0—01.7	
8	"	"	"	53	9 पै	—	0—04.0	
9	"	"	"	53	8 पै	—	0—04.5	
10	"	"	"	62	5 पै	—	0—13.3	
11	"	"	"	160	2 पै	—	0—03.0	
12	"	"	"	160	1/4 पै	—	0—14-2	
13	"	"	"	160	1/2 पै	—	0—09.8	
14	"	"	"	160	1/3 पै	—	0—04.0	
15	"	"	"	166	8 पै	—	0—05.1	

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
16	कोप्रोली	पेण	रायगढ़	166	9 पै	—	0—00.2	
17	"	"	"	166	6 पै	—	8—05.5	
18	"	"	"	166	1 पै	—	0—08.5	
19	"	"	"	166	2 पै	—	0—05.5	
20	"	"	"	157	2 पै	—	0—02.7	
21	"	"	"	157	7 पै	—	0—03.5	
22	"	"	"	156	3 पै	—	0—04.5	
23	"	"	"	153	7 पै	—	0—08.0	
24	"	"	"	132	3 प	—	0—08.0	
25	"	"	"	153	6 पै	—	0—04.0	
26	"	"	"	133	3 पै	—	0—17.4	
27	"	"	"	115	2 ब पै	—	0—11.9	
28	"	"	"	115	1 पै	—	0—16.6	
29	"	"	"	114	1 पै	—	0—12.1	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स नं	हि नं	गट सं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे	आर
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
16	कोप्रोली	पेण	रायगढ़	166	9 पै	—	0—00.7	
17	"	"	"	166	6 पै	—	0—06.5	
18	"	"	"	166	1 पै	—	0—11.6	
19	"	"	"	166	2 पै	—	0—02.5	
20	"	"	"	157	2 पै	—	0—00.7	
21	"	"	"	157	7 पै	—	0—04.5	
22	"	"	"	156	3 पै	—	0—06.0	
23	"	"	"	153	7 पै	—	0—11.0	
24	"	"	"	132	3 पै	—	0—01—5	
25	"	"	"	153	6 पै	—	0—08—0	
26	"	"	"	133	3 पं	—	0—17.0	
27	"	"	"	115	2 ब पै	—	0—15.1	
28	"	"	"	115	1 पै	—	0—10.6	
29	"	"	"	114	1 पै	—	0—14.1	

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र
							हे. आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 9
30	कोप्रोसी	पण	रायगढ़	104	6 पै	—	0—03.7
31	"	"	"	104	7 पै	—	0—06.5
32	"	"	"	196 म	0 पै	—	0—09.6
32	"	"	"	201	0 पै	—	0—01.5
34	"	"	"	44	5 पै	—	0—14.6
35	"	"	"	49	4 पै	—	0—02.5
36	"	"	"	53	9 पै	—	0—00.2
37	"	"	"	156	156	—	0—14.3
38	"	"	"	44	6 पै	—	0—00.2
39	"	"	"	160	1/1 पै	—	0—00.5
40	"	"	"	171	2/1 पै	—	0—00.5
41	"	"	116	116	1/2 पै	—	0—00.2

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सं. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र
							हे. आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17 18
30	कोप्रोली	पण	रायगढ़	104	8 पै	—	0—01.2
31	"	"	"	104	7 पै	—	0—09.0
32	"	"	"	196 म	0 पै	—	0—05.1
33	"	"	"	201	6 पै	—	0—02.5
34	"	"	"	44	5 पै	—	0—01.8
35	"	"	"	49	4 पै	—	0—03.2
36	"	"	"	53	9 पै	—	0—01.0
37	"	"	"	156	5 पै	—	0—13.3
38	"	"	"	44	6 पै	—	कम किया
39	"	"	"	160	1/1 पै	—	
40	"	"	"	171	2/1 पै	—	
41	"	"	"	116	1/2 पै	—	

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2436:—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1816 Dated 3-6-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated 18-6-88 on pages 2355 to 2362 as “words & figures” shown in columns 10 to 13 to the schedule given below:

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H.	Ar.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Koprol	Pen	Raigad	41	1		0-10-6	
2	“	“	“	203	23		0-04-0	
3	“	“	“	44	5		0-04-5	
4	“	“	“	44	1		0-00-2	
5	“	“	“	44	2		0-00-5	
6	“	“	“	49	4		0-02-5	
7	“	“	“	49	5		0-00-7	
8	“	“	“	53	9		0-04-8	
9	“	“	“	53	8		0-05-5	
10	“	“	“	62	5		0-13-0	
11	“	“	“	160	2		0-06-1	
12	“	“	“	160	1/4		0-13-1	
13	“	“	“	160	1/2		0-12-1	
14	“	“	“	160	1/3		0-01-7	
15	“	“	“	166	8		0-04-8	
16	“	“	“	166	9		0-00-2	
17	“	“	“	166	6		0-05-5	
18	“	“	“	166	1		0-08-5	
19	“	“	“	166	2		0-05-5	
20	“	“	“	157	2		0-02-7	
21	“	“	“	157	7		0-05-5	
22	“	“	“	156	3		0-04-5	
23	“	“	“	153	7		0-08-0	
24	“	“	“	132	3		0-08-0	
25	“	“	“	153	6		0-04-0	
26	“	“	“	133	3		0-17-4	
27	“	“	“	115	2B		0-11-9	
28	“	“	“	115	1		0-16-6	
29	“	“	“	114	1		0-12-1	
30	“	“	“	104	6		0-03-7	
31	“	“	“	104	7		0-06-5	
32	“	“	“	196M	0		0-09-6	
33	“	“	“	201	0		0-01-5	
34	“	“	“	44	5		0-14-6	
35	“	“	“	49	4		0-02-5	
36	“	“	“	53	9		0-00-2	
37	“	“	“	156	5		0-14-3	
38	“	“	“	44	6		0-00-2	
39	“	“	“	160	1/1		0-00-5	
40	“	“	“	171	2/1		0-00-5	
41	“	“	“	116	1E		0-00-2	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Are
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Koprol	Pen	Raigad	41	1			0-06-1
2	"	"	"	203	23			0-01-0
3	"	"	"	44	5			0-17-3
4	"	"	"	44	1			0-02-5
5	"	"	"	44	2			0-01-0
6	"	"	"	49	4			0-01-8
7	"	"	"	49	5			0-01-7
8	"	"	"	53	9			0-04-0
7	"	"	"	53	8			0-04-5
10	"	"	"	62	5			0-13-3
11	"	"	"	160	2			0-05-0
12	"	"	"	160	1/4			0-14-2
13	"	"	"	160	1/2			0-09-8
14	"	"	"	160	1/3			0-04-0
15	"	"	"	166	8			0-05-1
16	"	"	"	166	9			0-00-7
17	"	"	"	166	6			0-06-5
18	"	"	"	166	1			0-11-6
19	"	"	"	166	2			0-02-5
20	"	"	"	157	2			0-00-7
21	"	"	"	157	7			0-04-5
22	"	"	"	156	3			0-06-0
23	"	"	"	153	7			0-11-0
24	"	"	"	132	3			0-01-5
"	"	"	"	153	6			0-08-0
26	"	"	"	133	3			0-17-0
27	"	"	"	115	2B			0-15-1
28	"	"	"	115	1			0-10-6
27	"	"	"	114	1			0-14-1
30	"	"	"	104	6			0-01-2
31	"	"	"	104	7			0-09-0
32	"	"	"	196M	0			0-05-1
33	"	"	"	201	6			0-02-5
34	"	"	"	44	5			0-01-8
35	"	"	"	49	4			0-03-2
36	"	"	"	53	9			0-01-0
37	"	"	"	156	5			0-13-3
38	"	"	"	44	6	}	Deleted	
39	"	"	"	160	1/1			
40	"	"	"	171	2/1			
41	"	"	"	116	1E			

शुद्धि-पत्र

का.प्र. 2437:--निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों की संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का.प्र. 181 0 तारीख 3-6-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18-6-88 पृष्ठ 2356 से 2362 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इसमें बगल निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों की संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घार.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	उबहे	वेण	रायगढ़	228	2 पै	--		0-10.8
2.	"	"	"	228	6 पै	--		0-08.0
3.	"	"	"	228	5 पै	--		0-10.8
4.	"	"	"	228	4 पै	--		0-00.2
5.	"	"	"	228	3 पै	--		0-05.5
6.	"	"	"	226	4 पै	--		0-00.7
7.	"	"	"	224	8 पै	--		0-08.5
8.	"	"	"	224	6 पै	--		0-04.0
9.	"	"	"	224	7 पै	--		0-06.8
10.	"	"	"	236	2 पै	--		0-09.3
11.	"	"	"	236	1 ख पै	--		0-12.1

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घार.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	उबहे	वेण	रायगढ़	228	2 पै	--		0-12.5
2.	"	"	"	228	6 पै	--		0-08.0
3.	"	"	"	228	5 पै	--		0-08.0
4.	"	"	"	228	4 पै	--		0-00.6
5.	"	"	"	226	3 पै	--		0-07.5
6.	"	"	"	226	4 पै	--		0-02.0
7.	"	"	"	224	8 ख पै	--		0-08.5
8.	"	"	"	224	6 पै	--		0-05.7
9.	"	"	"	224	7 पै	--		0-06.0
10.	"	"	"	236	2 पै	--		0-07.0
11.	"	"	"	236	1 ख पै	--		0-13.0

CORRIGENDUM

S.O.2437:—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1816 dated 3-6-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 18-6-88 on pages 2356 to 2362 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Umbarde	Pen	Raigad	228	2		0-10-8	
2	"	"	"	228	6		0-08-0	
3	"	"	"	228	5		0-10-8	
4	"	"	"	228	4		0-00-2	
5	"	"	"	226	3		0-05-5	
6	"	"	"	226	4		0-03-7	
7	"	"	"	224	8		0-08-5	
8	"	"	"	224	6		0-04-0	
9	"	"	"	224	7		0-06-8	
10	"	"	"	236	2		0-09-3	
11	"	"	"	236	1A		0-12-1	

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H.	Are
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Umbarde	Pen	Raigad	228	2		0-12-5	
2	"	"	"	228	6		0-06-0	
3	"	"	"	228	5		0-08-0	
4	"	"	"	228	4		0-00-5	
5	"	"	"	226	3		0-07-5	
6	"	"	"	226	4		0-02-8	
7	"	"	"	224	8A		0-08-5	
8	"	"	"	224	6		0-05-7	
9	"	"	"	224	7		0-06-0	
10	"	"	"	236	2		0-07-0	
11	"	"	"	236	1A		0-13-0	

[No. 34027/1/87—P. C. II (Part) Vol. II]

शुद्धि पत्र

का.आ. 2438 :—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्द और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना 'न. का.आ. 691 तारीख 12-2-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 19-3-88 पृष्ठ 784 से 794 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए है । इससे बजाह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना ।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र
1	2	3	4	4	6	7	8 9
1.	बडखल	पेण	रायगड	16	6 पे	--	0-- 10.1
2.	"	"	"	16	7 पे	--	0-- 03.5
3.	"	"	"	16	4 पे	--	0-- 04.3
4.	"	"	"	12	3 पे	--	0-- 10.1

1	2	3	9	5	6	7	8	9
5.	"	"	"	10	0 पै	--		0--06.0
6.	"	"	"	9	2 पै	--		0--05.8
7.	"	"	"	9	1 पै	--		0--08.6
8.	"	"	"	8	1 घ पै	--		0--14.6
9.	"	"	"	7	2 पै	--		0--12.1
10.	"	"	"	7	1 पै	--		0--10.8
11.	"	"	"	18	3 पै	--		0--05.8
12.	"	"	"	19	2 पै	--		0--01.2
13.	"	"	"	18	1 पै	--		0--04.5

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

घ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घार.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	बडखल	पेण	रायगढ़	16	6 पै	--		0--18.0
2.	"	"	"	16	7 पै	--		0--12.0
3.	"	"	"	16	4 पै	--		0--02.9
4.	"	"	"	12	3 पै	--		0--09.7
5.	"	"	"	10	0 पै	--		0--05.5
6.	"	"	"	9	2 पै	--		0--06.0
7.	"	"	"	9	1 पै	--		0--10.0
8.	"	"	"	8	1 घ पै	--		0--13.6
9.	"	"	"	7	2 पै	--		0--09.0
10.	"	"	"	7	1 पै	--		0--12.5
11.	"	"	"	18	3 पै	--		0--06.0
12.	"	"	"	18	2 पै	--		0--01.5
13.	"	"	"	18	1 पै	--		0--05.0

घ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घार.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
14.	बडखल	पेण	रायगढ़	19	2 पै	--		0--02.0
15.	"	"	"	20	4 पै	--		0--04.3
16.	"	"	"	21	5 पै	--		0--10.8
17.	"	"	"	20	3 पै	--		0--02.0

1	2	3	4	4	6	7	8	9
18.	"	"	"	21	4 पै	--	0--08.0	
19.	"	"	"	21	8 पै	--	0--06.8	
20.	"	"	"	24	1 पै	--	0--12.3	
21.	"	"	"	35	1 पै	--	0--01.2	
22.	"	"	"	25	3 पै	--	0--20.7	
23.	"	"	"	26	1 पै	--	0--08.6	
24.	"	"	"	27	3 पै	--	0--00.2	
25.	"	"	"	58	3 पै	--	0--14.4	
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
14.	बडखल	पेण	रायगड	19	2 पै	--	0--01.0	
15.	"	"	"	20	4 पै	--	0--02.5	
16.	"	"	"	21	5 पै	--	0--09.0	
17.	"	"	"	20	3 पै	--	0--02.5	
18.	"	"	"	21	4 पै	--	0--07.0	
19.	"	"	"	21	8 पै	--	0--06.0	
20.	"	"	"	24	1 पै	--	0--08.0	
21.	"	"	"	25	1 पै	--	0--02.0	
22.	"	"	"	25	3 पै	--	0--20.5	
23.	"	"	"	26	1 पै	--	0--09.0	
24.	"	"	"	27	3 पै	--	कम किया	
25.	"	"	"	58	3 पै	--	0--13.2	

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

सं. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घाट
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
26.	बडखल	पेण	रायगड	27	8 पै	--	--	02.0
27.	"	"	"	57	1 पै	--	--	05.0
28.	"	"	"	57	2 पै	--	--	05.6
29.	"	"	"	59	4 पै	--	--	04.3
30.	"	"	"	59	5 पै	--	--	02.5
31.	"	"	"	59	3 पै	--	--	01.2
32.	"	"	"	55	1 घ पै	--	--	07.8
33.	"	"	"	56	3	--	--	39.2
34.	"	"	"	41	1 घ पै	--	--	08.0
35.	"	"	"	41	1 घ पै	--	--	09.4

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

सं. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घाट
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
26.	बडखल	पेण	रायगड	27	8 पै	--	--	06.5
27.	"	"	"	57	1 पै	--	--	0.805
28.	"	"	"	57	2 पै	--	--	17.0
29.	"	"	"	59	4 पै	--	--	04.0
30.	"	"	"	59	5 पै	--	--	00.5

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
31.	वडखल	पेण	रायगड	59	3 पै	--		कम किया
32.	"	"	"	55	1 अ पै	--		--04.0
33.	"	"	"	56	3 पै	--		0--38.5
34.	"	"	"	41	1 अ पै	--		--15.0
35.	"	"	"	41	1 अ पै	--		--02.4

[सं. 34027/1/87-गो. सी. (पाई)/जिल्ह-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2433.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 691 Dated 12-2-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 on pages 784 to 794 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Wadkhal	Pen	Raigad	16	5		0-10-1	
2	"	"	"	16	7		0-03-5	
3	"	"	"	16	4		0-04-3	
4	"	"	"	12	3		0-10-1	
5	"	"	"	10	0		0-06-0	
6	"	"	"	9	2		0-05-8	
7	"	"	"	9	1		0-8-6	
8	"	"	"	8	1A		0-14-6	
9	"	"	"	7	2		0-12-1	
10	"	"	"	7	1		0-10-8	
11	"	"	"	18	3		0-05-8	
12	"	"	"	18	2		0-01-2	
13	"	"	"	18	1		0-04-0	
14	"	"	"	19	2		0-02-0	
15	"	"	"	20	4		0-04-3	
16	"	"	"	21	5		0-10-7	
17	"	"	"	20	3		0-02-0	
18	"	"	"	21	4		0-06-0	
19	"	"	"	21	8		0-05-8	
20	"	"	"	24	1		0-12-3	
21	"	"	"	25	1		0-01-2	
22	"	"	"	25	3		0-20-7	
23	"	"	"	26	1		0-08-6	
24	"	"	"	27	3		0-00-2	
25	"	"	"	58	3		0-14-4	
26	"	"	"	27	8		0-02-0	
27	"	"	"	57	1		0-05-0	
28	"	"	"	57	2		0-15-6	
29	"	"	"	59	4		0-04-3	
30	"	"	"	59	5		0-02-5	
31	"	"	"	59	3		0-01-2	
32	"	"	"	55	1A		0-07-8	
33	"	"	"	56	3		0-39-2	
34	"	"	"	41	1A		0-08-0	
35	"	"	"	41	1B		0-09-4	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H	Are
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	Wadkhal	Pen	Raigad	16	6			0-18-0
2	"	"	"	16	7			0-12-0
3	"	"	"	16	4			0-02-0
4	"	"	"	12	3			0-09-7
5	"	"	"	10	0			0-05-5
6	"	"	"	9	2			0-06-0
7	"	"	"	9	1			0-10-0
8	"	"	"	8	1A			0-13-5
9	"	"	"	7	2			0-09-0
10	"	"	"	7	1			0-12-5
11	"	"	"	18	3			0-06-0
12	"	"	"	18	2			0-01-5
13	"	"	"	18	1			0-05-0
14	"	"	"	19	2			0-01-0
15	"	"	"	20	4			0-02-5
16	"	"	"	21	5			0-09-0
17	"	"	"	20	3			0-02-5
18	"	"	"	21	4			0-07-0
19	"	"	"	21	8			0-06-0
20	"	"	"	24	1			0-08-0
21	"	"	"	25	1			0-02-0
22	"	"	"	25	3			0-20-5
23	"	"	"	26	1			0-09-0
24	"	"	"	27	3			Deleted
25	"	"	"	58	3			0-13-2
26	"	"	"	27	8			0-0-5
27	"	"	"	57	1			0-06-5
28	"	"	"	57	2B			0-17-0
29	"	"	"	59	4			0-04-0
30	"	"	"	59	5			0-0-5
31	"	"	"	59	3			Deleted
32	"	"	"	55	1A			0-04-0
33	"	"	"	56	3			0-38-5
34	"	"	"	41	1A			0-15-0
35	"	"	"	41	1B			0-02-4

[No. 34027/1/87-P.C-II (Part)/Vol. II]

शुद्धि-पत्र

का.मा. 2439:—निम्नलिखित ग्रन्थसूची में खाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का.मा. 691 तारीख 12 फरवरी, 1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 19-3-88 पृष्ठ 784 से 794 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की ग्रन्थसूची में हुए हैं। इससे बजह निम्नलिखित ग्रन्थसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

क्र. नं.	गाँव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घा.र
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	कावल	पेण	रायगड	—	—	105 (1)		08.7
2.	"	"	"	—	—	105 (2)		03.6
3.	"	"	"	—	—	148		04.5
4.	"	"	"	—	—	151		05.0
5.	"	"	"	—	—	153		06.5
6.	"	"	"	—	—	137		17.2

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ.नं.	ग्राम का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.न.	हि.न.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र
							हे. मार.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	18
1.	कांदले	पेण	रायगड	—	—	105 (1)	07.7
2.	"	"	"	—	—	105 (2)	04.6
3.	"	"	"	—	—	146	09.5
4.	"	"	"	—	—	151	00.7
5.	"	"	"	—	—	153	05.8
6	"	"	"	—	—	137	21.2

[सं. 34027/1/87-पी. सी. (पार्टे)/विश्व-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2439.—Road words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of Indian Notification No. S.O. 691 Dated 12-2-88, Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 on pages 784 to 794 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below:

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area H. Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	9
1	Kandale	Pen	Raigad			105(1)	0-08-7
2	"	"	"			105(2)	0-03-6
3	"	"	"			146	0-04-5
4	"	"	"			151	0-05-0
5	"	"	"			153	0-06-5
6	"	"	"			137	0-17-2

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area H. Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17 18
1	Kandale	Pen	Raigad			105 (1)	0-07-7
2	"	"	"			105 (2)	0-04-6
3	"	"	"			146	0-09-5
4	"	"	"			153	0-00-7
5	"	"	"			153	0-05-8
6	"	"	"			137	0-21-2

[No. 34027/1/87-P.C-II (Part)/Vol.-II]

शुद्धि-पत्र

का.प्र. 2440:—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का. प्र. 691 तारीख 12 फरवरी, 88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 19-3-88 पृष्ठ 784 से 794 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए है। इससे वजह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

क्र. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	उचेडे	पेण	रायगड	18	2	—		11.1
2.	18	3 वे	—		7.3
3.	20	2 (8) वे	—		12.6
4.	20	2 (7) वे	—		05.4
5.	20	2 (1) वे	—		05.1
6.	20	2 (2) वे	—		08.0
7.	20	1 वे	—		07.5

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

क्र. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	उचेडे	पेण	रायगड	18	2 वे	—		09.4
2.	20	2 (8) वे	—		09.5
3.	20	2 (7) वे	—		08.0
4.	20	2 (1) वे	—		83.0
5.	20	2 (2) वे	—		89.8
6.	20	1 (वे)	—		81.0

[सं. 34027/1/87-ग.सो. (पार्स)/शिल्प-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2440—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 691 Dated 12-2-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 on pages 784 to 794 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Uchede	Pen	Raigad	18	2			0-12-1
2	18	3			0-07-3
3	20	2(8)			0-12-6
4	20	2(7)			0-05-4
5	20	2(1)			0-05-1
6	20	2(2)			0-08-0
7	20	1			0-07-5

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Uchede	Pen	Raigad	18	2		0-19-4	
2	"	"	"	20	2(8)		0-09-5	
3	"	"	"	20	2(7)		0-0 80	
4	"	"	"	20	2(1)		0-03 0	
5	"	"	"	20	2(2)		0-09-6	
6	"	"	"	20	1		0-01-0	

[No. 34027/1/87-P-C. II(Part)/Vol. II]

गञ्जिना।

का.आ. 2441:—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए गश्तों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का.आ. 691 तारीख 12 फरवरी, 1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 18-3-88 पृष्ठ 784 से 794 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए है। इससे वज्र निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए गश्तों और संख्या पढ़ता।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अं. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	मलेघर	पेण	रायगड	—	—	43	04.1	
2.	"	"	"	—	—	34	10.1	
3.	"	"	"	"	—	55	03.5	
4.	"	"	"	—	—	53	07.3	
5.	"	"	"	—	—	58	04.8	
6.	"	"	"	—	—	52	10.8	
7.	"	"	"	—	—	86	03.5	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अं. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	मलेघर	पेण	रायगड	—	—	43	09.1	
2.	"	"	"	—	—	34	06.1	
3.	"	"	"	—	—	55	03.0	
4.	"	"	"	—	—	53	10.3	
5.	"	"	"	—	—	58	01.8	
6.	"	"	"	—	—	52	12.0	
7.	"	"	"	—	—	86	00.5	

[सं. 34027/1/पा.की.(पाई)जिल्द-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S. C. 2441.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 691 Dated 12-2-88 published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 on pages 784 to 794 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below:

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Arc
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1	Maleghar	Pen	Raigad			43	0-04-1	
2	"	"	"			34	0-10-1	
3	"	"	"			55	0-03-5	
4	"	"	"			53	0-07-3	
5	"	"	"			58	0-04-8	
6	"	"	"			52	0-10-8	
7	"	"	"			86	0-03-5	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Arc
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Maleghar	Pen	Raigad			43	0-08-1	
2	"	"	"			34	0-06-1	
3	"	"	"			55	0-03-0	
4	"	"	"			53	0-10-3	
5	"	"	"			58	0-01-8	
6	"	"	"			52	0-12-0	
7	"	"	"			86	0-00-5	

[No. 34027/1/87 P.C- II (Part) Vol. II]

शुद्धि-पत्र

का.अ. 2442:—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों से और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का.अ. 691 तारीख 12 फरवरी, 1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) में, तारीख 19 मार्च, 88 पृष्ठ 784 से 794 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इससे बजाह निम्नलिखित अनुसूची 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	बरेडी	पेण	रायगड	41	1 पै	—	02	5
2.	"	"	"	39	1 अ पै	—	08	4
3.	"	"	"	39	1 ब पै	—	05	3
4.	"	"	"	67	3 पै	—	04	3
5.	"	"	"	66	2 ब पै	—	01	5

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
6.	वरेली	पेण	रायगड	4	1+3 क पै	--	18.1	
7.	"	"	"	4	1+3 अ पै	--	10.8	
8.	"	"	"	4	2 पै	--	03.7	
9.	"	"	"	5	1 अ पै	--	06.5	
10.	"	"	"	5	2 पै	--	00.2	
11.	"	"	"	5	3 पै	--	03.7	
12.	"	"	"	6	1 पै	--	06.7	
13.	"	"	"	41	4 पै	--	07.0	
14.	"	"	"	2	6 पै	--	02.7	

पहस रिह होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	ग.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							दे.	घा.र.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18

1.	वरेली	पेण	रायगड	41	1 पै	--	08.2	
2.	"	"	"	39	1 अ पै	--	05.1	
3.	"	"	"	39	1 अ पै	--	05.2	
4.	"	"	"	67	1 पै	--	02.1	
5.	"	"	"	66	2 अ पै	--	04.2	
6.	"	"	"	4	1+3 क पै	--	18.0	
7.	"	"	"	4	1+3 अ पै	--	08.5	
8.	"	"	"	4	2 पै	--	07.0	
9.	"	"	"	5	1 अ पै	--	02.2	
10.	"	"	"	5	2 पै	--	01.0	
13.	"	"	"	41	4 पै	--	कम किया	
14.	"	"	"	2	6 अ पै	--	कम किया	

CORRIGENDUM

S.O- 2442 Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No.S.O. 691 Dated 12-2-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 on pages 784 to 794 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Are.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Waredi	Pen	Raigad	41	1		0-02-5	
2	"	"	"	39	1A		0-04-8	
3	"	"	"	39	1B		0-05-3	
4	"	"	"	67	3		0-04-3	
5	"	"	"	66	2B		0-01-5	
6	"	"	"	4	1+3C		0-18-1	
7	"	"	"	4	1+3A		0-10-8	
8	"	"	"	4	2		0-03-7	
9	"	"	"	5	1A		0-06-5	
10	"	"	"	5	2		0-00-2	
11	"	"	"	5	3		0-03-7	
12	"	"	"	6	1		0-06-7	
13	"	"	"	41	4		0-07-0	
14	"	"	"	2	6D		0-02-7	

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Are.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Waredi	Pen	Raigad	41	1		0-08-2	
2	"	"	"	39	1A		0-05-1	
3	"	"	"	39	1B		0-05-2	
4	"	"	"	67	3		0-02-1	
5	"	"	"	66	2B		0-04-2	
6	"	"	"	4	1+3C		0-18-0	
7	"	"	"	4	1+3A		0-08-5	
8	"	"	"	4	2		0-07-0	
9	"	"	"	5	1A		0-02-2	
10	"	"	"	5	2		0-01-0	
11	"	"	"	5	3		0-05-5	
12	"	"	"	6	1		0-3-0	
13	"	"	"	41	4		Deleted	
14	"	"	"	2	6D			

[No. 34027/1/87-P.C. II (Part)/Vol. II]
D. N. TARE, Competent Authority
IPCL/MGCC (P.L.P) MAGOT IIANE

शुद्धि-पत्र

का. धा. 2443:--निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना का. धा. 691 तारीख 12 फरवरी, 1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 19-3-88 पृष्ठ 784 से 794 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इससे अज्ञात निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

क्र.नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	है.	मार.
1.	डोलवी बरबाडा	पेण	रायगढ़	37	8 अ पै	--		04.3
2.	"	"	"	37	8 अ पै	--		07.0
3.	"	"	"	37	4 अ पै	--		09.6
4.	"	"	"	37	3 पै	--		01.5

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

स. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							है.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	डोलवी दादाबा	पेण	रायगढ़	37	8B पै	--		05.3
2.	"	"	"	37	8A पै	--		06.0
3.	"	"	"	37	4A पै	--		09.5
4.	"	"	"	37	3 पै	--		01.3

[सं० 34027/1/87-पी सी पार्ट/जिल्ह II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2443.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No.S.O. 891 Dated 12-2-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 on pages 784 to 793 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Dolvi Dadaba	Pen	Raigad	37	8B		0-04-3	
2	"	"	"	37	8A		0-07-0	
3	"	"	"	37	4A		0-09-6	
4	"	"	"	37	3		0-01-5	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
1	Dolvi Dabad	Pen	Raigad	37	8B		0-05-3	
2	"	"	"	"	8A		0-06-0	
3	"	"	"	"	4A		0-09-5	
4	"	"	"	"	3		0-01-3	

[No. 34027/1/87/-P.C. II/Part Vol. II]

शुद्धि-पत्र

का. अ. 2444.-निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में विखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना का. अ. 691 तारीख 12-2-1988 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 19-9-1983 एन्ड 784 से 794 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना का. अनुसूची में हुए है। इससे वज्र निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में विखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ. नं.	शब्द का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	खारदुर्तफा बोर्ली	पेण	रायगड़	206	6 पै	--		0--08.3
2.	"	"	"	206	1 पै	--		0--08.3
3.	"	"	"	206	2 पै	--		0--08.8
4.	"	"	"	203	1-अ पै	--		0--03.2
5.	"	"	"	203	2 पै	--		0--20.0
6.	"	"	"	201	1+2 बर्ष	--		0--10.2
7.	"	"	"	201	1+2 बर्ष	--		0--05.5
8.	"	"	"	196	1 पै	--		0--12.6
9.	"	"	"	197	4 अ 2 पै	--		0--05.7

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	शब्द का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
10	11	13	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	खारदुर्तफा बोर्ली	पेण	रायगड़	206	6 पै	--		0--09.0
2.	"	"	"	206	1 पै	--		0--09.8
3.	"	"	"	206	2 पै	--		0--07.3
4.	"	"	"	203	1अ पै	--		0--08.0
5.	"	"	"	203	2 पै	--		0--17.0
6.	"	"	"	201	1+2अ पै	--		0--09.2
7.	"	"	"	201	1+2 पै	--		0--13.7
8.	"	"	"	196	1 पै	--		0--14.0
9.	"	"	"	197	4 अ (3) पै	--		0--11.5

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन ।

गाँव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	वि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र हे.	घ्रा.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10. आरकुर्तका बोर्ली	पेण	रायगढ़	191	1/2 अ	—	0	09.1	
11. " "	" "	" "	189	3 पै	—	0	01.0	
12. " "	" "	" "	184	4 पै	—	0	08.6	
13. " "	" "	" "	184	5 पै	—	0	03.3	
14. " "	" "	" "	202	5 पै	—	0	03.2	
15. " "	" "	" "	198	2 पै	—	0	01.5	
16. " "	" "	" "	197	5 पै	—	0	00.5	
17. " "	" "	" "	197	5(अ)/पै	—	0	03.0	

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गाँव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	दि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घा.म.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
10.	आरदुर्गकाबोली	पेण	रायगढ़	191	1अ 2	--	0	5.6
11.	"	"	"	189	3 पै	--	0	01.5
12.	"	"	"	181	4 पै	--	0	05.0
13.	"	"	"	181	5 पै	--	0	07.0
14.	"	"	"	202	5 पै	--	}	कम किया
15.	"	"	"	198	2 पै	--		
16.	"	"	"	197	5 पै	--		
17.	"	"	"	197	4(अ) पै	--)	

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2444.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 of the schedule given below appearing in the schedule actual to the Government of India Notification No.S.O. 691 Dated 12-2-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 pages 764 to 794 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Khar-Dutarfa Borli	Pen	Raigad	206	6		0-08-3	
2	"	"	"	206	1		0-08-3	
3	"	"	"	206	2		0-08-8	
4	"	"	"	203	1A		0-03-2	
5	"	"	"	203	2		0-20-0	
6	"	"	"	201	1+2B		0-16-2	
7	"	"	"	201	1+2B		0-05-0	
8	"	"	"	196	1		0-12-6	
9	"	"	"	197	4A(2)		0 05-7	
10	"	"	"	191	1/2A(2)		0-09-1	
11	"	"	"	189	3		0-01-0	
12	"	"	"	184	4		0-08-6	
13	"	"	"	184	5		0-03-5	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Khar-Dutarfa Borli	Pen	Raigad	206	6		0-09-0	
2	"	"	"	206	1		0-09-8	
3	"	"	"	206	2		0-07-3	
4	"	"	"	203	1A		0-06-0	
5	"	"	"	203	2		0-17-0	
6	"	"	"	201	1+2B		0-09-0	
7	"	"	"	201	1+2B		0-12-7	
8	"	"	"	196	1		0-14-0	
9	"	"	"	197	4A(2)		0-11-5	
10	"	"	"	191	1/2A(2)		0-05-6	
11	"	"	"	189	3		0-01-5	
12	"	"	"	184	4		0.05-0	
13	"	"	"	184	5		0 07-0	

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
14	Khar-Dutarfa Borli	Pen	Raigad	202	5		0-03-2	
15	"	"	"	198	2		0-01-5	
16	"	"	"	197	5		0-00-5	
17	"	"	"	197	4(A)1		0-05-0	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Area
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
14	Khar-Dutarfa Borli	Pen	Rajgad	202	5			
15	"	"	"	198	2		Deleted	
16	"	"	"	197	5			
17	"	"	"	147	4(A)1			

[No. 34027/1/87-P.C.-II (Part)/Vol. II]

शुद्धी-पत्र

का.प्र. 2445.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का. प्र. 691 तारीख 12-2-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 19-3-1988 पृष्ठ 784 से 794 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इससे बजह से निम्नलिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ.सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	भार.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	9	9
1.	कोपर	पेण	रायगढ़	49	6 अ वे	—	0	05.0
2.	"	"	"	49	प वे	—	0	08.3
3.	"	"	"	49	8 वे	—	0	01.2
4.	"	"	"	55	2 वे	—	0	16.3
5.	"	"	"	54	2अ वे	—	0	04.1
6.	"	"	"	54	2अ वे	—	0	06.0
7.	"	"	"	54	5+6 वे	—	0	01.5
8.	"	"	"	58	1 वे	—	0	15.6
9.	"	"	"	59	1 वे	—	0	02.7
10.	"	"	"	59	2अ वे	—	0	10.0
11.	"	"	"	46	1/1 वे	—	0	18.6
12.	"	"	"	60	5 वे	—	0	06.3

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ.सं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	भार.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	कोपर	पेण	रायगढ़	49	6 अ वे	—	0	03.0
2.	"	"	"	49	7 वे	—	0	07.6
3.	"	"	"	49	8 वे	—	0	08.2
4.	"	"	"	55	2 वे	—	0	03.5
5.	"	"	"	54	2अ वे	—	0	06.0
6.	"	"	"	54	2अ वे	—	0	07.5
7.	"	"	"	54	5+6 वे	—	0	00.5
8.	"	"	"	58	1 वे	—	0	18.0
9.	"	"	"	59	1 वे	—	0	11.7
10.	"	"	"	59	2अ वे	—	0	02.0
11.	"	"	"	46	1/1 वे	—	0	28.0
12.	"	"	"	60	5 वे	—	0	02.5

प्र. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	सि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	हे.	घार
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9
13.	कोपर	पेण	रायगढ़	45	2	पै	—	0	03.0
14.	"	"	"	45	3	पै	—	0	05.3
15.	"	"	"	45	4	पै	—	0	03.7
16.	"	"	"	45	5	पै	—	0	04.0
17.	"	"	"	44	1	पै	—	0	07.1
18.	"	"	"	44	2	पै	—	0	03.0
19.	"	"	"	41	1प्र	पै	—	0	06.0
20.	"	"	"	41	2	पै	—	0	01.2
21.	"	"	"	40	1	पै	—	0	02.5
22.	"	"	"	40	2	पै	—	0	11.3
23.	"	"	"	40	3	पै	—	0	01.2
24.	"	"	"	40	6	पै	—	0	17.2
25.	"	"	"	33	2	पै	—	0	08.3

10	11	12	13	14	15	16		17	18
13.	कोपर	पेण	रायगढ़	45	2	पै	—	0	06.0
14.	"	"	"	45	3	पै	—	0	02.7
15.	"	"	"	45	4	पै	—	0	05.7
16.	"	"	"	45	5	पै	—	0	07.2
17.	"	"	"	44	1	पै	—	0	05.7
18.	"	"	"	44	2	पै	—	0	01.0
19.	"	"	"	41	1प्र	पै	—	0	03.5
20.	"	"	"	41	2	पै	—	0	00.5
21.	"	"	"	40	1	पै	—	0	05.0
22.	"	"	"	40	2	पै	—	0	12.0
23.	"	"	"	40	3	पै	—	0	01.0
24.	"	"	"	40	6	पै	—	0	16.5
25.	"	"	"	33	2	पै	—	0	07.9

1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9
26.	कोपर	पेण	रायगढ़	32	2अ	पै	—	0	05.8
27.	"	"	"	32	2ब	पै	—	0	05.0
28.	"	"	"	32	5अ	पै	—	0	03.3
29.	"	"	"	32	7	पै	—	0	10.8
30.	"	"	"	14	1	पै	—	0	04.0
31.	"	"	"	14	2(1)	पै	—	0	05.0
32.	"	"	"	14	2(2)	पै	—	0	06.5
33.	"	"	"	14	3	पै	—	0	07.5
34.	"	"	"	18	1	पै	—	0	02.7
35.	"	"	"	18	2	पै	—	0	03.7
36.	"	"	"	18	3	पै	—	0	05.4
37.	"	"	"	55	1	पै	—	0	03.0

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ.नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	सि.नं.	गैट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	घाट
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
26.	कोपर.	पेण	रायगढ़	32	2अ पै	--	0	06.2
27.	"	"	"	32	2ब पै	--	0	06.0
28.	"	"	"	32	5अ पै	--	0	10.0
29.	"	"	"	32	7 पै	--	0	02.9
30.	"	"	"	14	1 पै	--	0	00.5
31.	"	"	"	14	2(1) पै	--	0	10.0
32.	"	"	"	14	2(2) पै	--	0	09.2
33.	"	"	"	14	3 पै	--	0	03.9
34.	"	"	"	18	1 पै	--	0	04.3
35.	"	"	"	18	2 पै	--	0	06.6
36.	"	"	"	18	3 पै	--	0	06.2
37.	"	"	"	55	1 पै	--	} कम किया	
38.	"	"	"	55	3अ पै	--		
39.	"	"	"	57	6 पै	--		
40.	"	"	"	40	5 पै	--		
41.	"	"	"	32	5ब प	--	0	03.5
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
38.	कोपर.	पेण	रायगढ़	55	3अ प		} कम किया	
39.	"	"	"	57	6 प			
40.	"	"	"	40	5 प			
41.	"	"	"	32	5ब प			

[सं 34037/1/87 तं. सी. (पार्ट)/जिल्ह-II.]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2445. - Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 691 Dated 12-2-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 on pages 784 to 794 as "words & figures" shown in Columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Area
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Kopar	Peu	Raigad	49	6A		0-05-0	
2	"	"	"	49	7		0-06-3	
3	"	"	"	49	8		0-01-2	
4	"	"	"	55	2		0-16-5	
5	"	"	"	54	2A		0-04-1	
6	"	"	"	54	2B		0-06-0	
7	"	"	"	54	5+6		0-01-5	
8	"	"	"	58	1		0-15-6	
9	"	"	"	59	1		0-02-7	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	"	"	"	59	2A			0-10-8
11	"	"	"	46	1/1			0-18-6
12	"	"	"	60	5			0-06-3
13	"	"	"	45	2			0-03-0
14	"	"	"	45	3			0-05-3
15	"	"	"	45	4			0-03-7
16	"	"	"	45	5			0-04-0

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Are
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Kopar	Pen	Raigad	49	6A			0-05-0
2	"	"	"	49	7			0-07-6
3	"	"	"	49	8			0-00-2
4	"	"	"	55	2			0-03-5
5	"	"	"	54	2A			0-06-0
6	"	"	"	54	2B			0-07-5
7	"	"	"	54	5-1-6			0-05-5
8	"	"	"	58	1			0-13-0
9	"	"	"	59	1			0-11-7
10	"	"	"	59	2A			0-02-0
11	"	"	"	46	1/1			0-20-0
12	"	"	"	60	5			0-02-5
13	"	"	"	45	2			0-06-0
14	"	"	"	45	3			0-02-7
15	"	"	"	45	4			0-05-7
16	"	"	"	45	5			0-07-2

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
17	Kopar	Pen	Raigad	44	1			0-07-1
18	"	"	"	44	2			0-03-0
19	"	"	"	41	1A			0-06-0
20	"	"	"	41	2			0-01-2
21	"	"	"	40	1			0-02-5
22	"	"	"	40	2			0-11-3
23	"	"	"	40	3			0-01-2
24	"	"	"	40	6			0-17-2
25	"	"	"	33	2			0-08-3
26	"	"	"	32	2A			0-05-8
27	"	"	"	32	2B			0-05-0
28	"	"	"	32	5A			0-08-3
29	"	"	"	32	7			0-10-8
30	"	"	"	14	1			0-04-0
31	"	"	"	14	2(1)			0-05-0

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Are.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
17	Kopar	Pen	Rajgad	44	1		0-05-7	
18	"	"	"	44	2		0-01-0	
19	"	"	"	41	1A		0-03-5	
20	"	"	"	41	2		0-00-5	
21	"	"	"	40	1		0-05-0	
22	"	"	"	40	2		0-12-0	
23	"	"	"	40	3		0-01-0	
24	"	"	"	40	6		0-16-5	
25	"	"	"	33	2		0-07-9	
26	"	"	"	32	2A		0-06-2	
27	"	"	"	32	2B		0-06-0	
28	"	"	"	32	5A		0-10-0	
29	"	"	"	32	7		0-12-9	
30	"	"	"	14	1		0-00-5	
31	"	"	"	14	2(1)		0-10-0	
32	"	"	"	14	2(2)		0-09-2	
33	"	"	"	14	3		0-03-9	
34	"	"	"	18	1		0-04-0	
35	"	"	"	18	2		0-06-5	
36	"	"	"	18	3		0-00-2	
37	"	"	"	55	1	}	Deleted	
38	"	"	"	55	3A			
39	"	"	"	57	6			
40	"	"	"	40	5			
41	"	"	"	5	5B	}	Deleted	

[No. 34027/1/87-P.C. II (Part) Vol. II]

शुद्धि-पत्र

का.आ. 2446.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में खाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का.आ. 691 तारीख 12-2-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखंड (ii) तारीख 19-3-1988 पृष्ठ 784 से 794 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में हुए हैं। इस वजह से निम्नलिखित अनुसूची खाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

क्र.नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	ह.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							है.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	डाबरे	पेण	रायगढ़	35	1अ पै	--	0	15.1
2.	"	"	"	21	1अ पै	--	0	10.4
3.	"	"	"	21	1ब पै	--	0	10.1
4.	"	"	"	21	1क पै	--	0	03.7
5.	"	"	"	38	4 पै	--	0	00.2
6.	"	"	"	36	1 पै	--	0	01.0
7.	"	"	"	19	1 पै	--	0	02.2

प्रस्तुत होने का वर्णन

अ. नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	सि. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र हे. आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17 18
1.	डावारे	पेण	रायगढ़	35	1अ पै	--	0 16.1
2.	"	"	"	21	1अ पै	--	0 08.6
3.	"	"	"	21	1ब पै	--	0 11.1
4.	"	"	"	21	1क पै	--	0 04.7
5.	"	"	"	35	4 पै		
6.	"	"	"	36	1 पै	--	कम किया
7.	"	"	"	19	1 पै		

[सं 34027/1/87-बो० सं० (पाटे)/जिल्ह-II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2446-Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No.S.O. 691 Dated 12-2-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 19-3-88 on pages 784 to 794 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area H. Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 9
1	Daware	Pen	Raigad	35	1A		0-15-1
2	"	"	"	21	1A		0-10-4
3	"	"	"	21	1B		0-10-1
4	"	"	"	21	1C		0-03-7
5	"	"	"	35	4		0-00-2
6	"	"	"	36	1		0-10-0
7	"	"	"	19	1		0-02-2

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area H. Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17 18
1	Daware	Pen	Raigad	35	1A		0-16-1
2	"	"	"	21	1A		0-08-6
3	"	"	"	21	1B		0-11-1
4	"	"	"	21	1C		0-04-7
5	"	"	"	35	4 }		
6	"	"	"	36	1 }		Deleted
7	"	"	"	19	1 }		

[No. 34027/1/87—P.C. II (Part)/Vol. II]

शुद्धि-पत्र

का.आ. 2447--निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में खाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना नं. का.आ. 1278 तारीख 4-4-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग-II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 16-4-88 तृष्ठ 1648 से 1654 प्रसारित हुई; अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में टपे हैं। इसमें अनेक निम्नलिखित अनुसूची खाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

क्र.नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	बांधे	पेण	रायगढ़	135	1	पै	--	17.9
2.	"	"	"	167	1	पै	--	07.0
3.	"	"	"	167	3	पै	--	11.3
4.	"	"	"	171	2	पै	--	09.6
5.	"	"	"	166	4	पै	--	01.2
6.	"	"	"	165	1	पै	--	04.3
7.	"	"	"	165	2	पै	--	10.1
8.	"	"	"	165	3	पै	--	12.3
9.	"	"	"	164	2	पै	--	03.2
10.	"	"	"	161	3	पै	--	03.0
11.	"	"	"	164	4	पै	--	14.1

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

क्र.नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स. नं.	स. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	बांधे	पेण	रायगढ़	135	1	पै	--	20.0
2.	"	"	"	167	1	पै	--	03.5
3.	"	"	"	167	3	पै	--	10.0
4.	"	"	"	171	2	पै	--	05.5
5.	"	"	"	166	4	पै	--	02.0
8.	"	"	"	165	1अ	पै	--	13.0
7.	"	"	"	165	1ख	पै	--	00.2
8.	"	"	"	165	2अ	पै	--	10.3
9.	"	"	"	115	2ब	पै	--	10.3
10.	"	"	"	165	3	पै	--	01.5
11.	"	"	"	164	2	पै	--	05.5

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ.न.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.न.	हि.न.	गट न.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
12.	बाबे	पेण	रायगढ़	168	3 पै	—	0	05.3
13.	"	"	"	160	1 पै	—	0	03.5
14.	"	"	"	150	4 पै	—	0	05.5
15.	"	"	"	161 अ	2 पै	—	0	17.9
16.	"	"	"	161 अ	2अ पै	—	0	13.6
17.	"	"	"	91 अ	1अ 1अ	—	0	09.6
					5 पै			
18.	"	"	"	91, अ	1अ 1अ	—	0	24.0
					3 पै			
19.	"	"	"	90	3 पै	—	0	03.2
20.	"	"	"	89	3 पै	—	0	16.1
21.	"	"	"	89	2 पै	—	0	05.0
22.	"	"	"	87	1 पै	—	0	17.7

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ.न.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.न.	हि.न.	गट स.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
12.	"	"	"	164	3 पै	—	0	03.0
13.	"	"	"	164	4 पै	—	0	04.0
14.	बाबे	पेण	रायगढ़	163	3 पै	—	0	12.5
15.	"	"	"	160	1 पै	—	0	12.0
16.	"	"	"	160	4 पै	—	0	08.5
17.	"	"	"	161अ	1 पै	—	0	09.0
18.	"	"	"	161अ	2अ पै	—	0	05.5
19.	"	"	"	91अ	1अ, 1अ	—	0	02.5
					3 पै			
20.	"	"	"	91अ	1अ, 1अ	—	कम किया	
					पै			
21.	"	"	"	90	3 पै	—	कम किया	
22.	"	"	"	89	3 पै	—	कम किया	

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
23.	बाबे	पेण	रायगढ़	87	3 पै	—	0	09.3
24.	"	"	"	161	0 पै	—	0	00.7
25.	"	"	"	164	5 पै	—	0	02.0
26.	"	"	"	172	1 पै	—	0	03.2
27.	"	"	"	161 अ	2अ पै	—	0	00.2

10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
23.	वावे	पेण	रायगढ़	89	2 पे	—	कम किया	
24.	"	"	"	87	1 पे	—	कम किया	
25.	"	"	"	87	3 पे	—	0 08.3	
26.	"	"	"	161A	—	—	0 00.8	
27.	"	"	"	164	5 पे	—	कम किया	
28.	"	"	"	172	1 पे	—	कम किया	
29.	"	"	"	161A	2A पे	—	0 04.5	

[सं 34027/1/87-प्रा. सा. पाठ/अ.प्र.—II]

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2447 :—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No.S.O.1220 & 3263 dated 4-4-88 Published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated 16-4-88 on pages 1649 to 1654 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tehsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Are.
1	2	3	4	5	5	6	8	9
1	Wave	Pen	Raigad	135	1		0-17-9	
2	"	"	"	167	1		0-7-0	
3	"	"	"	167	3		0-11-3	
4	"	"	"	171	2		0-9-6	
5	"	"	"	166	4		0-1-2	
6	"	"	"	165	1		0-4-3	
7	"	"	"	165	2		0-10-1	
8	"	"	"	165	3		0-12-3	
9	"	"	"	164	2		0-3-2	
10	"	"	"	164	3		0-6 0	
11	"	"	"	164	4		0-14-1	
12	"	"	"	160	3		0-5-3	
13	"	"	"	160	1		0-2-5	
14	"	"	"	160	4		0-5-5	
15	"	"	"	161A	2		0-17-9	

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tehsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Cat No.	Area	
							H.	Are.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Wave	Pen	Raigad	135	1		0-20-0	
2	"	"	"	167	1		0-03-5	
3	"	"	"	167	3		0-10-0	
4	"	"	"	171	2		0-5-5	
5	"	"	"	166	4		0-2-0	
6	"	"	"	165	1A		0-13-0	
7	"	"	"	165	1B		0 00-2	

8	Wave	Pen	Raigad	165	2A	0-10-3
9	"	"	"	165	2B	0-10-3
10	"	"	"	165	3	0-1-5
11	"	"	"	164	2	0-5-5
12	"	"	"	164	3	0-3-0
13	"	"	"	164	4	0-4-0
14	"	"	"	164	3	0-12-5
15	"	"	"	160	1	0-12-0
16	"	"	"	160	4	0-0-5
17	"	"	"	161A	1	0-9-0

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
16	Wave	Pen	Raigad	61A	2B		0-13-6	
17	"	"	"	91A	1A1A/3		0-9-6	
18	"	"	"	91A	1A1A/3		0-24-0	
12	"	"	"	40	3		0-03-2	
20	"	"	"	89	3		0-16-1	
21	"	"	"	89	2		0-05-8	
22	"	"	"	87	1		0-17-7	
23	"	"	"	87	3		9-0-3	
24	"	"	"	161	0		0-00-7	
25	"	"	"	164	5		0-2-0	
26	"	"	"	172	1		0-3-2	
27	"	"	"	161A	2A		0-0-2	

Sr. No.	Name of the Village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gat No.	Area	
							H.	Ars.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
18	Wave	Pen	Raigad	161A	2B		0-5-5	
19	"	"	"	91A	1A1A/5		0-02-5	
20	"	"	"	91A	1A1A		Deleted	
21	"	"	"	90	3		Deleted	
22	"	"	"	89	3		Deleted	
23	"	"	"	89	2		Deleted	
24	"	"	"	87	1		Deleted	
25	"	"	"	87	3		0-08-3	
26	"	"	"	161B	—		0-00-8	
27	"	"	"	164	5		Deleted	
28	"	"	"	172	1		Deleted	
29	"	"	"	161A	2A		0-04-15	

शुद्धि-पत्र

क्र.भा. 2448.—निम्नलिखित अनुसूची में रकाना 1 से 9 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना न. क्र.भा. 1220 तारीख 4-4-88 भारत का राजपत्र भाग II खंड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 16-4-88 पृष्ठ 1648 से 1654 प्रसारित हुए अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में छपे हैं। इस वजह निम्न लिखित अनुसूची रकाना 10 से 18 में लिखे हुए शब्दों और संख्या पढ़ना।

प्रसारित किया गया वर्णन

अ.नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि. नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	रावे	पेण	रायगढ़	28	2 ड पै	—	0	05.0
2.	"	"	"	25	1 + 2अ पै	—	0	07.8
3.	"	"	"	25	1 + 2अ पै	—	0	08.3
4.	"	"	"	4	2अ 2अ पै	—	0	13.3
5.	"	"	"	206	2अ पै	—	0	06.5
6.	"	"	"	206	3 पै	—	0	02.2
7.	"	"	"	5	1अ पै	—	0	00.5

प्रसारित होने का वर्णन

अ.नं.	गांव का नाम	तहसील	जिला	स.नं.	हि.नं.	गट नं.	क्षेत्र	
							हे.	आर
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1.	रावे	पेण	रायगढ़	28	2ड पै	—	0	05.5
2.	"	"	"	25	1 + 2अ पै	—	0	06.7
3.	"	"	"	25	1 + 2अ पै	—	0	13.5
4.	"	"	"	4	2अ 2अ पै	—	0	12.0
5.	"	"	"	206	2अ पै	—	0	05.5
6.	"	"	"	206	3 पै	—	0	03.
7.	"	"	"	5	1अ पै	—	कम किया	

[34027/1/8771-मा पाए /निर-11]

टी०एन० खरे, सक्षम अधिकारी

भाग बी सी 0/अ/एस बी सीसी पार्किंग लाईन-1नवीटवे

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 2448.—Read words and figures shown in columns 1 to 9 to the schedule given below appearing in the schedule annexed to the Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1220 Dated 4-4-88 Published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 16-4-88 on pages 1648 to 1654 as "words & figures" shown in columns 10 to 18 to the schedule given below.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H.	Are.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Rave	Pen	Raigad	28	2D		0-05-40	
2	"	"	"	25	1+2A		0-07-8	
3	"	"	"	25	1+2B		0-08-3	
4	"	"	"	4	2A/1/B		0-13-3	
5	"	"	"	206	2B		0-06-5	
6	"	"	"	206	3		0-02-2	
7	"	"	"	5	1B		0-00-5	

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Tahsil	District	Survey No.	Hissa No.	Gut No.	Area	
							H.	Are.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Rave	Pen	Raigad	28	2D		0-05-5	
2	"	"	"	25	1+2A		0-06-7	
3	"	"	"	25	1+2B		0-13-5	
4	"	"	"	4	2A/1/B		0-12-0	
5	"	"	"	206	2B		0-05-5	
6	"	"	"	206	3		0-03-2	
7	"	"	"	5	1B		Deleted	

[No. 34027/1/87-PC II (Part)/Vol. II]
D. N. TARE, Competent Authority,
IPCL/MGCC/Pipelines Nagothane

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 1 अगस्त, 1990

का. आ. 2449:—केन्द्रीय सरकार, होम्योपैथी केन्द्रीय परिषद अधिनियम, 1973 (1973 का 59) की धारा 13 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, होम्योपैथी केन्द्रीय परिषद में परामर्श करने के पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम की द्वितीय अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

द्वितीय अनुसूची में "मध्य प्रदेश" शीर्षक के नीचे क्रम संख्या 10क और उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के पश्चात् निम्न लिखित क्रम संख्या और प्रविष्टियाँ प्रस्तुत की जाती हैं, अर्थात्:—

विश्वविद्यालय/बोर्ड या चिकित्सीय संस्था का नाम	मान्यता प्राप्त चिकित्सीय अर्हता	रजिस्ट्रीकरण के लिए संक्षिप्त नाम	टिप्पणियाँ
1	2	3	4
10ख स्टेट काउन्सिल आफ होम्योपैथी, मध्य प्रदेश	डिप्लोमा इन होम्योपैथिक मेडिसिन एंड सर्जरी	डीएचएमएस	1987 में 1990 तक

[सं. वा. 27021/25/85-होम्यो]
एम. बी. गोयल, निदेशक

टिप्पण:—मूल अधिसूचना का, या. सं. 76 दिनांक 20 दिसम्बर, 1973 के भारत के राजपत्र—असाधारण भाग II खंड-1 में प्रकाशित की गई और तत्पश्चात् का. आ. सं. 2048 दिनांक 24 मार्च, 1986 का भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 24-5-86 के भाग 2, खंड 3, भाग II (ii) के पृष्ठ 2270 पर संशोधित किया गया।

MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE

New Delhi, the 1st August, 1990

S.O. 2449.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 13 of the Homoeopathy Central Council Act, 1973 (59 of 1973), the Central Govt., after consulting the Central Council of Homoeopathy, hereby makes the following further amendment in the Second Schedule to the said Act, namely :—

In the Second Schedule, under the head 'Madhya Pradesh' after Serial number 10A and the entries relating thereto the following Serial number and entries shall be added, namely :—

1	2	3	4
"10B. State Council of Homoeopathy, Madhya Pradesh.	Diploma Homoeopathic Medicine and Surgery.	D.H.M.S.	From 1987 to 1990."

[No. V. 27021/25/85-Homoco]

S. B. GOEL, Director.

Foot Note : The Principal Notification was published in No. S.O. 76 dated 20th December, 1973 in Gazette of India, Extraordinary Part II, Section 1 and subsequently amended S.O. No. 2048 dated 24th March, 1986 at page No. 2270 of the Gazette of India dated 24-3-1986 in Part 2, Sec. 3, sub-Sec. (ii)

नई दिल्ली, 16 अगस्त, 1990

का. आ. 2450.—भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 11 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद में परामर्श करने के पश्चात्, उक्त अधिनियम की प्रथम अनुसूची का निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अनुसूची में, "पटना विश्वविद्यालय" शीर्षक और उसमें संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित शीर्षक और प्रविष्टियों अन्तः स्थापित की जाएंगी, अर्थात् :—

"पांडिचरी विश्वविद्यालय

बैचलर ऑफ मेडिसिन और बैचलर एम. बी. बी. एम.

ऑफ सर्जरी

डाक्टर ऑफ मेडिसिन (सामान्य एम. डी. (सामान्य आयुर्विज्ञान)

डाक्टर ऑफ मेडिसिन (प्रभूति एम. डी. (प्रभूति विज्ञान विज्ञान और स्त्री रोग विज्ञान)

डाक्टर ऑफ मेडिसिन (भेषज गुण एम. डी. (भेषजगुण विज्ञान)

डाक्टर ऑफ मेडिसिन (संवेदनाहरण एम. डी. (संवेदनाहरण विज्ञान)

डाक्टर ऑफ मेडिसिन (त्वचा विज्ञान) एम. डी. (त्वचा विज्ञान)

डाक्टर ऑफ मेडिसिन (जीव एम. डी. (जीव रसायन रसायन विज्ञान)

मास्टर ऑफ सर्जरी (सामान्य शल्य एम. एस. (सामान्य शल्य-विज्ञान)

मास्टर ऑफ सर्जरी (नंद विज्ञान) एम. एस. (नंद विज्ञान)

मास्टर ऑफ सर्जरी (कर्ण-नासा-कंठ विज्ञान) एम. एस. (कर्ण-नासा-कंठ विज्ञान)

मास्टर ऑफ एम. एम. (शरीर रचना विज्ञान) एम. एस. (शरीर रचना विज्ञान)

डिप्लोमा इन नाइटिंग हेल्थ डॉ. सी. एच.

डिप्लोमा इन आर्थोमाथोजी

डी. ओ.

डिप्लोमा इन मेडिकल रेडियो-

डी. एम. आर. डी.

डायग्नोसिस

डिप्लोमा इन बुर्माटालाजी

डा. डॉ.

डिप्लोमा इन पब्लिक हेल्थ

डा. पी. एच.

डिप्लोमा इन गैडनिकलोजी

डी. जी. ओ.

एण्ड आन्ट्रेटिक्स

डिप्लोमा इन क्लिनिकल पैथोलोजी डॉ. सी. पी.

मास्टर ऑफ सर्जरी (जननमूल-शल्य एम. सी. एच. जनन मूल-विज्ञान) शल्य विज्ञान)

[स. बी-11015/11/87-एम. ई. (पो.)]

एच. एन. यादव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 16th August, 1990

S.O. 2450.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 11 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) the Central Government, after consulting the Medical Council of India, hereby makes the following further amendment in the First Schedule to said Act, namely :—

In the said Schedule, after the heading "University of Patna" and the entries relating thereto, the following heading and entries shall be inserted, namely :—

"Pondicherry University

Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor M.B.B.S.

of Surgery.

Doctor of Medicine (General Medicine) M.D. (Genl. Med.)

Doctor of Medicine (Obstetrics & M.D. Obst. & Gynaec.)

Gynaecology).

Doctor of Medicine (Pharmacology) M.D. (Pharmacology)

Doctor of Medicine (Anesthesiology) M.D. (Anacs.)

Doctor of Medicine (Dermatology) M.D. (Derma.)

Doctor of Medicine (Biochemistry) M.D. (Biochemistry)

Master of Surgery (General Surgery) M.S. (Genl. Surg.)

Master of Surgery (Ophthalmology) M.S. (Ophth.)

Master of Surgery (Oto-rhino- M.S. (Oto-rhino-

Laryngology) M.S. (Laryngology)

Master of Surgery (Anatomy) M.S. (Ana.)

Diploma in Child Health D.C.H.

Diploma in (Ophthalmology) D.O.

Diploma in Medical Radio-diagnosis D.M.R.D.

Diploma in Dermatology D.P. Derma.

Diploma in Public Health D.P.H.

Diploma in Gynaecology and Obstetrics D.G.O.

Diploma in Clinical Pathology D.C.P.

Master of Surgery (Genito-Urinary- M.Ch. (Genito-

Surgery) Urinary-Surg.)

[No. V. 11015/11/87-MF(P)]

H. N. YADAV, Desk Officer

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 24 अगस्त, 1990

का. आ. 2451 :—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उप नियम (4) के अनुसरण में स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय के नियंत्रणाधीन निम्नलिखित कार्यालय को, जिसके 80% से अधिक कर्मचारियों ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त करे लिया है, अधिसूचित करती है :—

अखिल भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान संस्थान, नई दिल्ली।

[सं. ई. 11012/8/88-रा. भा. का.]

बलबीर सिंह, संयुक्त सचिव

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 24th August, 1990

S.O. 2451.—In pursuance of sub-rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language (Use for Official Purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976 the Central Government hereby notifies the following office under the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, 80 percent staff whereof have acquired working knowledge of Hindi :—

All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.

[No. E-11012/8/88-OLH]

BALBIR SINGH, Jt. Secy.

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 27 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 2452:—यतः पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.आ.सं. 782 तारीख 27-2-90 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को विछाने के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया था।

और यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और आगे, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

अब, अतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा घोषित करती है, कि इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन विछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है।

और आगे उस ग की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

सी.टी.एफ. कलोल से जी.जी.एस-11 तक पाइपलाइन विछाने के लिए।

राज्य : गुजरात जिला : व तालुका : गांधीनगर

गांव	ब्लॉक नं.	हेक्टेयर	आर.	सेंटीयर
1	2	3	4	5
तारापुर	208	0	29	00
	206	0	17	40

203	0	26	90
146	0	14	20
147	0	14	60
142	0	19	00
कार्ट ट्रैक	0	03	00
116	0	06	40

[सं. ओ-11027/13/90-ओ.एन.जी.डी.-III]

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 27th August, 1990

S.O. 2452.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 782 dated 27-2-90 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline.

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government ;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands in the schedule appended to this notification ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline ;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the rights of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from CTF Kalol to GGS XI.

State : Gujarat District & Taluka : Gandhinagar

Village	Block No.	Hectare	Are	Centiare
Tarapur	208	0	29	00
	206	0	17	40
	203	0	26	90
	146	0	14	20
	147	0	14	60
	142	0	19	00
	Cart track	0	03	00
	116	0	06	40

[No O-11027/13/90-ONG. D-III]

का. आ. 2453 :—यतः पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.आ. सं. 779 तारीख 27-2-90 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को विछाने के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया था।

और यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधा 1) के तहत सम्पत्ति की रिपोर्टें दे दी हैं।

और आगे, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्टें पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

अब, अतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधा 1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए, एतद्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है।

और आगे उस धारा की उपधा 4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाए तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

S.O. 2453.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas No. 779 dated 27-2-90 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline.

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the rights of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline for KNK Phase II

State : Gujarat Distt. : Kheda Taluka : Anand

Village	Survey No.	Hectare	Are	Centiare
Karamsad	1781	0	11	00
	1773	0	07	00
	1774	0	06	20
	1765	0	24	28
	1762	0	01	20
	1731	0	04	05
	1730	0	18	00
	1728/3	0	00	40
	1729	0	18	00
	1732	0	04	40
	1393	0	11	70
	1376/1	0	02	13
	1343	0	00	70
	1339	0	00	95
	1316	0	04	60
	1651/2/2	0	01	35
	1583	0	04	40

अनुसूची

के. एन. के फेस-II तक पाइप लाइन बिछाने के लिए

राज्य—गुजरात जिला—खेड़ा तालुका—नडीयाद

गांव	सर्वे नं.	हेक्टर	आर	सेन्टीयर
करमसद	1781	0	11	00
	1773	0	07	00
	1774	0	06	20
	1765	0	24	28
	1762	0	01	20
	1731	0	04	05
	1730	0	18	00
	1728/3	0	00	40
	1729	0	18	00
	1732	0	04	40
	1393	0	11	70
	1376/1	0	02	13
	1343	0	00	70
	1339	0	00	95
	1316	0	04	60
	1651/2/2	0	01	35
	1583	0	04	40

[सं. ओ-11027/9/90/ओ. एन. जी. डी-III]
के. विवेकानन्द, डेस्क अधिकारी

[No. O-11027/19/90-ONG D-III]
K. VIVEKANAND, Desk Officer

संचार मंत्रालय

(डाक विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 27 अगस्त, 1990

का. प्रा. 1587:- राष्ट्रपति, केन्द्रीय विधिक सेवा (वर्गीकरण, नियंत्रण और शर्तों) नियम, 1965 के नियम 9 के उपनियम (2), नियम 12 के उपनियम (2) के खंड (ख) और नियम 21 के उपनियम (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत के राष्ट्रपति, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) तारीख 8 जुलाई, 1989 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के संचार मंत्रालय (डाक विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं. का. प्रा. 1587 तारीख 7 जून, 1989 को अधिकांश करते हुए, आदेश देने हैं कि

1. इस आदेश की अनुसूची के भाग 1 के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट केन्द्रीय सेवा समूह 'ख' में पदों के संबंध में स्तम्भ 2 में विनिर्दिष्ट अधिकारी नियुक्ति प्राधिकारी होगा और स्तम्भ 3 में विनिर्दिष्ट प्राधिकारी स्तम्भ 4 में विनिर्दिष्ट शास्त्रियों की वाचन अनुज्ञापनिक प्राधिकारी होगा :

2. उक्त अनुसूची के भाग 2 के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट साधारण केन्द्रीय सेवा समूह 'ग' और समूह 'घ' में पदों के संबंध में स्तम्भ 2 में विनिर्दिष्ट प्राधिकारी नियुक्ति प्राधिकारी होगा और स्तम्भ 3 तथा 5 में विनिर्दिष्ट प्राधिकारी स्तम्भ 4 में विनिर्दिष्ट शास्त्रियों की वाचन अनुज्ञापनिक प्राधिकारी और अपील प्राधिकारी होगा।

अरुण कुमार, निदेशक (पी. पी.)

डाक विभाग, नई दिल्ली

अनुसूची

भाग 1 साधारण केन्द्रीय सेवा समूह 'ख'

पद का वर्णन	नियुक्ति प्राधिकारी	शास्त्रियाँ अधिरोपित करने के लिए सक्षम प्राधिकारी और वे शास्त्रियाँ जो यह अधिरोपित कर सकता है (नियम 11 में पद संख्याओं के प्रति निर्देश से)

भाग - II साधारण केन्द्रीय सेवा समूह 'ख' और समूह 'घ'

पदों का विवरण	नियुक्ति प्राधिकारी	शास्त्रियाँ अधिरोपित करने के लिए सक्षम प्राधिकारी और वे शास्त्रियाँ जिनके अधिरोपित कर सकता है (नियम 11 में पद संख्याओं के प्रति निर्देश से)		
		<div>प्राधिकारी</div> <div>शास्त्रियाँ</div>		
1	2	3	4	5
महानिदेशक (डाक) का कार्यालय				
1. सभी पद समूह "ग"	सचिव, डाक सेवा बोर्ड	सचिव डाक सेवा बोर्ड	सभी	सदस्य (कार्मिक) डाक सेवा बोर्ड
		महायुक्त महानिदेशक (प्रशासन) (ऐसे गैर-सचिवालय पदों के संबंध में जिनका अधिकतम वेतन 2040 रु. से अधिक नहीं है)	(i) से (iv)	सचिव, डाक सेवा बोर्ड
2. सभी पद समूह "घ"	महायुक्त महानिदेशक (प्रशासन)	महायुक्त महानिदेशक (प्रशासन)	सभी	सचिव, डाक सेवा बोर्ड

सकल श्रीर क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों सहित डाक सेवा, पुनः प्रेषण केन्द्र, श्रीवधानय, मुद्रणालय और पोस्टल स्टाफ कालेज भारत

1	2	3	5	5
1. कार्यालय प्रभिक्षक कनिष्ठ लेखा अधिकारी (समूह 'ग')	सकल अध्यक्ष	सकल अध्यक्ष निदेशक डाक सेवा/ निदेशक लेखा (डाक)/उप निदेशक लेखा (i) से (iv) (डाक)/संयुक्त निदेशक, पोस्टल स्टाफ कालेज, भारत	सभी	सदस्य (कार्यिक) डाकसेवा बोर्ड
2. उच्चतर चयन श्रेणी के कर्मचारी सहायक प्रभिक्षक रेल डाक सेवा/निरीक्षक/डाकघर निरीक्षक, रेल डाक सेवा प्रेषण और कनिष्ठ लेखाकार फोटोसेटर, प्रापरेटर, ज्येष्ठ प्राटिस्ट, प्राटिस्ट रीटचर, सहायक प्राटिस्ट रीटचर, कनिष्ठ प्राटिस्ट, की-बोर्ड प्रापरेटर कैमरामैन, मशीन-मैन, श्रेणी-1 सैक्शन होल्डर फोरमैन, साधारण भारी हट्ट कम्प्यूटर और समान वेतन के कर्मचारी (समूह 'ग')	महा डाकपाल/निदेशक संयुक्त निदेशक/पोस्टल स्टाफ कालेज, भारत/निदेशक लेखा (डाक) उप निदेशक लेखा (डाक)	महा डाकपाल/निदेशक/संयुक्त निदेशक, पोस्टल स्टाफ कालेज, भारत/निदेशक लेखा (डाक)/उप निदेशक लेखा (डाक)	सभी	सकल अध्यक्ष महा डाकपाल
		सहायक महाडाकपाल/उप सहायक/निदेशक प्रबन्धक, मुद्रणालय/लेखा अधिकारी	(i) से (iv)	महा डाकपाल/निदेशक/निदेशक लेखा
3. सभी अन्य पद (समूह 'ग')	निदेशक लेखा (डाक)/उप निदेशक लेखा (डाक)/सहायक महाडाकपाल/उप निदेशक डाक सेवाएं/सहायक निदेशक डाक सेवाएं/प्रबन्धक, मुद्रणालय	निदेशक लेखा (डाक)/उप निदेशक लेखा (डाक)/सभी सहायक महाडाकपाल/उप निदेशक डाक सेवा सहायक निदेशक डाक सेवा/प्रबन्धक, मुद्रणालय लेखा अधिकारी/प्रबन्धक, पुनः प्रेषण केन्द्र		मुख्य महाडाकपाल / महाडाकपाल / निदेशक डाक सेवा उपनिदेशक डाकसेवाएं/सहायक महा डाकपाल/निदेशक डाक सेवा निदेशक, डाक सेवा लेखा (डाक) उप निदेशक, लेखा (डाक/प्रबन्धक (मुद्रणालय)
4. सभी पद (समूह 'ग')	सहायक महा डाकपाल/सहायक निदेशक, डाक सेवा/सेवा/प्रबन्धक (मुद्रणालय) लेखा अधिकारी/भारसाधक चिकित्सा अधिकारी	सहायक महाडाकपाल/सहायक निदेशक, डाक सेवा/सेवा/प्रबन्धक (मुद्रणालय) लेखा अधिकारी भारसाधक चिकित्सा अधिकारी	सभी	महाडाकपाल निदेशक, / डाक सेवा निदेशक लेखा (डाक)/उप निदेशक लेखा (डाक)
		कार्यालय प्रभिक्षक/प्रबन्धक पुनः प्रेषण केन्द्र उप प्रबन्धक (मुद्रणालय)	(i) से (iv)	सहायक महाडाकपाल प्रबन्धक (मुद्रणालय)

प्रधान डाकघरों में बचत बैंक नियंत्रण संगठन

1	2	3	4	5
1. चयन/विविध श्रेणियों के सभी पद (समूह 'ग')	निदेशक डाक सेवाएं निदेशक (मु. डा. घ.)	डाक सेवा निदेशक / निदेशक (मु. डा. घ.) / निदेशक (मु. डा. घ.) प्रभाग अध्यक्ष/मुख्य डाकपाल/सीधे ही निदेशक, डाक सेवा के अधीन ज्येष्ठ डाकपाल लेखा अधिकारी	सभी (i) से (iv)	मुख्य महाडाकपाल/ महा डाकपाल निदेशक डाक सेवाएं / निदेशक (मु. डा. घ.) /
2. सभी पद (समूह 'घ')	उप निदेशक (मु. डा. घ.) / उप मुख्य डाकपाल समूह 'ख'/ज्येष्ठ डाकपाल, उच्चतर चयन श्रेणी में डाकपाल	उप निदेशक (मु. डा. घ.) / उप मुख्य डाकपाल समूह (घ) / ज्येष्ठ डाकपाल / प्रभाग अध्यक्ष/ सहायक निदेशक (मु. डा. घ.) / उच्चतर चयन श्रेणी में डाकपाल	सभी (i) से (4)	निदेशक (मु. डा. घ.) / मुख्य डाकपाल/ मुख्य महाडाकपाल महाडाकपाल निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं/ उप निदेशक (मु. डा. घ.) / प्रभाग अध्यक्ष

सकिल कार्यालयों में आन्तरिक जांव संगठन और मिलान यूनिट तथा केन्द्रीय मिलान यूनिट, गई दिल्ली

1	2	3	4	5
1. जयन/लिपिकीय श्रेणी के सभी पद (समूह 'ग')	महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं	महा डाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं/लेखा अधिकारी	सभी (1) से (4)	सकिल अध्यक्ष महाडाकपाल/निदेशक/डाक सेवाएं
2. सभी पद (समूह 'घ')	सहायक महाडाकपाल सहायक महानिदेशक, डाक	सहायक महाडाकपाल सहायक निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं कार्यालय अधीक्षक/लेखा अधिकारी	सभी 1 से (1)	महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं सहायक महाडाकपाल

टिप्पण : कनिष्ठ लेखा अधिकारी बचत बैंक नियंत्रण संगठन आन्तरिक जांव संगठन और मिलान यूनिटों में तैनात किए जाने पर, अनुसूची में दिए गए कांडर को लागू शक्तियों द्वारा शासित होंगे।

विदेश डाकघर

1	2	3	4	5
1. जयन श्रेणी में लिपिकीय वर्गीय कर्मचारी/फैच अनुवादक/सहायक अधीक्षक डाकघर/डाकघर निरीक्षक (समूह 'ग')	नियंत्रक, विदेश डाक। निदेशक, विदेश डाक	नियंत्रक विदेश डाक/ निदेशक, विदेश डाक सहायक नियंत्रक (विदेश डाक) सहायक निदेशक (विदेश डाक) अधीक्षक (विदेश डाक)	सभी (i) से (iv)	सदस्य (प्रचालन) डाक सेवा बोर्ड/ सकिल अध्यक्ष। नियंत्रक विदेश डाक/निदेशक विदेश डाक।
2. लिपिकीय श्रेणी में लिपिकीय वर्गीय कर्मचारी (समूह "ग") और सभी पद (समूह "घ")	निदेशक (विदेश डाक)/ सहायक निदेशक (वि. डाक) अधीक्षक (वि. डाक)/ सहायक निदेशक (वि. डाक)	निदेशक (वि. डाक) सहायक नियंत्रक (विदेश डाक)। अधीक्षक (वि. डाक)। सहायक निदेशक (विदेश डाक) निदेशक, डाक जीवन बीमा कार्यालय	सभी	सकिल अध्यक्ष नियंत्रक, विदेश डाक/ निदेशक, विदेश डाक।
1. जयन श्रेणी में लिपिकीय कर्मचारी (समूह "ग")	निदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)	निदेशक डाक जीवन बीमा) उप निदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)	सभी (i) से (iv)	उप महानिदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा) डाक सेवा बोर्ड। निदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)। विदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)।
2. सभी अन्य पद (समूह "घ")	उप निदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)	उप निदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)	सभी	विदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)।
3. सभी पद (समूह "घ")	सहायक निदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)	सहायक निदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)	सभी	उप निदेशक (डाक जीवन बीमा)

कार्यालय अधीक्षक, डाक प्रेष और मुद्राएं, डाक भण्डार डिपो/सकिल स्टॉप डिपो

1	2	3	4	5
1. कनिष्ठ लेखा अधिकारी (समूह "ग")	सकिल अध्यक्ष	सकिल अध्यक्ष, निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं	सभी (i) से (iv)	सदस्य (वित्त)/सदस्य (कार्मिक) डाक सेवा बोर्ड सकिल अध्यक्ष
2. उच्चतर और निम्नतर जयन श्रेणियों में पद, सहायक अधीक्षक डाकघर सहायक अधीक्षक रेल डाक, निरीक्षक, डाकघर निरीक्षक रेल डाक (समूह "घ")	महा डाकपाल/ निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं।	महा डाकपाल/ निदेशक डाक सेवाएं अधीक्षक	सभी (i) से (iv)	सकिल अध्यक्ष/सदस्य (कार्मिक) डाक सेवा बोर्ड। महा डाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं।
3. सभी अन्य पद (समूह "घ")	अधीक्षक	अधीक्षक	सभी	महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं।
4. सभी पद (समूह "घ")	अधीक्षक	अधीक्षक	सभी	सहायक महाडाकपाल।

कार्यालय, प्रबन्धक पोस्टल डाक मॉटर सेवाएं और डाक मशीनों के लिए क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयाएं

1	2	3	4	5
1. डाक मॉटर सेवा में प्रतिनियुक्ति पर कर्मचारी, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयाएं (समूह "ग")	मूल कार्यालय में समुचित नियुक्ति प्राधिकारी।	मूल कार्यालय में समुचित प्रशासनिक/प्राधिकारी, ज्येष्ठ प्रबंधक, प्रबंधक	सभी (i)से(iv)	मूल कार्यालय में समुचित श्रेणी प्राधिकारी मुख्य महाडाकपाल/महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं
2. न्यून श्रेणी कर्मचारी निरीक्षक, डाक मॉटर सेवा और गैरेज फोर-मैन (समूह "ग")	मुख्य महाडाकपाल/महा डाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं	मुख्य महा डाकपाल/महा डाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं/ज्येष्ठ प्रबंधक/प्रबंधक	सभी (i)से(iv)	सदस्य कार्मिक/मुख्य महाडाकपाल/महा डाकपाल। निदेशक डाक सेवाएं
3. ड्राइवर/सवार हरकारे और अन्य सभी पद (समूह "ग")	ज्येष्ठ प्रबंधक/प्रबंधक	ज्येष्ठ प्रबंधक/प्रबंधक उप प्रबंधक	सभी (i)से(iv)	निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं ज्येष्ठ प्रबंधक प्रबंधक
4. सभी पद (समूह "व")	ज्येष्ठ प्रबंधक/प्रबंधक/उप प्रबंधक	ज्येष्ठ प्रबंधक/प्रबंधक/उप प्रबंधक	सभी	निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं/ज्येष्ठ प्रबंधक प्रबंधक।

डाक प्रशिक्षण केन्द्र

1	2	3	4	5
1. उच्चतर न्यून श्रेणी में लिपिकीय कर्मचारी, सहायक डाकघर अधीक्षक के कार्यालय में अनु-वेष्टक/सहायक अधीक्षक रेल डाक सेवा/डाकघर निरीक्षक/निरीक्षक रेल डाक सेवाएं और ग्रांटि-स्ट/डिजाइनकार (समूह "ग")	प्रधानाचार्य	प्रधानाचार्य	सभी	सदस्य (कार्मिक) डाक सेवा बोर्ड।
2. सभी अन्य पद (समूह "ग")	उप प्रधानाचार्य	उप प्रधानाचार्य	सभी (i)से(iv)	प्रधानाचार्य उप प्रधानाचार्य
3. सभी पद (समूह "ब")	प्रशासनिक अधिकारी	प्रशासनिक अधिकारी	सभी	उप प्रधानाचार्य
4. प्रशिक्षण के लिए प्रतिनियुक्त विभागीय कर्मचारी (समूह "ग" और "ब")	मूल कार्यालय में समुचित नियुक्ति प्राधिकारी	उप प्रधानाचार्य	(i)से(iv)	प्रधानाचार्य

डाक और रेल डाक सेवा के खंड और उपखण्ड कार्यालय

1	2	3	4	5
1. सहायक अधीक्षक डाकघर/सहायक अधीक्षक रेल डाक सेवा/डाकघर निरीक्षक/निरीक्षक रेल डाक सेवा/उच्चतर न्यून श्रेणी में लिपिकीय कर्मचारी/कनिष्ठ इंजीनियर (सिबिन) इंजीनियर (विद्युत) वर्ग (ग)	महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं	महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं/प्रभाग प्रमुख	सभी (i)से(iv)	सकल अध्यक्ष निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं
2. सभी अन्य पद [समूह (ग)]	प्रभाग प्रमुख	प्रभाग प्रमुख	सभी	महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं
3. सभी पद [समूह (ब)]	प्रभाग/उपप्रभाग प्रमुख	प्रभाग प्रमुख उप प्रभाग प्रमुख	सभी (i) से (iv)	महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं

रेल डाक सेवा कार्यालय .

1	2	3	4	5
1. उच्चतर चयन श्रेणी में लिपिकीय वर्ग सहायक अधीक्षक, रेल डाक सेवा/निरीक्षक, रेल डाक सेवा समूह "ग"	निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं	निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं	सभी	मुख्य महाडाकपाल/महाडाकपाल।
2. निम्नतर चयन श्रेणी में लिपिकीय कर्मचारिण्य समूह (ग)	निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं/प्रभाग प्रमुख	निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं/प्रभाग प्रमुख	सभी	मुख्य महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं।
3. हैड मेल गार्ड/नेल गार्ड समूह (ग)	प्रभाग प्रमुख	प्रभाग अध्यक्ष अधीक्षक (छंटाई) महायक अधीक्षक (रेल डाक) निरीक्षक रेल डाक कार्यालय उप प्रभाग प्रमुख	सभी (i) से (iv)	महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं प्रभाग प्रमुख
4. सभी अन्य पद समूह (ग)	प्रभाग प्रमुख मुख्य अभिलेख अधिकारी (अपने कार्यालय में, उसी स्थान पर स्थित कार्यालयों में और उससे संलग्न प्रभाग के उनही अनुभागों में) यद्यपि श्रेणी अभिलेख अधिकारी या उप अभिलेख अधिकारी (अपने कार्यालय में और उसी स्थान पर उससे संलग्न कार्यालयों में और उससे संलग्न उसी प्रभाग के अनुभागों में) यदि अभिलेख कार्यालय प्लेटफार्म पर स्थित नहीं है, तो निम्न चयन श्रेणी प्लेटफार्म निरीक्षक निरीक्षक, रेल डाक/सहायक अधीक्षक, रेल डाक (उसकी अधिकारिता के अधीन अन्य कार्यालयों और अनुभागों में)	प्रभाग प्रमुख अधीक्षक (छंटाई/मुख्यालय)/महा-यक अधीक्षक, रेल डाक (डाक कार्यालय) ज्येष्ठ अधीक्षक, रेल डाक/अधीक्षक रेल डाक अधीक्षक (छंटाई) उनके विभाग के अधीन कर्मचारिण्य के संबंध में। मुख्य अभिलेख अधिकारी (अपने कार्यालय में और उसी स्थान पर स्थित कार्यालयों में और उससे संलग्न उसी प्रभाग के अनुभागों में); या उप अभिलेख अधिकारी (अपने कार्यालय में और उससे संलग्न उसी स्थान पर स्थित कार्यालय में और उससे संलग्न प्रभाग के उसी अनुभागों में); यदि अभिलेख कार्यालय, प्लेटफार्म पर स्थित नहीं है तो निम्न चयन श्रेणी प्लेटफार्म निरीक्षक, निरीक्षक, रेल डाक/सहायक अधीक्षक, रेल डाक (उसकी अधिकारिता के अधीन अन्य कार्यालयों और अनुभागों में)	सभी (i) से (iv) सभी सरे (i) से (iv)	महाडाकपाल (निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं) प्रभाग प्रमुख महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं। ज्येष्ठ अधीक्षक रेल डाक

हाकधर

1	2	3	4	5
1. डाकपाल/उच्चतर चयन श्रेणी में लिपिकीय कर्मचारिवृन्द सहायक/अधीक्षक, डाकघर निरीक्षक, डाकघर समूह (ग)	निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं	निदेशक डाक सेवाएं/निदेशक (गु. डा. च.) प्रभाग प्रमुख/समूह में उद्घेष्ट डाकपाल उप निदेशक, डाकघर/मुख्य डाकपाल	सभी (i) से (iv)	मुख्य महाडाकपाल/महाडाकपाल। निदेशक डाक सेवाएं/निदेशक, मुख्य डाकघर।
2. निम्न चयन श्रेणी में डाकपाल/निम्नतर चयन श्रेणी में लिपिकीय कर्मचारिवृन्द	निदेशक डाक सेवाएं/निदेशक, मुख्य डाकघर प्रभाग प्रमुख	निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं/निदेशक, मुख्य डाकघर/प्रधान प्रमुख उपनिदेशक (डाकघर), मुख्य डाकपाल/समूह में उद्घेष्ट डाकपाल	सभी (i) से (iv)	मुख्य महाडाकपाल/निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं। सकल अध्यक्ष/महाडाकपाल/निदेशक डाक सेवाएं निदेशक प्रधान डाकघर

1	2	3	4	5
3. काल बेतनमान में डाक- पाल लिपिकीय कर्म- चारिण्य/डाकओवरसियर रोकड़ ओवरसियर, छटाई डाकिया रीडर पोस्टमैन विभागीय शाखा डाकपाल सवार हरकारा समूह (ग)	प्रभाग प्रमुख उप निदेशक (डाकघर) समूह में उप डाकपाल	प्रभाग प्रमुख उप निदेशक डाकघर/समूह (ख) में मुख्य डाकपाल ज्येष्ठ डाकपाल डाकपाल/(अपने कार्यालय में) उच्चतर चयन श्रेणी में उप डाकपाल उच्चतर चयन श्रेणी में डाकपाल उप डाकपाल (अपने कार्यालय में) डाकघर निरीक्षक सहायक प्रधीक्षक, डाकघर	सभी सभी (i) से (iv) डाक सहायकों के कार्ड के पदीयो के संबंध में (i) से (iv) डाकिया के कार्ड से पदीयों के संबंध में (i) से (iv) डाक ओवरसियर और डाकिया के संबंध में	पंकिल अध्यक्ष/महाडाकपाल निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं। निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं, निदेशक मुख्य डाकघर प्रभाग अध्यक्ष प्रभाग अध्यक्ष प्रभाग अध्यक्ष डाक सेवाएं/मुख्य महाडाकपाल उप निदेशक (डाकघर) उप मुख्य डाकपाल प्रभाग अध्यक्ष
4. सभी अन्य पद समूह (ग)	उपनिदेशक, (मुख्य डाकघर), समूह ख में उप मुख्य डाकपाल/ज्येष्ठ डाकपाल/उच्चतर और निम्नतर चयन श्रेणी में डाकपाल (अपने कार्यालय में) (किसी नगर के उप कार्यालय के भारसाधक डाकपाल को छोड़कर सभी अन्य कार्यालयों में प्रभाग अध्यक्ष	उप निदेशक (मुख्य डाकघर) समूह ख में उप मुख्य डाकपाल/ज्येष्ठ डाकपाल/प्रभाग अध्यक्ष (अपने कार्यालय में) सहायक निदेशक (मुख्य डाकघर) उच्चतर चयन श्रेणी में उप डाकपाल (अपने कार्यालय में) निम्नतर चयन श्रेणी में डाकपाल (अपने कार्या- लय में) (सभी अन्य कार्यालयों में)	सभी (i) से (iv) (i) से (iv) (i) से (iv)	महानिदेशक/महाडाकपाल निदेशक डाक सेवाएं/मुख्य महाडाकपाल उप निदेशक (डाकघर) उप मुख्य डाकपाल प्रभाग अध्यक्ष
5. (ग) गभी पद	उपनिदेशक, डाकघर समूह ख में उप मुख्य डाकपाल (अपने कार्यालय में) (किसी नगर के उप कार्या- लय के भारसाधक डाकपाल को छोड़कर) उच्चतर और निम्नतर, चयन श्रेणी में ज्येष्ठ डाकपाल, प्रकाश सभी अन्य कार्यालयों में उप प्रभाग अध्यक्ष	उपनिदेशक (मुख्य डाकघर) समूह, सभी ख में उप मुख्य डाकपाल/ज्येष्ठ डाकपाल/प्रभाग अध्यक्ष (अपने कार्यालय में) उच्चतर और निम्नतर चयन श्रेणी में डाकपाल/ उप प्रभाग का अध्यक्ष (सभी अन्य डाकघरों में)	सभी (i) से (iv) (i) से (iv)	महाडाकपाल निदेशक, डाक सेवाएं निदेशक (मुख्य डाकघर) मुख्य डाकपाल। प्रभाग अध्यक्ष

[सं० 12-6/89-विग III]

अरुण कुमार, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS

(Department of Posts)

New Delhi, the 27th August, 1990

S.O. 2454.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of rule 9, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 12 and sub-rule (1) of rule 24 of Central Civil Services Classification, Control and Appeal Rules, 1965 and in supersession of the notifications of Government of India in the Ministry of Communications (Department of Posts) No. S.O. 1587, dated the 17th June, 1989, published in Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 8th July, 1989; the President hereby orders that,—

1. In respect of the posts in General Central Services Group 'B' specified in column 1 of Part 1 of the Schedule to this Order, the authority specified in column 2, shall be the appointing authority and the authority specified in column 3 shall be the disciplinary authority in regard to the penalties specified in column 4;

2. in respect of the posts in General (Central Services Group 'C' and Group 'D' specified in column 1 of Part II of the said Schedule, the authority specified in column 2 shall be the appointing authority and the authorities specified in columns 3 and 5 shall be the disciplinary authority and appellate authority respectively in regard to the penalties specified in column 4.

SCHEDULE

PART I — GENERAL CENTRAL SERVICES, GROUP 'B'

Description of Post	Appointing Authority	Authority competent to impose penalties and penalties which it may impose (with reference to item numbers in rule 11)	
		Authority	Penalties
1	2	3	4
DEPARTMENT OF POSTS			
All Posts	Director General, (Posts)	Director General, (Posts) Member (Personnel), Postal Services Board/ Head of Circle	All (i) to (iv)

PART II — GENERAL CENTRAL SERVICES, GROUP 'C' AND GROUP 'D'

Description of Posts	Appointing Authority	Authority competent to impose penalties and penalties which it may impose (with reference to item numbers in rule 11)		Appellate Authority
		Authority	Penalties	
1	2	3	4	5
Office of the Director General (Posts)				
1. All Posts, Group 'C'	Secretary, Postal Services Board	Secretary, Postal Services Board Assistant Director General (Administration) (in respect of non-secretariat posts maximum pay of which does not exceed Rs. 2040/-)	All (i) to (iv)	Member (Personnel), Postal Services Board Secretary, Postal Services Board
All Posts, Group 'D'	Assistant Director General (Administration)	Assistant Director General (Administration)	All	Secretary, Postal Services Board

CIRCLE AND REGIONAL OFFICES INCLUDING POSTAL ACCOUNTS, RETURNED LETTER OFFICE, DISPENSARIES, PRINTING PRESS AND POSTAL STAFF COLLEGE OF INDIA.

1	2	3	4	5
1. Office Superintendent, Junior Accounts Officer (Group 'C')	Head of Circle	Head of circle	All (i) to (iv)	Member (Personnel), Postal Services Board Head of Circle
2. Staff in higher selection grade, Assistant Superintendent Post Offices/Assistant Superintendent Railway Mail Services/Inspector of Post Offices/Inspector of Railway Mail Services, Senior and Junior Accountants, Photosetter, Operator, Senior Artist, Artist Retoucher, Assistant Artist Retoucher, Junior Artist, Key Board Operator, Cameraman, Machineman Grade I, Section holder, Foreman, General Store Keeper, Head Computer and Staff of identical scale of pay (Group C)	Postmaster General/Director/Joint Director, Postal Staff College of India/Director Accounts (Postal)/Deputy Director Accounts (Postal)	Postmaster General/Director/Joint Director, Postal Staff College of India/Director Accounts (Postal)/Deputy Director Accounts (Postal). Assistant Postmaster General/Deputy Director/Assistant Director/Manager Printing Press/Accounts Officer.	All (i) to (iv)	Head of Circle/Postmaster General Postmaster General/Director/Director of Accounts

1	2	3	4	5
3. All other posts (Group 'C')	Director Accounts (Postal)/ Deputy Director Accounts (Postal)/Assistant Post- master General/Deputy Director of Postal Services/ Assistant Director Postal Services/Manager Printing Press	Director Accounts (Postal)/ Deputy Director Accounts (Postal)/Assistant Post- master General/Deputy Director of Postal Services/ Assistant Director of Postal Services/Manager Printing Press Medical Officer Incharge/ Deputy Manager (Printing Press)/Accounts Officer/ Manager, Returned Letter Office	All (i) to (iv)	Chief Postmaster General/ Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services Deputy Director of Postal Services/Assistant Post- master General/Director of Postal Services/Director of Accounts (Postal)/Deputy Director of Accounts (Postal) Manager (Printing Press)
4. All posts (Group 'D')	Assistant Postmaster General/Assistant Director of Postal Services/Manager (Printing Press)/Accounts Officer/Medical Officer Incharge	Assistant Postmaster General/Assistant Director of Postal Services/Manager (Printing Press)/Accounts Officer/Medical Officer Incharge Office Superintendent/ Manager Returned Letter Office/Deputy Manager (Printing Press)	All (i) to (iv)	Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services /Director Accounts (Postal)/Deputy Director Accounts (Postal) Assistant Postmaster General/Manager (Printing Press)

SAVINGS BANK CONTROL ORGANISATION IN HEAD POST OFFICES

1	2	3	4	5
1. All posts in Selection/ Clerical Grades (Group 'C')	Director of Postal Services/Director (G.P.O.)	Director of Postal Services/ Director (G.P.O.) Head of Division/Chief Postmaster/Senior Post- master directly under Director Postal Services/ Accounts Officer	All (i) to (iv)	Chief Postmaster General Director of Postal Services/ Director (G.P.O.)
2. All Posts (Group 'D')	Deputy Director (P.O.) Deputy Chief Postmaster in Group 'B'/Senior Postmaster/Postmaster in Higher Selection grade	Deputy Director (PO)/ Deputy Chief Postmaster in Group 'B' Senior Postmaster/ Head of Division Assistant Director (PO)/ Postmaster in Higher Selection Grade	All All (i) to (iv)	Director (GPO)/ Chief Postmaster Chief Postmaster General Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services Deputy Director (PO)/ Head of Division

INTERNAL CHECK ORGANISATION AND CIRCLE PAIRING UNIT IN CIRCLE OFFICES AND CENTRAL PAIRING UNIT, NEW DELHI

1	2	3	4	5
1. All Posts in Selection/ Clerical Grades (Group 'C')	Postmaster General/ Director Postal Services	Postmaster General/ Director Postal Services Accounts Officer	All (i) to (iv)	Head of the circle Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services

1	2	3	4	5
2. All Posts (Group 'C')	Assistant Postmaster General Assistant Director of Postal Services	Assistant Postmaster General Assistant Director of Postal Services/Office Superintendent/Account Officer	All (i) to (iv)	Postmaster General/ Director of Postal Services Assistant Postmaster General

NB : The Junior Account Officers whenever posted to the saving Banks Control Organisations, Internal Check Organisation etc. Pairing Units will be governed by the powers applicable to the cadre given in the Schedule.

FOREIGN POST OFFICES

1	2	3	4	5
1. Ministerial Staff in Selection Grade/French Translator/Assistant Superintendent of Post Offices/Inspectors of Post Offices (Group 'C')	Controller Foreign Mails/ Director Foreign Posts	Controller Foreign Mails/ Director Foreign Posts Assistant Controller (Foreign Mails)/Assistant Director (Foreign Posts)/ Superintendent (Foreign Mails)	All (i) to (iv)	Member (Operations) Postal Services Board/ Head of Circle Controller (Foreign Mails)/ Director Foreign Posts
2. Ministerial Staff in Clerical Grade (Grade 'C') and All Posts (Group 'D')	Director (Foreign Posts)/ Assistant Controller (Foreign Mails)/Superin- tendent (Foreign Mails)/ Assistant Director (Foreign Posts)	Director (Foreign Posts)/ Assistant Controller (Foreign Mails)/Superintendent (Foreign Mails)/Assistant Director (Foreign Posts)	All	Head of Circle/Controller Foreign Mails/Director Foreign Posts

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF POSTAL LIFE INSURANCE

1	2	3	4	5
1. Ministerial Staff in selection Grade (Group 'C')	Director (Postal Life Insurance)	Director (Postal Life Insurance) Deputy Director (Postal Life Insurance)	All (i) to (iv)	Deputy Director General (PLI), Postal Life Insurance Postal Services Board Director (Postal Life Insurance)
2. All other Posts (Group 'C')	Deputy Director (Postal Life Insurance)	Deputy Director (Postal Life Insurance)	All	Director (Postal Life Insurance)
3. All Posts (Group 'D')	Assistant Director (Postal Life Insurance)	Assistant Director (Postal Life Insurance)	All	Deputy Director (Postal Life Insurance)

OFFICES OF SUPERINTENDENT POSTAL FORMS AND SEALS, POSTAL STORE DEPOT/
CIRCLE STAMP DEPOT

1	2	3	4	5
1. Junior Accounts Officer (Group 'C')	Head of Circle	Head of Circle Director of Postal Services	All (i) of (iv)	Member (Finance)/Member (Personnel), Postal Services Board Head of Circle

1	2	3	4	5
2. Posts in Higher and Lower Selection Grades, Assistant Superintendent of Post Offices, Assistant Superintendent of Railways Mails, Inspectors of Post Offices, Inspectors of Railway Mail, (Group 'C')	Postmaster General/ Director of Postal Services	Postmaster General/ Director of Postal Services	All	Head of Cadre / Member (personnel) Postal Services Board
		Superintendent	(i) to (iv)	Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services
3 All other Posts (Group 'C')	Superintendent	Superintendent	All	Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services
4 All Posts (Group 'D')	Superintendent	Superintendent	All	Assistant Postmaster General

OFFICE OF MANAGER, POSTAL MAIL MOTOR SERVICES AND REGIONAL WORKSHOPS FOR POSTAL MACHINES

1	2	3	4	5
1 Staff on deputation in Mail Motor Service, Regional Workshops (Group 'C')	Appropriate Appointing Authority in parent office	Appropriate disciplinary Authority in parent office Senior Manager/Manager	All (i) to (iv)	Appropriate Appointing Authority in parent office Chief Postmaster General/ Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services
2 Staff in Selection Grade Inspector, Mail Motor Service and Garage Foreman (Group 'D')	Chief Postmaster General/ Postmaster General/ Director of postal Services	Chief Postmaster General/ Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services Senior Manager/Manager	All (i) to (iv)	Member (Personnel)/ chief Postmaster General/ Postmaster General Director of Postal Services
3. Drivers/Despatch riders and all other Posts (Group 'C')	Senior Manager/Manager	Senior Manager/Manager/ Deputy Manager	All (i) to (iv)	Director of Postal Services. Senior Manager/Manager
4. All Posts (Group 'D')	Senior Manager/Manager/ Deputy Manager	Senior Manager/Manager/ Deputy Manager.	All	Director Postal Services/ Senior Manager/Manager.

POSTAL TRAINING CENTRES

1	2	3	4	5
1. Ministerial Staff in Higher Selection Grade, Instructor in the cadre of Assistant Superintendent of Post Offices/Assistant Inspector of Railway Mail Services/Inspector of Post Offices/Inspector of Railway Mail Services and Artist/Designer (Group 'C')	Principal	Principal Vice Principal	All (i) to (iv)	Member (Personnel) Postal Services Board. Principal
2. All other Posts (Group 'C')	Vice Principal	Vice Principal Administrative Officer	All (i) to (iv)	Principal. Vice Principal
3. All Posts (Group 'D')	Administrative Officer	Administrative Officer	All	Vice Principal
4. Departmental Officials deputed for training (Group 'C' & 'D')	Appropriate Appointing Authority in Parent Cadre	Vice Principal	(i) to (iv)	Principal

POSTAL AND RAILWAY MAIL SERVICE DIVISIONAL OFFICES AND SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICES

1	2	3	4	5
1. Assistant Superintendent of Post Offices/Assistant Superintendent Railway Mail Services/Inspector of Post Offices/Inspector of Railway Mail Services/Ministerial Staff in Higher Selection Grade, Junior Engineer (Civil) & Junior Engineer (Electrical) (Group C).	Postmaster General/ Director of Postal Services	Postmaster General/ Director of Postal Services	All	Head of Circle
		Head of Division	(i) to (iv)	Director Postal Services
2. All other posts (Group C)	Head of Division	Head of Division	All	Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services
3. All posts (Group D)	Head of Division/Sub Division	Head of Division	All	Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services
		Head of Sub-Division	(i) to (iv)	Head of Division

RAILWAY MAIL SERVICE OFFICES

1	2	3	4	5
1. Ministerial Staff in Higher Selection Grade/Assistant Superintendent Railway Mail Services/Inspector Railway Mail Services (Group C)	Director of Postal Services	Director of Postal Services	All	Chief Postmaster General/ Postmaster General
2. Ministerial Staff in Lower Selection Grade (Group C)	Director of Postal Services/ Head of Division	Director of Postal Services/ Head of Division	All	Chief Postmaster General/ Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services
3. Head Mailguards/Mailguards (Group C)	Head of Division	Head of Division	All	Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services
		Superintendent (Sorting)/ Assistant Superintendent Railway Mail/Inspector Railway Mail of the Office/ Head of Sub-Division	(i) to (iv)	Head of Division
4. All other posts (Group C)	Head of Division	Head of Division	All	Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services
		Superintendent (Sorting/ Headquarters)/Assistant Superintendent Railway Mail (Mail Office)	(i) to (iv)	Head of Division
5. All posts (Group D)	Head Record Officer (in his own office, in offices at the same station and in sections of the same Divisions attached to it); Selection Grade Record Officer or Sub-Record Officer (in his own office and in offices at the same station attached to it and in sections of the same Division attached to it), Lower Selection Grade Platform Inspector, if Record	Senior Superintendent of Railway Mails/ Superintendent of Railway Mail Superintendent (Sorting) in respect of all staff under his control	All	Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services
			All	Senior Superintendent of Railway Mails

1	2	3	4	5
	Office is not situated on the platform; Inspector of Railway Mails/Assistant Superintendent of Railway Mails (in other offices and sections under his jurisdiction)	Head Record Officer (in his own office and in offices at the same station and in sections of the same Division attached to it); Selection Grade Record Officer or Sub-Record Officer (in his own office and in offices at the same station attached to it and in sections of the same Division attached to it); Lower Selection Grade Platform Inspector, if Record Office is not situated on the platform, Inspector of Railway Mails/Assistant Superintendent of Railway Mails (in other offices and sections under his jurisdiction)	(i) to (iv)	Senior Superintendent of Railway Mails, Superintendent of Railway Mails

POST OFFICES

1	2	3	4	5
1. Postmaster/Ministerial Staff in Higher Selection Grade/Assistant Superintendent of Post Offices/Inspector Post Offices (Group C)	Director of Postal Services	Director of Postal Services/ Director (G.P.O.) Head of Division/Senior Postmaster in Group 'B'/ Deputy Director (P.O.)/ Chief Postmaster.	All (i) to (iv)	Chief Postmaster General/ Postmaster General/ Director of Postal Services/ Director (G.P.O.)
2. Postmaster in Lower Selection Grade/Ministerial in Lower Selection Grade.	Director of Postal Services/ Director (G.P.O.) /Head of Division/Chief Postmaster.	Director of Postal Services/ Director (G.P.O.)/Head of Division Deputy Director (P.O.)/ Chief Postmaster/Senior Postmaster in Group 'B'	All (i) to (iv)	Chief Postmaster General/ Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services, Head of Circle/Postmaster General/Director of Postal Service/Director (G.P.O.)
3. Postmaster in Time Scale/ Ministerial Staff in time scale/Mail Overseers/ Cash Overseers Sorting Postman/Overseer Postman/ Head Postman/Reader Postman/Departmental Branch Postmaster/Despatch Rider (Group C)	Head of Division Deputy Director (P.O.)/Deputy Chief Postmaster in Group 'B'	Head of Division Deputy Director (P.O.)/ Deputy Chief Postmaster in Group 'B'	All All	Head of Circle/Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services Director of Postal Services/Director (G.P.O.)
		Senior Postmaster Postmaster/Sub Postmaster in Higher Selection Grade (in his own office)	(i) to (iv) (i) (in respect of the officials of the cadre of Postal Assistants)	Head of Division Head of Division

1	2	3	4	5
		Postmaster/Sub-Postmaster in Higher Selection Grade (in his own office).	(i) to (iv) (in respect of officials in the cadre of Postmen)	Head of Division
		Inspector of Post Offices/ Assistant Superintendent of Post Offices.	(i) to (iv) (in respect of Mail Overseer and Postman)	Head of Division
4. All other posts (Group C)	Deputy Director (PO)/ Deputy Chief Postmaster in group 'B'/Senior Postmaster/Postmaster in Higher or Lower Selection Grade (in his own office) except postmaster in charge of a Town Sub-office of Head of Division (in all other offices).	Deputy Director (PO)/ Deputy Chief Postmaster in Group 'B'/Senior Postmaster/Head of Division.	All	Head of Circle/Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services/Director (GPO) / Chief Postmaster
		Assistant Director (PO) (in his own office)/ Postmaster/Deputy Postmaster in Higher Selection Grade (in his own office)/ Postmaster in Lower Selection Grade (in his own office) Head of Sub Division (in all other offices).	(i) to (iv)	Deputy Director (PO)/ Deputy Chief Postmaster/ Head of Division
5. All posts (Group D)	Deputy Director (PO)/ Deputy Chief Postmaster in Group 'B'/Senior Postmaster/Postmaster in Higher Selection Grade and Lower Selection Grade (Except a Postmaster in charge of a Town Sub Office) in his own office/Head of Sub Division (in all other offices)	Deputy Director (PO)/ Deputy Chief Postmaster in Group 'B'/Senior Postmaster/Head of Division	All	Postmaster General/Director of Postal Services/Director (GPO)/Chief Postmaster.
		Postmaster in Higher Selection Grade and Lower Selection Grade (in his own office)/Head of Sub Division (in all other post offices.)	(i) to (iv)	Head of Division

[No. 12-6/89-Vig III]

ARUN KUMAR, Director

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 24 जुलाई, 1990

का.आ. 2455—लघुचित्र (प्रमाणन) नियम, 1983 के नियम 7 और 8 तथा चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस विषय पर पूर्व अधिसूचनाओं का अधि-क्रमण करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, केन्द्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के बंगलौर सलाहकार पैनल का पुनर्गठन करती है और निम्न-लिखित व्यक्तियों को दिनांक 16 जुलाई, 1990 से, अगले आदेशों तक उक्त पैनल का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है :—

1. श्री वाई एम कृष्णामूर्ति
2. श्री प्रकाश पादुकोन

3. सुश्री शांति राव
4. प्रो. डी जावेरे गोडा
5. श्री पारिल पुटप्पा
6. श्री पु.टे गोडा
7. सुश्री संजुला प्रभाक
8. सुश्री सुशीला नायडु

2. उपर्युक्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार यह भी निदेश देती है कि ऊपर बताए अनुसार केन्द्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के बंगलौर सलाहकार पैनल के पुनर्गठन के साथ ही पैनल के वर्तमान सदस्य उसी तारीख से पैनल के सदस्य नहीं रहेंगे।

[फाइल सं. 814/8/90—एफ (सी)]

New Delhi, the 24th July, 1990

S.O. 2455.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Cinematograph Act 1952 (37 of 1952) and rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules 1983 and in supersession of the earlier Notifications on the subject, the Central Government is pleased to reconstitute the Bangalore advisory panel of the Central Board of Film Certification and to appoint the following persons as members of the said panel with effect from 16th July, 1990 and until further orders :—

1. Shri Y. N. Krishnamurthy
2. Shri Prakash Padukone
3. M/s. Shanti Rao
4. Prof. D. Javere Gowda
5. Shri Patil Puttappa
6. Shri Putta Gowde
7. M/s. Manjula Prabhakar
8. M/s. Susheela Naidu.

2. In exercise of the aforesaid powers, the Central Government also directs that with the reconstitution of the Bangalore advisory panel of the Central Board of Film Certification as mentioned above, the existing members of the panel shall cease to be members of the panel with effect from the same date.

[File No. 814/8/90-F(C)]

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 1 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 2456:—चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37) के खंड 5 के उप-खंड (1) तथा चलचित्र (प्रमाणन) नियमावली 1983 के नियम 7 और 8 में प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 14-5-90 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचना के अनुक्रम में, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री एस. राधाकृष्णन को दिनांक 30 जुलाई, 1990 से अगले आदेशों तक केन्द्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के मद्रास सलाहकार पैनल के सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फाइल सं. 814/2/90-एफ (सी)]

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTINGS

New Delhi, the 1st August, 1990

S.O. 2456.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952) and rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules 1983 and in continuation of this Ministry's Notification of even number dated 14-5-90, the Central Government is pleased to appoint Shri S. Radhakrishnan as a member of the Madras Advisory Panel of the Central Board of Film Certification with effect from 30th July, 1990 and until further orders.

[File No. 814/2/90-F(C)]

का.आ. 2457.—चलचित्र (प्रमाणन) नियम, 1984 के नियम 8 तथा चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37) की उपधारा (i) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए इस मंत्रालय के दिनांक 10-4-90 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचना के अनुक्रम में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, केन्द्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के कलकत्ता सलाहकार पैनल में निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को दिनांक 30-7-90 से अगले आदेशों तक, सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है :—

1. श्री सुनील गांगुली
2. श्रीमती देवजानी चालिहा
3. श्री प्रलय सूर
4. श्री पारितोष सेन
5. श्री बुद्धदेव गुहा

6. श्री अबुल बशर
7. श्री वसन्त चौधरी
8. श्री छिदानंद दान गुप्ता
9. श्रीमती अरुणा बोस
10. श्री एस वी रमन
11. श्री पूर्णेंद्रु पाटगिया

[फा. सं. 814/5/90-एफ (सी)]

S.O. 2457.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952) and rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules 1983 and in continuation of Notification of even number dated 10-4-90, the Central Government is pleased to appoint the following persons as members of the Calcutta Advisory Panel of the Central Board of Film Certification with effect from 30-7-90 and until further orders.

1. Shri Sunil Ganguly
2. Smt. Devjani Chaliha
3. Shri Pralay Sur
4. Shri Paritosh Sen
5. Shri Buddhadeb Guha
6. Shri Abul Bashar
7. Shri Vasant Chowdhury
8. Shri Chidananda Dasgupta
9. Smt. Aruna Bose
10. Shri S. V. Raman
11. Shri Purnendu Patra

[File No. 814/5/90-F(C)]

नई दिल्ली, 16 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 2458.—चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37) के खंड 5 के उप खंड (1) तथा चलचित्र (प्रमाणन) नियमावली, 1983 के नियम 7 और 8 में प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस विषय पर इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 9 जुलाई, 1990 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचना के अनुक्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को दिनांक 25 जुलाई, 90 से अगले आदेशों तक केन्द्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के बंबई सलाहकार पैनल के सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है :

1. सुश्री डाली ठाकुर
2. श्री शर्मा रमेश कुमार
3. श्रीमती मरजोरी सी. अलमोदा
4. श्रीमती सरोजिनी एस. वैद्य
5. डा. याजनिक ए. हरमुखारी
6. श्री सुनील ठाकुरदाम दोषी
7. श्रीमती विद्या हेगड़े
8. श्रीमती शाबरी शेटी
9. सुश्री मंजु गुप्ता
10. श्रीमती पुष्पा भारती

[फाइल सं 814/6/90-एफ(सी)]

टी. एस. अरमु, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 16th August, 1990

S.O. 2458.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Cinematograph Act 1952 (37 of 1952) and rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules 1983 and in continuation to this Ministry's

Notification of even number dated 9th July, 1990 on the subject, the Central Government is pleased to appoint the following persons as members of the Bombay Advisory Panel of the Central Board of Film Certification with effect from 25th July, 1990 and until further orders :—

1. M/s. Dolly Thakore
2. Shri Shabbir Yusuf Kochra
3. Smt. Marjorie C. Almeida
4. Smt. Sarojini S. Vaidya
5. Dr. Yajnik A. Harsukhari
6. Shri Sunil Thakordas Doshi
7. Smt. Vidya Hedge
8. Smt. Shabari Shetty
9. M/s. Manju Gupta
10. Smt. Pushpa Bharati

[File No. 814/6/90-F(C)]
T. S. ARASU, Desk Officer (C)

नई दिल्ली, 24 अगस्त, 1990

का. अ. 2459.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 5क, की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री लाल बहादुर सिंह के स्थान पर श्री समर चक्रवर्ती को केन्द्रीय न्यायी बोर्ड का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है और भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र भाग-II, खंड 3 उपखंड (ii) विनांक 18 सितम्बर, 1985 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. अ. 677 (ई) विनांक 18 सितम्बर, 1985 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है :—

उक्त अधिसूचना में क्रम संख्या 32 के सामने की प्रविष्टि को स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि रखी जाएगी अर्थात् :

“श्री समर चक्रवर्ती,
वाइस प्रेसीडेंट,
आई.एन.टी.यू.सी. बंगाल ब्रांच,
117/बी आचार्य जगदीश बोस रोड,
कलकत्ता।

[सं बी-20012(2)/89-स.सु.-II]

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 24th August, 1990

S.O. 2459.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5A of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Samar Chakraborti as a member of the Central Board of Trustee in place of Shri Lal Bahadur Singh and makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 677(E) dated the 18th September, 1985 published in Part-II section 3, sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India extraordinary dated the 18th September, 1985.

In the said notification, against serial No. 32 and entries relating thereto, the following shall be substituted, namely :—

“Shri Samar Chakraborti,
Vice President,
INTUC Bengal Branch,
177/B Acharya Jagadish Bose Road,
Calcutta.”

[No. V-20012(2)/89-SS.II]

नई दिल्ली, 29 अगस्त, 1990

का. अ. 2460.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उपधारा (3) प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार

एतद्वारा 16-9-90 को उस तारीख से रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (धारा-44 और 45 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) और अध्याय-5 और 6 [धारा-76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है] के उपबंध पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्र में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्

“दुर्गापुर अधिसूचित क्षेत्र”

[संख्या : एस-38013/19/90-एस.एस.-1]

ऐ. के. भट्टारай, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th August, 1990

S.O. 2460.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 16th September, 1990 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except sub-section (1) of sections 76, and 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of West Bengal namely :—

“Durgapur Notified Area”.

[No. S-38013/19/90-SS.I]

A. K. BHATTARAI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली 27 अगस्त, 1990

अधिसूचना

का. अ. 2461 : औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बम्बई लौच सर्विसि प्रा. लि. बम्बई के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण सं. 1, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 27th, August, 1990

S.O. 2461.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 1 Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Bombay Launch Services Pvt. Ltd., Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 24-8-90.

[No. L-31011/10/89-IR(Misc.)]

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1 AT BOMBAY

(PRESIDING OFFICER, JUSTICE S. N. KHATRI)
Reference No. CGIT-23 of 1989

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the Management of M/s. Bombay Launch Services Pvt. Ltd., Bombay.

AND

Their Workmen

APPEARANCES :

For the Management.—Shri Talsania, Advocate.

For the Workmen.—Shri Anchan, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Ports & Docks STATE : Maharashtra.
Bombay, dated the 20th day of August, 1990

AWARD

The Central Government has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Pom bay Launch Services Pvt. Ltd., Bombay in terminating the services of S/Shri Yasin Hasan Solkar, Nooruddin Ibrahim Mukadam, Bashir Ibrahim Mirkar and Nooruddin Ismail Sakhaikar, Launch Khaslais, w.e.f. 31st October, 1987 is justified ? If not, what relief are the workmen concerned entitled to ?"

2. Today when the matter was called out for final hearing, Shri Anchan, Advocate for the Union filed a statement that as the Workmen are not interested in contesting the matter, the reference may be disposed of as withdrawn. In the circumstances obtaining, the Tribunal disposes of the reference without any orders, except that the parties shall bear their costs as incurred. Award accordingly.

S. N. KHATRI, Presiding Officer
[No. L-31011/10/189 IR (Msc.)]
V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली 28 अगस्त, 1990

का. आ 4262 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारत पेट्रोलियम कार्पोरेशन लि., बम्बई के प्रबन्धसत्त के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण सं. 1 बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-8-90 प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 28th August, 1990

S.O. 2462.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 1, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 27-8-90.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, AT BOMBAY

(PRESIDING OFFICER, JUSTICE S. N. KHATRI)
Reference No. CGIT-51 of 1989

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Bombay.

AND

Their Workmen

APPEARANCES :

For the Management.—Shri Pota and Palshikar, Advocates.

For the Workmen.—Shri R. S. Parmar, Advocate.
INDUSTRY : Oil & Natural Gas STATE : Maharashtra.

Bombay, dated the 17th day of August, 1990

AWARD PART-I

The Central Government has referred the following Industrial Dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the action of the management of Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. in dismissing Mr. Ahmed P. Patel, Driver, from service vide their order dated 31-8-1987 is justified. If not, what relief is the workman entitled to ?"

2. This part of the Award disposes of the preliminary issue whether the domestic inquiry stands vitiated inter alia on account of the Enquiry Officers refusal to allow the Workman to be represented by an Advocate.

3. The material facts relating to the preliminary issue are not in dispute. Ahmed Peer Mohammad Patel (hereafter 'the Workman') had been in the employ of the Bharat Petroleum Corporation, Ltd., Bombay (hereafter 'the Management') as Heavy Vehicle Driver since August 1982. A domestic inquiry was started against him on the orders of the Senior Installation Manager, Sewree, dated 24-4-1987, on the charges of "Fraud/dishonesty in connection with the employer's business" and "committing an act subversive of discipline". The allegations against the Workman were that in the application made by him to the Management on 23-8-82 (Ex. M-1) for employment, he intentionally gave false date of his birth, namely, 19-8-53, and also produced a document purporting to be an original school certificate showing this date as his birth date. In 1970, the Workman had made an application to the Regional Transport Authority for obtaining a heavy vehicle driving license in which he had stated 19-8-46 as his birth date. On investigation with the authorities of the K.M.S. Parel Night High School, it turned out that School Leaving Certificate No. 3196 dated 30-10-69 produced by the Workman at the time of his employment was not at all issued to him any time, and further that the certificate bearing No. 3196 was in fact issued to one Ahmed Peer Mohammad Pathan on 30-7-69, a date different from 30-10-69 obtaining on the certificate produced by the Workman.

4. The inquiry was entrusted to one Shri V. B. Pitale, an officer of the Management. He issued the charge sheet to the Workman and fixed the inquiry for 4-5-87. On that date, the matter was adjourned to 18-5-87 to enable the Workman to prepare his case and bring his co-employee for assistance. On 18-5-87, the Workman appeared with his co-employee Gaikwad and made an application for copies of all papers so that Gaikwad could study them properly. The Enquiry Officer held that all the documents of which copies were required by the Workman, were in his own possession and as such his request for copies could not be granted. However he was pleased to adjourn the matter to 18-6-87, because of Ramzan fasting. On 18-6-87 the Presenting Officer told the Enquiry Officer that he would only file documents and not lead any evidence. On the request of the Workman for time to produce certain documents from the school, the matter was adjourned to 25-6-87. On that date, the Workman again requested for adjournment on the ground that he wanted to engage an Advocate and also pressed for copies of documents on which the charges were framed against him. The Enquiry Officer declined the first request on the ground that the Workman had already availed himself of Gaikwad's assistance. As regards the second request, he made an order that copies would be furnished to the Workman, as and when the Presenting Officer tendered them. Then the Presenting Officer started tendering one document after the order, and explaining their relevancy and the Enquiry Officer went on exhibiting them. The enquiry ended without any evidence being recorded on the side of the Management. After the Management closed their case, the Enquiry Officer put a solitary question to Gaikwad, whether the latter wanted to say anything. Gaikwad replied that he could not prepare the explanation for the Workman, because the latter did not get copies of the documents in good time and requested for 20 days time to study the documents. The Enquiry Officer declined the request, with the following observations, which I prefer to reproduce verbatim from the record :

"Most of the documents copies of which have been submitted by the P. O. have been originally presented by the D. E. himself and the details of the

A. P. Patel have been given in the show-cause notice Ref. SEW : 103 : CON dated 12-3-1987.

It is evident that there was enough time available for Shri A. P. Patel to have obtained copies of those documents for the purpose of carrying out the required study. As request for permitting time for explanation as well as adjournment of enquiry proceedings were adequately acceded to and in order that the enquiry is not delayed any further, which would amount to delaying justice I am unable to grant this request."

5. Thereupon Gaikwad told the Officer that he had nothing further to say and the latter closed the inquiry. He submitted his report dated 17-7-87 (Ex. M-8) to the Senior Installation Manager. By his order dated 31-8-87 (Ex. M-9), the General Manager (Supplies and Distribution) dismissed the Workman, holding both charges duly proved.

6. The workman states that the departmental inquiry stands vitiated on account of the Enquiry Officer's declining his requests for Lawyer and for time to study the documents and prepare his defence. According to him this has resulted in gross prejudice to him on merits. The Management by their written statement deny that any prejudice has been caused to the Workman on merits. They point out that Gaikwad's services were available to the Workman and in fact the former did effectively represent the Workman on all material dates. They further state that the Enquiry Officer was right in declining the adjournment on the last date. They have kept their option open to adduce evidence before the Tribunal, in case the enquiry is held to be defective for any reason.

7. I shall first take up the question whether the Enquiry Officer should have granted the request of the Workman to be represented by a Lawyer and whether rejection of this request has prejudicially affected the Workman's defence. Before me neither party has led oral evidence. Documents filed by them have been exhibited by consent. I have also heard the Workman in person and Shri Rele for the Management. In my opinion, in the special circumstances of the case, it was necessary for the Enquiry Officer to grant the aforesaid request of the Workman and that denial of Lawyer's assistance has resulted in depriving the Workman of reasonable opportunity to defend himself.

8. The facts are already stated in detail in paras 3 and 4 supra. It cannot be denied that the allegations made against the Workman, if duly established do constitute an offence of committing forgery, punishable under sections 467/471 I.P.C. For proving the charges, it was necessary for the Management to prove that the School Leaving Certificate which showed the birth date of the Workman as 10-8-53, was a forged document forged either by the Workman himself or someone else for him—and was knowingly used by him to obtain employment with the Management. It has to be noted that the allegation that the Workman represented 1946 as his birth year while applying to the Regional Transport Authority for license for driving a heavy vehicle, does not ipso facto prove that his real birth date is 19-8-46, much less that the school leaving certificate is forged or that the date 19-8-53 mentioned in the school record and the application for employment to the Management is false. It is equally probable that the date represented to the R.T.A. may be incorrect or false, the motive for the Workman being to pass off as a major and eligible for the licence. What I mean to impress is that the facts involved in the inquiry were complicated, with pretty serious consequences for the Workman. A Lawyer's assistance was absolutely necessary, to enable the Workman to effectively defend himself.

9. The prejudice resulting from the denial of this opportunity to the Workman is writ large on the enquiry proceedings themselves. The Enquiry Officer took the rather unusual course of exhibiting one document after the other, as tendered by the Presenting Officer. It did not occur to him that evidence of witnesses concerned, was absolutely necessary not only to enable the Workman to cross-examine them, but primarily also for the Management themselves to establish their serious allegations against the Workman. The

Enquiry Officer did not ask any questions to the Workman on the several documents, which he placed on record, without obtaining the Workman's consent or examining any witnesses. Initially he declined to grant copies of certain documents to the Workman on the unsustainable ground that the originals ought to be in possession of the latter. Then he failed to realise that it was not enough for the Workman to get copies of documents, as and when it suited the convenience of the Management to produce them. Needless to say, a Workman—particularly in a case like the present one, which involved complicated allegations with serious consequences—should be entitled to get copies of all documents (at least all material documents) sought to be relied upon by the Management in advance before examination of witnesses starts, so that he can have a conspectus of the Management's case and evidence in one piece and effectively and meaningfully prepare his defence. On 25-6-87, it appears that the Management did furnish copies of the several documents produced by them and exhibited by the Enquiry Officer. However the Enquiry Officer failed to appreciate that it would be well-high impossible for the Workman, even with the assistance of Gaikwad—who is no more than a Clerk—to explain as many as ten documents and adverse circumstances. Indeed the situation was made virtually intractable by the Enquiry Officer's failure to put each document to the Workman and invite his explanation on adverse circumstances. I am pointing out these aspects, not to blame the Enquiry Officer as such; my only endeavour is to stress that if the Workman had been allowed to be represented by a Lawyer, the enquiry officer also would have got the benefit of useful and right advice on material aspects—such as examining witnesses concerned in order to prove the documents and other missing links, if any, and follow the principles of natural justice. Much more important thing was that the Workman would have been properly equipped to put his defence effectively.

10. The legal position on the question relating to a Workman's right to be represented by a Lawyer is by now more or less well settled. As observed by a Division Bench of the Bombay High Court in 1986 I.L.L.N. 743 A.B. Furtado Vs Chairman and Managing Director, Bank of India, Bombay and others although ordinarily Courts should discourage the involvement of legal practitioners in domestic inquiries in order to avoid delay and complexities, nevertheless, it cannot be ignored that in exceptional cases, representation by a lawyer is necessary, for otherwise there may be a failure of the inquiry itself and a denial of a proper and effective defence. In the aforesaid case the charge against the Workman was of misappropriation and fraud in respect of Bank funds. It was held by the High Court that the charge was serious in nature, which, if proved, may invite evil consequences for the Workman, such as loss of reputation and means of livelihood. Lawyer's assistance was held necessary, despite the fact that neither the Enquiry Officer nor the Presenting Officer was an Advocate or otherwise trained in Law. In my humble opinion, the ratio of the Furtado's case applies to the fact of the present case with all force.

11. Shri Rele for the Management has relied upon a decision of the Bombay High Court (Pendse J.) in W.P. No. 1325/90 Birmoughs Welcome (India) Ltd. Vs. V. S. Gurao and one another dated 11th June, 1990, for the proposition that a lawyer cannot be permitted to represent a workman in a domestic inquiry, unless the standing orders applicable to him enable him to. The case is clearly distinguishable on facts. There the question was not whether a Workman is at all entitled to be represented by a Lawyer under any circumstances. The question was whether a Workman had a right to be represented by any person of his choice—in the case, by one Carrapiete—and the Court held that the Workman had no such choice, unless granted by Standing Orders. There is nothing in the judgment to suggest that the Carrapiete was a lawyer. The aforesaid ruling is not applicable here. If at all any authority was needed for the well established principle that in proper and special circumstances the workman has a right to be represented by a lawyer, notwithstanding that the relevant Rules do not provide for such a right, reference may be made with advantage to the Supreme Court decision reported in 1983 I.L.L.N. 314 Board of Trustees of the Port of Bombay and a Division Bench decision of our High Court in 1984 II L.L.N. 294

Ghaige Patel Transport (Pvt.) Ltd. Vs. B. K. Etale and others. It is not necessary to multiply authorities on this proposition.

12. The fact that Gaikwad had appeared for the Workman on the last 3 dates, does not, in the peculiar circumstances of the present case, make any difference. Gaikwad could do nothing for the Workman. On the last date, the Enquiry Officer adopted the unusual course of exhibiting documents, one after the other, without examining any witness connected with them. The seriousness of the questions involved was not sufficiently present to his mind. He should have granted the Workman's request for Lawyer, although it had technically come late. On the peculiar facts of the case, I am impressed by the Management's submission that Gaikwad's assistance should be treated as adequate.

13. The result of the above discussion is that in the present case serious prejudice has resulted to the Workman by the Enquiry Officer's declining the request of the Workman to engage a lawyer. In the peculiar and special circumstances of the case, the Workman was very much entitled to a Lawyer's assistance. Indeed the prejudice caused is so gross that in the words used in Furtado's case, there has been a failure of the inquiry itself. Findings on serious charges have been reached in absence of legal evidence. Indeed I would have been inclined to treat the inquiry as bad, even de hors the factor of lawyer's assistance.

14. The domestic inquiry is held to be bad in law. As the Management have reserved their option in their written statement to lead evidence on the merits of the charges, both sides will be entitled to lead evidence before the Tribunal. Costs so far to abide the final result.

S. N. KHATRI, Presiding Officer
[No. L-30012/25/89-IR (Misc.)]

का. आ. 2463:—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार बिड़ला सीमेंट वर्कस, चनापत्थर खान, चन्देरिया चित्तौड़गढ़ (राजस्थान) के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण, उदयपुर के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2463.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Udaipur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Birla Cement Works, Limestone Mine, Chinderiala, Chittorgarh, (Rajasthan) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 24-8-90.

न्यायालय औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण, उदयपुर
पीठाधीन अधिकारी : श्री वंशी लाल गुप्ता, आर.एच.जे.एम.

प्रकरण संख्या

1 सन् 89

श्री जग्गू पिता श्री भैरा जी जाट निवासी डाकवर
मिर्चाई नगर फिम्बेडा पेट्रोल पम्प के पीछे चित्तौड़गढ़—प्राथी

वक्ता

एजेंट बिड़ला सिमेंट वर्क्स चना पत्थर खान, चन्देरिया
चित्तौड़गढ़

उपस्थित:

श्री इन्द्र कुमार भट्ट, प्राथी की ओर से

श्री बी.एन. गुप्ता, विपक्षी की ओर से

दिनांक: 26-6-90

पंचाट

केन्द्रीय राज्य के पास दिनांक द्वारा जस्टिस क्रमांक
प. 1(1) [29012/35/88डी-3(बी)] दिनांक 18-1-89
द्वारा निम्न आशय का प्रयोग इस न्यायालय को प्रेषित किया
गया।

“क्या बिड़ला सिमेंट वर्क्स, चना पत्थर चन्देरिया, चित्तौड़-
गढ़ के प्रबन्धतंत्र की डम्पर ड्राइवर श्री जग्गू को 10-12-87
ने सेवाएं बर्तान करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित है ?
यदि नहीं तो कामदार किम अनुतोष का हकदार है ?

उक्त आण. का प्रयोग प्राप्त होने पर न्यायालय द्वारा
दिनांक 28-2-89 को दर्ज रजिस्टर किया जाकर पक्षकारान
को नोटिस जारी किये गये कि जिस पर प्राथी की ओर से
कलेम व विपक्षी की ओर से जवाब पेश किया गया।

प्राथी को विपक्षी द्वारा सेवा मुक्ति घरेलू जांच पर
आधारित होने से न्यायालय को सर्वप्रथम घरेलू जांच
की वैधानिकता के बाबत निश्चय करना था, लेकिन 11-6-90
को प्राथी के प्रतिनिधि ने घरेलू जांच की वैधानिकता को
कोई चुनौती नहीं दी, लेकिन आरोपित आरोप व तथ्यों
के संदर्भ में दंड को अत्याधिक कठोर व गैर अनुपातिक व
ज्यादतीपूर्ण बनाने हुये इसमें न्यायोचित रियायत का अनुरोध
किया, जबकि विपक्षी प्रतिनिधि ने इसका घोर विरोध किया।

घरेलू जांच जिस बाबत की गई उसके बाबत प्राथी पर
आरोप यह था कि प्राथी दिनांक 7-10-87 को ए शिफ्ट
में ड्यूटी में डम्पर नं. 6 आर.जे.एच. 8943 चला रहा
था जिसे प्रातः 8.30 बजे के लगभग जब प्राथी डम्पर
लेकर फेक्ट्री में स्थित पेट्रोल पम्प के पास से निकल रहा
था तो प्राथी द्वारा चलाये जा रहे डम्पर से फेक्ट्री के श्रमिक
श्री कालू राम की घटनास्थल पर ही मृत्यु हो गई और
यह घटना प्राथी की गंभीर लापरवाही एवं असावधानी से
डम्पर चलाने के कारण हुई।

उक्त आरोप के संदर्भ में प्राथी प्रतिनिधि ने विपक्षी
द्वारा दिये गये सेवा मुक्ति के दंड को अत्याधिक कठोर,
गैर अनुपातिक व ज्यादातीपूर्ण बताते हुये यह तर्क प्रकट
किया कि परिस्थिति व तथ्यों के संदर्भ में इसे अधिक से
अधिक आकस्मिक रूप से घटित घटना माना जा सकता है
जो वाहन चालक के संदर्भ में कोई अनहोनी बात नहीं है।
यह भी तर्क दिया गया कि विपक्षी के यहां अन्य डम्पर
चालक भी हैं और पूर्व में भी कई चालकों द्वारा ऐसी ही
घटना कारित की जा चुकी हैं, लेकिन उनमें से किसी को
भी सेवामुक्त नहीं किया गया है। यह भी तर्क दिया गया
कि मौजूदा घटना के समय सामने से अन्य वाहन के आने
के कारण यह घटना घटित हुई है और वास्तव में
डम्पर में तकनीकी खराबी थी जिसे ठीक कराने हेतु प्राथी
वर्कशाप में जा रहा था और इस कारण प्राथी ने जानबूझकर
किसी तरह की कोई गफलत व लापरवाही नहीं की है, अतः
उसे सेवा में निरन्तरता के साथ सम्पूर्ण वेतन के साथ पुनः
उसी पद परदस्थापित कराया जावे।

जबकि इन्फेक्शन में बिपक्षी प्रतिनिधि का वर्क है कि डम्पर में कोई तकनीकी क्षमता नहीं थी बल्कि अक्षय में प्रार्थी लापरवाहीपूर्ण तरीके से डम्पर को चला रहा था कि जिससे यह घटना घटित हुई जिससे घटनास्थल पर ही दुर्घटनाग्रस्त श्रमिक की मृत्यु हो गई। उन्होंने यह भी तर्क दिया कि जवाब दावे में निम्न अनुसार पहले भी प्रार्थी को कई लापरवाहियों के बाबत चेतावनी दी गई अतः ऐसे प्रार्थी के साथ किसी प्रकार की कोई गिरावट नहीं हो जानी चाहिए।

दोनों ओर से प्रस्तुत तर्क वितर्क के संदर्भ में इस प्रकरण की सभी परिस्थितियों पर विचार करने के उपरान्त यह देखना है कि इस प्रकरण में किम आशय का एवाड पारित किया जाना चाहिये।

सभी परिस्थितियों पर विचार करने के उपरान्त मेरी राय में प्रार्थी पर ऐसी गंभीर दुर्घटना पूर्व में कारित करने का आरोप नहीं है बल्कि इस तरह का यह आरोप पहला ही प्रतीत होता है और किसी भी परिस्थितियों में वाहन चालक द्वारा कभी भी कोई दुर्घटना हो सकती है लेकिन जब फौजदारी न्यायालय में तक संदेह से परे यह नथ्य प्रमाणित नहीं होता कि वास्तव में प्रार्थी की जानबूझकर, लापरवाही व गफलत थी तब तक सेवामुक्ति जैसा कठोर दंड देना मेरी राय में उचित नहीं है और प्रार्थी को अपने आप में सुधार करने के रूप में एक अंतिम अवसर विपक्षी के यहां सेवा में कार्यरत रहने का एक अवसर मौजूदा समय की भयंकर मंहगाई व बेरोजगारी को देखने हुए दिया जाना चाहिये।

मैंने इस संदर्भ में समझौता अधिकारी की रिपोर्ट का अवलोकन किया कि जिसमें समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा विपक्षी को यह सुझाव दिया गया था कि प्रार्थी को नौकरी से निकालने के बजाय पदावृत्ति का दंड दिया जाये और प्रार्थी को सबसे नीची श्रेणी पर नियुक्ति दे दी जाय लेकिन विपक्षी प्रबन्धक राजी नहीं हुये क्योंकि प्रार्थी का पिछला रेकार्ड खराब है। मेरी राय में विपक्षी को समझौता अधिकारी का यह सुझाव मान लेना चाहिये था क्योंकि मेरी राय में उक्त सुझाव इस प्रकरण की परिस्थिति के संदर्भ में न्यायोचित प्रतीत होता है। अतः धारा 11(ए) में प्रदत्त शक्तियों का उपयोग करते हुए प्रार्थी को सेवामुक्ति से कम दंड दिया जाना न्यायोचित प्रतीत होता है।

अतः इस प्रकरण में इम आशय का एवाड पारित किया जाता है कि बिड़ला सिमेंट वर्क्स लूना पत्थर खान, चन्देरिया, चितौड़गढ़ द्वारा डम्पर ड्राइवर श्री जगु को 10-12-87 से मेवा से पृथक् करना न्यायोचित नहीं है और इसमें धारा 11(ए) के प्रावधान के अनुसार न्यायोचित कमी करना उचित प्रतीत होता है जिसका उपयोग करते हुए आदेश दिया जाता है कि प्रार्थी को सेवा में निरन्तरता के साथ डम्पर चालक के पद से एक पद नीचे के पद पर पदस्थापित किया जाये। जहां तक सेवा मुक्ति की अवधि का वेतन दिया जाने का प्रश्न है, प्रार्थी के प्रतिनिधि ने सम्पूर्ण वेतन की

संग्रह करने का यह प्रस्ताव किया कि प्रार्थी को 10-12-87 से सेवा में आगमन से जो वेतन आप में एक गंभीर दंड है और इस कारण सेवा मुक्ति की अवधि के बाबत सम्पूर्ण वेतन दिया जाना चाहिये जबकि दावे इन्फेक्शन में विपक्षी के प्रतिनिधि का तर्क है कि प्रार्थी द्वारा उक्त घटना कारित किये जाने के कारण विपक्षी के कामगार की मृत्यु हुई है कि जिसे भी विपक्षी को कामगार क्षतिपूर्ति अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत मुआवजा देना पड़ता है और इस कारण सम्पूर्ण वेतन दिया जाना प्रार्थी को घटित घटना के उपरान्त भी पुनर्दान किया जाना होगा। इस संदर्भ में दोनों ओर से प्रस्तुत तर्क वितर्क पर विचार किये जाने के उपरान्त मेरी राय में प्रार्थी को सेवामुक्ति की अवधि के बाबत सम्पूर्ण वेतन तो दिया जाना किसी भी प्रकार से न्यायोचित प्रतीत नहीं होता लेकिन सेवामुक्ति की अवधि के बाबत एक मुश्त राशि के रूप में 3100/-—दिया जाना ही न्यायोचित प्रतीत होता है।

अतः यह एवाड सूचनार्थ केन्द्र सरकार को प्रेषित किया जाये। एवाड आज दिनांक 26-6-1990 को खूबे न्यायालय में लिखाया जाकर सुनाया गया।

वंशी बाल गुप्ता, पंठासनि अधिकारी और न्यायाधीश,
केन्द्रीय औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण
उदयपुर।

[सं. 29012/35/88-डो. 3(बी.)]

नई दिल्ली, 29 अगस्त, 1990

का. आ. 2464.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार यै. सुतना स्टोन एंड लाईम को. लि., सतना (म.प्र.) के प्रबंधन के संबंध में निम्नलिखितों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 29th August, 1990

S.O. 2464.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Sutna Stone and Lime Co. Ltd., Satna (M.P.) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 27-8-90.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. N. SHUKLA, PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)/(15/1984

PARTIES:-

Employers in relation to the management of Sutna Stone and Lime Co. Satna (M.P.) and their workmen Shri Shreepal Singh, Mazdoor, C/o Vice President, Samyukta Khadan Avam Choona Kamgar Union, Sahid Samarak Bhandari, Sagmoria, Satna (M.P.)

APPEARANCES :

For Workman—Shri B. S. Bisen, Advocate.

For Management—Shri P. D. Pathak, Advocate

INDUSTRY : Limestone Mining—

DISTRICT : Satna (M.P.)

AWARD

Dated : August 13, 1993

This is a reference made by the Central Government, Ministry of Labour & its Notification No. L-29012/55/83-D.III(B) Dated 18th February, 1984, for adjudication of the following dispute :—

"Whether the action of the management of Raghuraj-nagar Limestone Mine of Messrs Satna Stone and Lime Co. Ltd. Satna (M.P.) in dismissing Shri Shreepal Singh, Mazdoor, with effect from 15-12-82 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. Undisputed facts of the case are that Shri Shreepal Singh, Mazdoor, was working in Raghuraj-nagar Limestone Mine of M/s. Satna Stone & Lime Co. Ltd. Satna since the last 12 years. A departmental enquiry was held against for misconduct and he was dismissed from service with effect from 16-12-1982.

3. My predecessor vide his order dated 24-8-1987 held that the departmental enquiry was in violation of the principles of natural justice. It is neither legal nor proper and therefore it stood vitiated. After quashing the departmental enquiry my learned predecessor directed the party to adduce evidence in relation to misconduct of the workman concerned for which departmental enquiry was held against him and he was dismissed.

4. Briefly stated the case of the workman is that he was charged on false ground for the reason that he was fighting for the just cause of the labour for last number of years and is Vice President of the Union. He was threatened by the management several times with dire consequences. He was ultimately charge-sheeted. After alleged ex parte departmental enquiry he was dismissed from service which is contrary to the facts and law. It is, therefore, liable to be quashed and the workman be reinstated with full back wages and all other consequential benefits.

5. The case of the management in brief is that on 3-10-1982 the workman Shri Shreepal Singh Shri R. K. Guha, Cost Accountant and Internal Auditor of the Company's Office at about 12.35 p.m. shouting in a most disorderly manner without any provocation at the top of his voice stating,

"सुन लो बुद्धिजीवियों यदि तुम इस आफिस के भीतर दिनांक 14-10-82 को आये तो मैं इस बिल्डिंग का आग लगा दूंगा और तुम चोरों को देख लूंगा।"

This is a gross misconduct and he was rightly dismissed after lawful enquiry against him.

6. As I have already pointed out above that my learned predecessor has set aside the departmental enquiry and had directed the parties to adduce evidence before this Tribunal in relation to the alleged misconduct of the workman. Parties adduced evidence in relation thereof and I am recording my findings accordingly.

7. I may add here that my learned predecessor has already tried the Issues No. 1 and 2 as preliminary issues as pointed out above and therefore the only issues that remain to be answered are issues no. 3 to 5. Issues are as follows :—

ISSUES

1. Whether the enquiry is proper and legal?
2. Whether the management is entitled to lead evidence before this Tribunal?
3. Whether the punishment awarded is proper and legal?
4. Whether the termination of the workman is justified on facts of the case?
5. Relief and costs.

REASONS FOR MY FINDINGS :

8. Issues No. 3, 4 and 5.—I shall take all the three issues No. 3, 4 and 5 together for the purpose of gravity and convenience.

9. With the consent of the parties the evidence adduced by the management in the departmental enquiry was admitted in evidence as evidence before this Court and the workman cross-examined three witnesses. witnesses are R. K. Guha (Ex. M/12), Shaker Lal (Ex. M/13), Sudarshan Prasad Vishwakarma (Ex. M/14) and D. G. Mukherjee (Ex. M/15). The management has also proved the documents Ex. M/1 to Ex. M/11 and Ex. M/16 to Ex. M/19 which are part of the departmental enquiry and relating to which I will deal as and when necessary and convenient.

10. The workman has examined Shri Shreepal Singh, himself as W.W.1, K. M. Pille as W.W.2, Rambhuvan as W.W.3 and Shreepal Singh was against examined as W.W.4. He was first examined in relation to setting aside the ex-parte proceedings passed against him. Thus his examination as W.W.1 is not material for the purpose of the case on merit.

11. Now we shall find out whether there was any misconduct on the part of the workman concerned. Ex. M/1 is the copy of the report lodged by Shri R. K. Guha in Kolgama Police Station, Satna in regard to the alleged incident. Ex. M/2 is the copy of the letter sent by Shri R. K. Guha to the General Manager along with the copy of the report made to the police. Ex. M/3 is the charge-sheet. I have already dealt with the exact words of the misconduct committed by the workman concerned in para 5 of my award hence I need not reproduce the same.

12. Coming to the evidence on record, R. K. Guha, Shaker Lal, Sudarshan Prasad Vishwakarma have supported the case of the management. R. K. Guha states in the examination in chief that on 3-10-82 at about 12.35 p.m. when he was working in his office and was sitting on a chair and Sudarshan Prasad Vishwakarma was sitting by his side, the delinquent Shreepal Singh came out side the office near the window and started shouting

"सुन लो बुद्धिजीवियों यदि तुम इस आफिस के भीतर दिनांक 14-10-82 को आये तो मैं इस बिल्डिंग का आग लगा दूंगा और तुम चोरों को देख लूंगा।"

According to this witnesses he repeated the above words again and again. This witness went near the window where Shaker Lal sits and asked him as to what he would do if they come to office on 14th. The delinquent said that he will put the office on fire on that day. He again repeated the same question and asked him as to whether he can speak the same thing before the police also, the delinquent said that he will deal with the police with shoes. When Guha further enquired as to how he is thief and what theft he has committed the delinquent said in most nasty words that all members of Radha Swamy cult are thieves. He further asked him to come out stating that he will show him further using filthy words against him. He was constantly using the filthy language against him. This witness states that thereafter he gave a report to the General Manager and also lodged a report to the police relating to which I have already discussed above.

13. Shaker Lal, Sudarshan Prasad Vishwakarma have in substance supported the above version of Shri Guha, Shri D. G. Mukherjee has, however, not said that he has actually seen the accused using the filthy language but on hearing the shouting of the delinquent he came to the office of Shri Guha and found that the atmosphere was tense; Office was closed and then he saw the delinquent near the window calling bad names to Sri Guha and Shri Guha ringing to the police. He also saw that the delinquent calling bad names to Shri Guha under the three having a stick in his hand. This witness has further added that the delinquent had stated that as soon as Guha comes out from the office he would kill him. He tried to pacify him and asked him to go from there and thereafter he went from there.

14. Now coming to the cross-examination of Guha we find that though the police party had come, according to him, on his report but Shreepal Singh was not prosecuted by the police for any offence. This witness says that because Shreepal Singh had rendered apology therefore the police had not taken any action. The departmental enquiry neither reveals this fact nor shows that Shri Guha was abused because he belong to Radha Swamy cult.

15. Whatever may be the case of abuse by the delinquent Shreepal Singh, if any, there is no evidence to show that the delinquent had any enmity with Shri Guha or he had any reason to abuse him all of a sudden on the alleged date of incident.

16. Shanker Lal in his cross-examination admits that he knows Shreepal Singh since birth. He could not say that Shreepal had ever abused Shri Guha prior to the alleged incident though according to him Shreepal belongs to his village. This witness admits that on the 14th October, 1982 Shreepal Singh neither obstructed anybody from entering the office nor he made any attempt to burn the office.

17. M.W. 4, Sudarshan Prasad Vishwakarma, in his cross-examination admits that Shreepal was talking about the arrears or difference of minimum wages which according to him he paid prior to 24-10-1982 and for this reason he was saying that he will burn the office building.

18. This witness further says that though he does not know whether Shreepal is an office bearer of the Union but it is true that he was demanding arrears of wages for the workers. One material fact which has come out in his cross-examination is that there was no one near the window when Shreepal was abusing Shri Guha. He further says that the incident took place at about 12.30 p.m. He adds that if the workman leaves the place of working then he is marked absent on that day.

19. Now coming to the cross-examination of Shri D. G. Mukerjee, he could not deny in his cross-examination that Shreepal is the Vice President of S.K.M.S. Union. He admits that when the workman enters in the mine his presence is marked in the prescribed register under the Mines Act and whenever he leaves mine entry is made therein. He further admits that he did not mark Shreepal Singh absent on 3-10-82. If Shreepal Singh had left the mine for the office and to abuse Shri Guha the register should have shown that Shreepal had left the mine during the relevant time. He, however, explains that because Shreepal was present in the morning at the time of attendance therefore his absence was not marked. This is obviously contrary to his earlier version because if he had left the mines, his time of leaving the mines would have been noted in the register and this would have been the best evidence to show that Shreepal Singh was out of the mines at the relevant time. This has not been done.

20. This witness in his cross-examination further admits that he does not remember whether he has got any record to show that Shreepal Singh was a man having riotous behaviour or was an indisciplined person. He further admits that he does not have any knowledge whether Shreepal Singh misbehaved with any other person except with Mr. Guha. This witness was suggested in cross-examination that management had become more annoyed with him because of his telling the workers of their right to get the minimum wages. This witness is Personnel Manager, Satna Lime Stone Co., Satna and his above testimony is very material to sort out the real facts.

21. Then again the question arises as to why police did not take any criminal action against this workman because the act committed by him was not a simple type of offence. It was not only a fact to assault Guha and others but to burn the entire office. Later on the facts relating to said abuse were improved during the cross-examinations of P.W. witnesses.

22. It is true that Shreepal in his cross examination has admitted that on the basis of Ex. M/19 he was given a warning as per Ex. M/18 (See his statement paras 23 to

25). This relates to the year 1979. A perusal of Ex. M/19 discloses that certain charges were made against him but according to him they are false because he was fighting for the cause of the workers. They are, however, mentioned in Ex. M/19. As per Ex. M/18 he was warned to take due care so as not to repeat acts of indiscipline and misconduct in future.

23. Now coming to evidence adduced on behalf of the workman, they have enblock stated that the alleged charges are false and have simply been made because he was fighting for the cause of the workers.

4. With all these facts considered together I feel that there was no rhyme or reason for the delinquent to misbehave with Shri K. K. Guha. That apart, even as per evidence of the management he was demanding minimum wages for the workmen and obviously fighting for the cause of the workers. That apart, the register would have been the best evidence to show his absence from the mine during the period of alleged incident which appears to show otherwise. To add, the police has also not taken any action on such a crime committed by the delinquent workman.

25. All the facts lead to show that the alleged charges are baseless and the management has miserably failed to prove the alleged misconduct against the delinquent workman. Perhaps he may have been victimised by the management for the reasons given by the workman. I therefore record my findings as follows :—

- (a) The termination of the workman is not justified on the facts of the case.
- (b) The punishment awarded is neither proper nor legal and is liable to be set aside.
- (c) The workman is entitled to reinstatement with full back wages and all consequential reliefs as if he was not dismissed at all.

Reference is, therefore, answered as follows :—

The action of the management of Raghurajnagar Limestone Mine of Messrs. Satna Stone and Lime Co.Ltd., Satna (M.P.) in dismissing Shri Shreepal Singh, Mazdoor, with effect from 16-12-1982 is not justified. He is entitled to be reinstated with full back wages and all consequential reliefs. Parties will bear their own costs.

Dated : 13-8-1990.

V. N. SHUKLA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-29012/55/83-L.II(B)]

का. आ. 2465.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इंडियन एयरलाइन्स, बम्बई के प्रबंधन के संबंध में निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, स. 2, बम्बई के पंचपद को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2465.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 27-8-1990.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT :

Shri P. D. Apshankar, Presiding Officer
Reference No. CGIT-2/21 of 1988

PARTIES :

Employers in relation of the management of Indian
Airlines, Bombay

AND

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : 1. Shri M. M. Varma, Advocate.

2. Kum. Anjali Sheth, Advocate.

For the Workmen : M/s. Vandana Herur, Advocate
INDUSTRY : Airlines STATE : Maharashtra

Bombay, the 6th August, 1990

AWARD

The Central Government by their order No. L-11012/2/88-D II (B)/III (B), dated 8-8-1988 have referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

- (i) "Whether the action of the management of Indian Airlines in relation to its establishment at Bombay in imposing the punishment of Stoppage of one increment w.e.f. 1-8-85 on Shri U. D. Yadav, Helper is justified ? If not, what relief is he entitled to ? and
- (ii) Whether the allegation of Air Corporation Employees Union, Bombay, that the management of Indian Airlines Bombay have not made due payment of subsistence allowance and other dues from 20-6-84 to 6-7-84 to Shri U. D. Yadav, Helper is correct ? if so, what relief is he entitled to ?"

2. The case of the said workman as disclosed from the statement of claim (Ex. 2) to led by the Chairman, Air Corporation Employees' Union in short, is thus :—

The said workman Shri U. D. Yadav is working as Engineering Helper in Ground Support Department of the Indian Airlines at Bombay Air Port. It was alleged against him that he participated in an illegal strike or abetted, incited, or acted or instigated in furtherance thereof. Hence he was suspended from service with effect from 20-6-1984. However, that suspension order was revoked from 6-7-1984. A chargesheet was issued against him. The two charges levelled against him were thus :—

Charge No. 1

"That you were rostered in the General Shift commencing at 08.15 hours on 18th June 1984. You punched your attendance Card and thereafter deserted your place of work and did not work doing with others during the entire shift, thereby resorting to illegal strike. Till the time of suspension you continued to be on illegal strike resorted to by ACEU categories of employees under a common understanding to refuse to work, accept work assigned in concerted manner, inciting, abetting, instigating or acting in furtherance thereof."

Charge No. 2

"That on 18th June, 1984 at about 12.20 hours you along with some other operators led a group of 25/30 employees, who were also on illegal strike, to Ground Support Hanger, and tried to prevent the technicians from repairing the ground support equipment. Further you raised ugly slogans and used abusive language. You were shouting and

gesticulating in such a manner that violence was imminent which would have resulted in injury to the staff working there and also damaged costly equipment. The technicians working in the Hanger ran inside the hanger for their safety and later regrouped upon which you along with other strikers retreated."

The said chargesheet further stated that the said acts of the workman, if proved, would tantamount to committing breach of clause No. 1 and also committing misconduct set out in clauses 16(2), 16(12), 16(13), 16(29), 16(37), 16(4), 16(43) of the Standing Orders (Regulations) of the management Shri L. S. Varma, Asstt. Manager Personnel Services was appointed as Enquiry Officer on 26-7-1984. The above said chargesheet dated 2-7-1984 was issued by Shri T. K. Rajani, Dy. Controller, Ground Support, Indian Airlines, Bombay. Another chargesheet dated 2-7-1984 signed by Shri O. P. Bimrahw, Chief Plant Engineer as amended copy of the chargesheet was served upon him on 18-12-1984. He was charged under Standing Orders (Regulations) clause No. 6(c), 28(9), 28(18), 28(19) and 28(20). Copies of documents relied upon in support of the said charges were not supplied to the workman. Again on 27-11-1984 another chargesheet signed by Shri O. P. Bimrahw, Chief Plant Engineer, was issued to the workman. The Indian Airlines has two sets of standing orders, one relating to the Factory workers and the other one relating to non-Factory workers. Out of the said three chargesheets issued against the workman some related to the factory workers and some related to non-factory workers. The chargesheets have been issued by the non-competent authorities. Therefore, the entire proceedings based on such chargesheets are bad in law and the punishment based thereon is not sustainable. Under the Standing Orders (Regulations) there has to be a complaint and thereafter only if the misconduct occurs, the same would be processed. In the present case the Chief Plant Engineer Shri O. P. Bimrahw submitted his written complaint on 18-6-1984 to the Manager Personnel Services of Indian Airlines against certain staff members including the present workman. However, nothing specific has been alleged therein. That complaint is quite vague. It does not speak of any specific acts by the workman. Therefore, the said complaint cannot be a basis for any disciplinary action. The complainant is not the competent authority nor the disciplinary authority to process the chargesheet. The enquiry proceedings related to the said chargesheet issued in the first instance by the disciplinary authority on 2-7-1984. The findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse and are not supported by evidence on record. The workman is entitled to invoke the application of Model Standing Orders under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946, as applicable to Indian Airlines. For the incident alleged to have taken place on 17/18th June, 1984 in respect of Flight No. 184, a suit was filed by the management in the High Court of Bombay. However, later on, the management withdrew that suit and also the cases pending before the metropolitan Magistrate at Andheri regarding the alleged incident in question. In fact the workman had attended the duty on 18th, 19th and 20th June, 1984. There was no misconduct committed on the part of the workman as alleged in the chargesheet issued against him. The Union, therefore, prayed that the punishment inflicted by the management be set aside by this Tribunal, and that the management be directed to pay the necessary wages due to him that were deducted as a result of stoppage of one increment and also to pay him the balance of subsistence allowance.

3 The Indian Airlines by their written statement (Ex. 3) opposed the said claim. The written statement of Indian Airlines is a short one, and it is contended thus :—

By the letter dated 27-11-1984, the Officiating Dy. Controller, Ground Support, amended the chargesheet

as there were some errors regarding clauses of the Standing Orders mentioned in the chargesheet issued by letter dated 2-7-1984. The said letter dated 2-7-1984 was signed by the Officiating Dy. Controller, Ground support, Shri J. N. Mohan Rao, and not by Shri O. P. Bimrahw Chief Plant Engineer. The allegation of the Union that the copies of evidence (documents) relied upon by the management were not supplied to them is not true and correct. The said letter dated 27-11-1984 under which the charge was amended was signed by Shri J. N. Mohan Rao, who was then officiating as Dy. Controller, Ground Support. The disciplinary action was taken against the workman in accordance with the provisions of standing orders. The model standing orders have no application to the Indian Airlines. The workman was charged with specific misconduct and a proper and fair enquiry was conducted against him. The workman was paid subsistence allowance of the period of suspension as per the provisions of standing orders.

The Indian Airlines lastly prayed for the rejection of the prayers of the Union.

4. The Issues framed at Ex. 4 are :—

- (1) Whether the chargesheets issued, and the enquiry proceedings held against the workman Shri U. D. Yadav, are bad in law ?
 - (2) Whether the findings of the Inquiry Officer are perverse ?
 - (3) Whether the said workman is governed by the model standing orders, or by standing orders ?
 - (4) Whether the action of the management of Indian Indian Airlines in relation to its establishment at Bombay in imposing the punishment of stoppage of one increment w.e.f. 1-8-1985 on Shri U. D. Yadav, Helper is justified ?
 - (5) If not, what relief is he entitled to ?
 - (6) Whether the allegation of Air Corporation Employees Union, Bombay that the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay have not made due payments of subsistence allowance and other dues from 20-6-1984 to 6-7-1984 to Shri U. D. Yadav, Helper is correct ?
 - (7) If so, what relief is he entitled to ?
 - (8) What award ?
5. My findings on the said Issues are :—
- (1) No
 - (2) No
 - (3) By the standing orders framed under the Air Corporation Act, 1953.
 - (4) Yes
 - (5) Does not survive,
 - (6) No correct.
 - (7) Does not survive.
 - (8) As per Award below.

REASONS

ISSUE NO. 3

6. A copy of the chargesheet dated 2-7-1984 issued by the Dy. Controller, Ground Support of the management is at Ex. 8. It will be seen therefrom that the workman was chargesheeted for having committed breach of clause 1 and also committing misconduct set out in clause 16(2), 16(12), 16(13), 15(29), 16(37), 16(40), 16(45) of the Standing Orders (Regulations) concerning discipline and appeals. According to the Union, the employees of the said Corporation are governed by the Model Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 and not by the standing orders framed under Section 45 of the Air Corporation Act, 1953. According to the management, the said corporation and its employees

are governed and bound by the Standing Orders framed under Section 45 of the said Act. It is that the Model Standing Orders under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act did not apply to them. According to the management the standing orders for the first time have been framed and relied upon by the management from the year 1958 and as such the standing orders framed under the Act of 1953 will prevail over the Model standing orders under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946. According to the Union a certain agreement took place between Indian Airlines Corporation and the Air Corporation employees' Union on 1-5-1955, i.e. subsequent to Air Corporation Act, 1953. A copy of certain clauses of that agreement is at Ex. 5. Clause 54 of the agreement dated 1-5-1955 states that Standing Orders under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act will be framed. Thus, the Standing Orders were to be framed by the Corporation as per that agreement of 1955. Ex. 15 is a copy of the Award passed by the National Industrial Tribunal, Lucknow in the industrial dispute between the Indian Airlines Corporation and their workman. As per clause 241(h) of that Award, alterations or modifications of service rules or standing orders would be done in accordance with the procedure laid down in the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act or under mutual agreement. Thus the rules framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 were to apply. Ex. 16 is a copy of extract of written statement of Indian Airlines in Reference Order No. SKU dated 9-11-1957 pending before the National Tribunal, Lucknow. In that written statement, the Corporation contended thus :—

"In compliance with the agreement, standing orders have been framed by the Corporation and copies sent to the various State Labour Commissioners for certification. However, the Union has placed obstacles in the way of such certification and has committed a breach of the agreements."

Thus, the corporation admitted that the Standing Orders have been framed by the Corporation as per the said agreement. However, the further portion of the written statement of the Corporation is material, i.e. copies of the Standing Orders have been sent to the various State Labour Commissioners for certification, however, the Union has placed obstacles in the way of such certification and has committed a breach of the agreements. The corporation further stated in the written statement that in accordance with the agreement dated 1-5-1955, standing orders were submitted for certification under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946, but these have not yet been certified due to hindrances placed by the Union, and that in the meantime the Corporation is fully authorised to frame standing orders in accordance with the procedure laid down under the Air Corporation Act, 1953. Thus even the Standing Orders have been framed by the Indian Airlines under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946, those Standing Orders have not been certified by the Labour Commissioners. Therefore, as contended by the Corporation, the Corporation is fully authorised to frame Standing Orders in accordance with the procedure laid down under the Air Corporation Act, 1953, and accordingly the Corporation has framed the Standing Orders under that Act, under which the chargesheet in question has been issued against the said workman. It is thus quite clear that the Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 have not as yet come into operation fully as they have not been certified by the Labour Commissioners. Further, as contended by the Corporation in their written arguments, the Corporation has filed Writ Petition in the Delhi High Court, and the Delhi High Court has already stayed the application of Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946, till such time the said Writ Petition is disposed of. The said Writ Petition is bearing No. 1271 of 1983 and it is still pending before the Delhi High Court. This fact is not denied by the Union. Therefore, I find that the Standing Orders framed by the Corporation under the Air Corporation Act, 1953, will prevail over the Model Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946, and as such the workman in question is governed by the Standing Orders framed under the Air Corporation Act, 1953, under which the chargesheet in question has been issued. Issue No. 3 is found accordingly.

Issues Nos. 1 and 2

According to the Union, the chargesheet issued and the enquiry proceedings held against the said worker are bad in law, and the findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse. I find that it is not so. According to the workman, the chargesheets were issued against him on three different occasions. However, a copy of only one chargesheet dated 2-7-1984 (Ex. 8) has been produced before this Tribunal. Charges Nos. 1 and 2 mentioned therein are as stated at the beginning above, in that chargesheet it was stated that the management proposed to rely upon the workman's attendance card, Duty Roaster for the period from 1-4-1984 to 30-6-1984 and the Report dated 18-6-1984 of Shri O. P. Bimrahw, Chief Plant Engineer in support of the charges levelled against him. It is further seen that a copy of the Report dated 18-6-1984 was sent to the workman along with the chargesheet. He was further told that in case he wanted to put in written statement in reply to the charges he should submit it within seven days, failing which it would be presumed that he did not want to put in any written statement. Ex. 9 are the copies of the enquiry proceedings. It is seen therefrom that the workman Shri U. D. Yadav had participated in the proceedings. It is seen from those enquiry proceedings that he admitted before the Enquiry Officer that he understood the charges framed against him and they were very clear to him. It is seen that two witnesses were examined on behalf of the management, namely, Shri U. Rama Rao, Office Superintendent, and Shri O. P. Bimrahw, Chief Plant Officer. Both these witnesses were cross-examined by the workman himself. The workman also examined one witness on his behalf, namely Shri P. K. Wagh. He was also cross-examined on behalf of the management. After the recording of evidence was over, the workman filed his submissions in writing before the Enquiry Officer. Thereafter, the Enquiry Officer submitted his report in the matter. I, therefore, find that the chargesheet issued against the workman and the enquiry proceedings held against him were quite as per law and were not bad in law. Issue No. 1 is therefore found in the negative. According to the Union, the findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse. The said witnesses for the management deposed in support of the case of the management. The witness Shri Wagh examined on behalf of the workman deposed in support of the case of the workman namely, that the workman had attended his duty on 18th, 19th and 20th June, 1984 and that he had not participated in the illegal strike, nor had he done any illegal acts on any of these three days. As per the evidence of the management witness Shri Rama Rao before the Enquiry Officer, the workman had attended the duty on the 18th instant, but on 19th instant he was marked absent and had not worked on that day, and that he had also not reported for duty on the 20th instant. As per the evidence of the other management witness Shri Bimrahw, on 18-6-1984 the workman was among non-working helpers. As per the evidence of the workman's witness Shri Wagh, he had allotted the duty to the workman from 18-6-84 to 20-6-1984, and that the workman had attended his duty accordingly.

8. A copy of the Enquiry report is at Ex. 13. After discussing the evidence of the two management witnesses and the witness for the workman, the Enquiry Officer concluded thus:—

"While going through the proceedings it will be observed that Shri Yadav was present on 18th and 19th June, 1984. However, he deserted the place of work on 19 June and did not work along with others and thereby resorted to illegal strike. On 18th June, 1984 he also participated in the mob, who tried to prevent the Technicians from repairing the G.S. Equipment and also shouted and gesticulated in such a manner that violence was imminent.

In view of the above, I hold Shri Yadav guilty of the charges under Clause 6(c) and sub-clauses 9, 18, 19 and 20 of Clause 28 of the Standing Orders applicable to him and also interference with the work of other employees."

According to the Union, the said findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse. It may be noted that while considering the evidence of the said witnesses the Enquiry Officer has also taken into consideration the evidence of workman's witness Shri Wagh in his cross-examination and

hence he did not believe what he stated in the examination in-chief. Further the complaint of the Chief Plant Officer Shri Bimrahw filed by him on the very day of the incident i.e. 18-6-1984 is material. A xerox copy of that complaint is at Ex. 11. This complaint stated thus:—

"Further to my report of 18th morning with regard to the misbehaviour of Shri G. D. Pednekar, Jr. Operator I have to inform you that at about 1220 hours today the 18th June, 1984 Shri Pednekar, Mohd. Akbar, U. D. Yadav, Haga Singh, J. N. Thate and R. R. Singh led a group of about 25-30 people to G. S. Hanger. Outside G. S. Hanger they tried to stop technicians from repairing U/S equipment, raised slogans and used abusive language which I am not able to write in black and white. The atmosphere was so much surcharged that any moment violence could erupt and costly G. S. equipment damaged by the mob. The staff ran inside and all the workshop staff collected and when outnumbered the operators and faced them, the operators, beat a hasty retreat. I was unfortunate spectator from first floor, by the time I came down the operators had run away. It is requested that some strong action should be taken against these fellows for threatening other employees and in such situation costly imported G. S. equipment can get damaged."

Thus, the name of Shri U. D. Yadav is appearing in this complaint among other persons who had taken part in the illegal acts committed on that day. There was no reason why Shri Bimrahw should have lodged a false complaint, as above, against the said workmen on the very day of that incident. Therefore, taking into consideration this complaint and the evidence of the two management witnesses and the statements made by the workman's witness Shri Wagh in his cross-examination, was the conclusions arrived at by the Enquiry Officer could not be termed as perverse. I find that the findings of the Enquiry Officer are just and proper. Issue No. 2 is therefore found in the negative.

ISSUES NOS. 4 and 5

9. As such the action of the management of Indian Airlines in relation to its establishment at Bombay in imposing the punishment of stoppage of one increment with effect from 1-8-1985 on Shri U. D. Yadav, Helper is just and proper. Issue No. 4 is found in the affirmative. As such, the workman concerned is not entitled to any relief. Issue No. 5 is found accordingly.

ISSUES NOS. 6 and 7

10. It is not disputed that the management had paid 50% of the wages to the workman of the period of his suspension. According to the Union, as the suspension was withdrawn, the workman was entitled to full wages as per the provisions of the model Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946. However, as noted above, the employees of the said management are governed by the Standing Orders framed under the Air Corporation Act, 1953, and not by the Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act 1946. According to the management as per the provisions of the standing orders as they apply to the said workman, he is not entitled to full wages of the suspension period, but is entitled to only 1/2 of the wages. I find that the said contention of the management is quite tenable in law. Therefore, the allegation of Air Corporation Employees Union, Bombay, that the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay, have not made due payment of subsistence allowance and other dues from 20-6-1984 to 6-7-1984 to Shri U. D. Yadav, Helper is not correct. Issue No. 6 is therefore found in the negative. As such the workman is not entitled to any relief. Issue No. 7 is found accordingly.

11. It is true that before the punishment of stoppage of one increment was imposed upon the workman, on notice to show cause why such a punishment should not be imposed upon him, was issued to him. However, the punishment proposed to be imposed was only a minor one, and as such no injustice has been caused to the workman by not issuing show cause notice to him.

(2) The following award is therefore passed:—

- (i) The action of the management of Indian Airlines in relation to its establishment at Bombay in imposing the punishment of stoppage of one increment w.e.f. 1-8-85 on Shri U. D. Yadav, Helper is just and proper.
- (ii) The allegation of Air Corporation Employees Union, Bombay, that the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay have not made due payment of subsistence allowance and other dues from 20-6-1984 to 6-7-84 to Shri U. D. Yadav, Helper is not correct.
- (iii) The parties to bear their own costs of this Reference.

P. D. APSHANKAR, Presiding Officer.
[No. L-11012/2/88-DII(B)] [DUI(B)]

का.ग्रा. 2466.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मै. सीसा गोवा लि., पनाजी के प्रबंधनत्व के सबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, सं. 2, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2466.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Sesa Goa Ltd., Panaji and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 27-8-90.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT :

Shri P. D. Apshankar, Presiding Officer.
Reference No. CGIT-2/53 of 1987

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of
M/s. Sesa Goa Limited, Panaji

AND

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the employers : Shri P. K. Lele, Consultant.
For the workman : Shri D. D. Shirodkar, Workman
in person.

STATE : Goa INDUSTRY : Iron Ore Mines
Bombay, dated the 1st August, 1990

AWARD

The Central Government by their Order No. L-29012/35/87-D.II(B) dated 9-10-1987 have referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal

for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Sesa Goa Limited, Panaji in dismissing/discharging from service Shri D. D. Shirodkar, Lab. Attendant was justified? If not, what relief is the workman entitled to?”

2. The case of the management, i.e. M/s. Sesa Goa Limited, as disclosed from the written statement (Ext. 2) filed by its General Manager, against the workman, in short is thus :—

The workman Shri D.D. Shirodkar was employed by the said company as a Laboratory Assistant in their analytical Laboratory, Sanquelim Iron Ore Mines with effect from 3-8-1976. In the month of September 1986 the workman had indulged in the behaviour of disobeying the lawful orders of his superiors and had remained absent without leave and without satisfactory explanation. He was therefore chargesheeted by the letter dated 13-10-1986 as per the provisions of company's Certified Standing Orders for the following misconduct :

- (a) Wilful insubordination or disobedience of the lawful and reasonable orders of a superior.
- (b) Absence without leave for more than 10 consecutive days without leave, and without sufficient grounds or proper or satisfactory explanation.

The workman was directed to submit his explanation to the said charges. However, the workman did not submit any explanation. Thereafter, the company issued the letter dated 20-10-1986 to him informing him about the date, time and place of departmental enquiry to be held against him regarding the said charges. A departmental enquiry was conducted by the Senior Officer of the company. Adequate opportunities were given to the workman to defend himself. The workman attended the enquiry at preliminary stage, but thereafter remained absent on health ground. The enquiry officer after conducting the enquiry, found the charges levelled against the workman duly proved.

3. On the said allegations and the findings of the enquiry officer, and taking into connection the on past record of the workman and the fact that the workman continued to remain absent without leave and without sufficient cause till May 1987, the company would have been justified to dismiss him from service, but awarded a lesser punishment of discharge from service with effect from 18-5-1987 by giving him one month's wages in lieu of notice, by the company's letter dated 13-5-1987. The action of the company in discharging him from service is quite just, legal and proper. The management, therefore, prayed that this Tribunal should hold the said action of the company as just and proper.

4. The workman filed his statement of claim (Ex. 3), which is very short, and wherein he stated thus :—

He had never refused the instructions of his superior on 26-6-1986, 27-6-1986 and 28-6-1986. On 26-6-86 the Officer in charge Shri Rebellow instructed him to bring chemicals from Central Stores. However, there was no transport arrangement, and no Labourers were provided for the said purpose. Therefore, he had told Shri Rebellow that it would be difficult for him to bring the chemicals without the help of labourers and without Transport arrangement. On 27-6-1986 Shri B. S. Natekar had neither instructed him to put the dilute solution or to bring the 'Surf' washing powder from the Stores, nor asked him to give workshop order to the workshop office. On 28-6-1986 Shri Rebellow had instructed him to put the dilute solution of the sodium Hydroxide in the plastic bag of the Ion Exchange Unit, but he had told him about his inability to do so for the following reasons.

- (i) No apron or uniform had been provided to him whenever he used to work in the Laboratory.
- (ii) No exhaust fan was available near the Ion Exchange Unit to drive out acid fumes which is injurious to health.
- (iii) No safety goggles were provided to protect his eyes from acid fumes.

The workman lastly prayed that his case may be considered sympathetically and that he may be reinstated in service with full back wages.

5. Issue for decision are :—

- (1) Whether the enquiry held against the workman has been held properly and the rules of natural justice have been followed ?
- (2) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Sesa Goa Ltd. in dismissing/discharging the workman Shri D. D. Shirodkar from service is just and valid ?
- (3) If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?
- (4) What Award ?

6. My findings on the above said Issues are :—

- (1) Yes.
- (2) Yes.
- (3) Nil.
- (4) As per final Award.

REASONS

ISSUES NOS. 1 and 2

7. The workman examined himself on oath, and he was cross-examined on behalf of the management. The management filed one affidavit (Ex. 8) of the Enquiry Officer Shri S. R. Lotlikar in support of its

case. He was cross-examined by the workman in person. Shri Lotlikar stated about the enquiry proceedings conducted by him against the said workman at length in his affidavit. Only two questions were asked by the workman to the said witness in his cross-examination and the answers are that an enquiry prior to the enquiry in question was conducted by him and all the dates mentioned in the affidavit are true and correct. As such the entire part of the matters stated in the affidavit has gone unchallenged by the workman. The workman in his examination in chief stated that the chargesheet issued against him is not just and proper that he did not do any illegal or improper thing, that the allegations made against him are false, and that he be reinstated in service with full back wages and continuity of service. As such the evidence of workman effectively stated nothing against the allegations levelled against him.

8. The chargesheet dated 13-10-1986 was issued against the workman. The charges against him were this :—

On 9-9-1986 the workman was instructed by Shri Orlando Rodrigues, Junior Chemists, to put acid to the four samples which were in the beakers, then to mix and remove two samples. However, the workman had refused to carry out that work. Further on 10-9-1986, Shri Dhamaskar, Chemist told him to put acid in the weighed sample and to wash the beaker. However, the workman kept quiet and ignored the said instructions. Again on 13-9-1986 the workman was asked by the said Chemists to wash the beaker and flask and to put the acid in the weighed samples, but on that day also the workman kept quiet and did not carry out the lawful and reasonable instructions, though they were part of his duties.

Therefore the charges framed against him were "Wilful insubordination or disobedience of any lawful and reasonable orders of a superior and absence without leave for more than 10 consecutive days without sufficient grounds or proper or satisfactory explanation." The Specific charge in that respect made against him was that on 15-9-1986 the workman reported for duty in the normal shift, ignoring the shift schedule displayed on 13-9-1986 in his department that the workman was required to attend the second shift. The workman was told accordingly, but he did not attend the second shift. He continued to remain in the Laboratory and did not attend the second shift. As such the workman continued to remain absent for more than 10 days. On 26-9-1986 and 27-9-1986 the workman went to the Laboratory but did not report for work.

9. According to the workman, on those days he had not disobeyed the instructions of the superior. The present charges levelled against him were disobedience or wilful insubordination of lawful and reasonable orders of his superiors on 9-9-1986, 10-9-1986 and 13-9-1986 and he was absent from 15th September 1986. The workman did not state anything regarding the alleged disobedience or insubordination of lawful and reasonable orders of his superiors of the said days on in the statement of claim.

In fact the workman stated absolutely nothing about the absence as alleged in the written statement of the management. According to the management prior to the enquiry in question no more enquiry was held against the workman for the same charge of wilful insubordination or disobedience of the lawful and reasonable order of the superior and he was found guilty of the charge by the Enquiry Officer. The punishment awarded in that case was of warning not to repeat the misconduct in future.

10. In substance the Enquiry Officer Shri S. R. Lotlikar stated about the enquiry proceedings conducted by him against the workman thus :—

The first hearing of the enquiry took place on 29-10-1986. However, on that day the workman did not attend. Hence the enquiry was adjourned to 22-11-1986. On that day the workman attended before the Enquiry Officer. He then made a request that the clerk who was to write the enquiry proceedings should be changed, and a typewriter be used for recording the enquiry proceedings. The enquiry proceedings were thereafter adjourned to 18-12-1986. The management was heard on the said point, and the Enquiry Officer rejected the request of the workman to change the clerk or to make use of the typewriter to type the enquiry proceedings on the ground that the hand-writing of the clerk is quite legible. The Enquiry Officer therefore rejected the said request of the workman. The workman was present on 18-12-1986 till 1.30 P.M. At about 1.45 P.M. he left the place of the enquiry on the ground that he wanted to see the General Manager of Mining Operation of the Company and he did not return back to the place of enquiry. At about 2 P.M. the Enquiry Officer was told by the management that the workman had left the place of enquiry and had gone to Marusa by bus. Thereafter the enquiry officer started with the enquiry in the absence of the workman as the workman himself had not return back even though he had the knowledge of the enquiry proceedings. On that day three witnesses viz. Shri S. G. Manner, Shri Orlando Rodrigues and Shri M. Dhamaskar were examined on behalf of the management. Two of them were the persons whose orders were alleged to be disobeyed by the workman as stated in the chargesheet. The enquiry proceedings were thereafter adjourned to 27-12-1986. On that day also the workman did not attend the enquiry. After completing the necessary enquiry the Enquiry Officer submitted his report to the management. He had found the workman guilty of the charges levelled against him. As noted above, practically all the statements made by the witness i.e. the enquiry officer went unchallenged in the cross-examination of that witness as the workman did not question the witnesses practically anything on the

point of enquiry. In his cross-examination the workman also stated and admitted thus—
“He had received the chargesheet and he had replied on 17-10-1986. He had attended the proceeding on 22-11-1986 and also on 18-12-1986”. As such the workman had attended the enquiry proceedings and he himself remained absent from further progress of the enquiry proceedings. As such I find that the Enquiry held against him was properly held and the rules of natural justice have been followed. Issue No. 1 is therefore, found in the affirmative.

ISSUES NOS. 2 and 3

11. The enquiry officer held that both the charge levelled against the workman duly proved. I find that the conclusion arrived at by the Enquiry Officer is quite just and proper. The workman also admitted in his cross-examination that on 9-9-1986 the Junior Chemist Shri Orlando Rodrigues had asked him to perform certain duties regarding the samples and that he did not perform it. He further admitted that on 10-9-1986 the Chemist Shri Dhamaskar had asked him to do certain duties of sampling and that he did not do that work. As regards the incident of 13th September, 1986, the workman stated that he did not remember whether he was asked to perform some other work, and that he did not do that. Even then, the workman had admitted that on certain dates he failed to do certain duties assigned to him. According to the management, the workman had committed acts of wilful insubordination or disobedience of the lawful and reasonable orders of the superiors. The Enquiry Officer by the enquiry report found the said charges against the workman duly proved. As noted above, the workman also admitted that he did not carry out the work on certain two dates, as directed by the superiors. The second charge against the workman is that he remained absent for more than 10 consecutive days without leave or satisfactory explanation. This is also not disputed by the workman. This charge was also found duly proved by the Enquiry Officer against the workman. Therefore, as the workman was found guilty of wilful insubordination or disobedience of the lawful and reasonable orders of the superiors, and also of remaining absent without leave for more than 10 consecutive days without leave or satisfactory explanation, the management thought it fit to terminate the services of the workman. It is seen from the record that in the past the workman was suspended from service for four days and on certain other occasions the punishment of warning was imposed upon him. He also remained absent from duty from the date of issue of chargesheet against him till his services were terminated by the management. In fact, according to the management, the workman could have been dismissed from service. However, the management thought it fit to impose the punishment of discharge from service. I, therefore, find that taking into consideration the nature of charges levelled against him, and his past record as above, the action of the management in discharging the workman from service is just and proper. Issue No. 2 is found in the affirmative. As such the workman is not entitled to any relief. Issue No. 3 is found accordingly.

ISSUE NO. 4

AWARD

12. In the result, the following award is passed.

AWARD

The action of the management of Ms. Sesa Goa Limited, Panaji in discharging from service Shri D. D. Shirodkar, Lab. Attendant is just and proper.

The parties to bear their own cost of this Reference.

P. D. APSHANKAR, Presiding Officer
[No. L-29012/35/87-D.III(B)]

का. आ. 2467.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अन्वये, केन्द्रीय सरकार इंडियन एयर लाईन्स, बम्बई के प्रबंधकों के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधि-करण सं. 2, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 29th August, 1990

S.O. 2467.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2 Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 27-8-1990.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2 BOMBAY
PRESENT :

Shri P. D. Apshankar, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2/23 of 1983

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of
Indian Airlines, Bombay.

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—1. Shri M. M. Varma,
Advocate.

2. Kum. Anjali Sheth, Advocate.

For the Workmen.—Ms. Vandana Herur,
Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Airlines STATE : Maharashtra
Bombay, dated the 13th August, 1990

The Central Government by their order No. L-11012/35/88-D.II(B)|D.III(B) dated 8-8-1988 have referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

- (1) "Whether the action of the management of Indian Airlines in relation to its establishment at Bombay in imposing the punishment of stoppage of one increment w.e.f. 1-2-1985 on Shri R. R. Singh, Operator, is justified? If not, what relief is he entitled to? ; and
- (2) Whether the allegation of Air Corporation Employees Union, Bombay that the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay, have not made due payment of subsistence allowance and other dues from 20-6-1984 to 6-7-1984 to Shri R. R. Singh, Operator is correct? if so, what relief is he entitled to?"

2. The case of the workman Shri R. R. Singh as disclosed from the statement of claim (Ex. 2) filed by the Chairman Air Corporation Employees' Union, Bombay, in short, is thus :

The workman Shri R. R. Singh was working as an Operator, in Ground Support Department of Indian Airlines at Bombay Airport. He was suspended from service with effect from 20-6-1984 by the management i.e. Indian Airlines. A chargesheet dated 2-7-1984 was also issued against him. In substance it was alleged against him that he had resorted to illegal strike on 18-6-84 and prevented other workmen to perform their work and that he further raised ugly slogans and used abusive language and shouted and gesticulated in such a manner that violence was imminent which would have resulted in injury to the staff working there and causing damage to the costly equipment.

3. The Union further stated in the statement of claim that on 16-6-1984 in relation to flight No. 184 there was a complaint from a lady passenger about the loss of her purse and she lodged the necessary complaint with the Air Port Police. As a result of the complaint, the Police picked up some of the Indian Airlines Porters and beat them mercilessly. This incident of 17-6-1984 caused panic among the staff members and police terror was created amongst the employees by the management. Due to such an incident out of fear, many of the employees of the management could not resume duty, and some who were on duty, took shelter from the Police.

4. The statement of claim further stated thus :—

The said workman reported for duty in the morning shift of 18-6-1984. However, due to the general tension and the fear of police, a prohibited area was created there, and nobody allotted any work to the workmen including the workman in question. After the expiry of the morning shift, when the said workman was leaving the place of his work, the Timekeepers refused to allow him and others to clock out their

time cards. The time-out therefore could not be clocked. This was taken to be interpreted as participation in illegal strike. In fact an enquiry was conducted against the said workman. He was not given proper opportunity to defend himself. The Enquiry Officer and the presenting officer in collusion pressurised the workman to proceed with the enquiry even though his defence representative was not present. In the absence of workman's defence representative, the presenting officer was asking leading questions to the witnesses and promoting the management witnesses. The workman was not versed with English language and he wanted the proceedings to be recorded in the language known to him, which was not allowed and he had no choice but to submit to the contentions of the said officers. As such the workman could not detect whether the proceedings were recorded correctly or not. The Enquiry Officer was biased and the principles of natural justice were not followed. The Enquiry Officer failed to apply his mind to the evidence on record, and his findings are perverse and not supported by the evidence on record. The Model standing Orders apply to the workman and the management, and the management should have complied with the provisions of the Model Standing Orders both in respect of chargesheet and suspension. Instead of that the management proceeded as per the Conduct and Appeal Rules framed under Section 45 of Air Corporation Act, 1953. The non-compliance with the Model Standing Orders has caused much prejudice and bias to the workman. The workman has been punished and victimised due to his active Union activities. In fact no misconduct was committed by the workman and even assuming that there could have been a minor misdemeanour, inequity and fairness an administrative warning would have been sufficient. The Union therefore, prayed that this Tribunal should hold that the said action of the management was not proper and should set aside the punishment of stoppage of increment, and should direct the management to pay him the necessary wages, which were deducted for one year, and reinterline air passage facilities which have been affected as a result of the said punishment be restored and also to pay full wages of the entire suspension period.

5. The Manager, Personnel Services, Indian Airlines by his written statement (Ex. 3) opposed the claim of Union and in substance stated thus :—

The chargesheet dated 2-7-1984 was issued against the workman for specific misconducts committed by him. The workman had not worked during the morning shift of 18-6-84. The standing Orders of the Corporation and not the Model Standing Orders as contended by the Union, apply to the workman and

the other employees. The enquiry held against the workman was held properly, and the rules of natural justice were followed. The workman was given sufficient opportunity to defend himself. On the request of the workman that he was not well on the date of enquiry, the proceedings were adjourned and he was given opportunity to arrange for his friend to help him in the enquiry proceedings. The examination-in-chief of the management witness was recorded at the request of the workman and at the request of the workman the cross-examination of the witness was adjourned. The findings of the Enquiry Officer are just and proper and based on the evidence on record and are not perverse. The chargesheet was issued to the workman for committing breach of Clause 1 and sub-clauses (2), (12), (29) 37 and 43 of Clause 16 of the Standing Orders applicable to the workman and the Corporation. It is not true that the chargesheet was issued to the workman because he was an active member of the Union. The workman is entitled to full wages in case he is not found guilty of the charges levelled against him as provided in clause 33 of the Standing Orders. In the present case the workman was found guilty of the charges levelled against him and he was awarded the punishment of reduction of one increment. As such he is not entitled to full wages of the suspension period. The management therefore, lastly prayed that action be held as just and proper and the prayer of the Union be rejected.

6. The Issues framed at Ex. 4 are :—

- (1) Whether the enquiry held against the workman Shri R. R. Singh was not held properly, that he was not given proper and sufficient opportunity to defend himself, and the rules of natural justice were not followed?
- (2) Whether the findings of the Enquiry Officer are biased, and perverse, and not supported by the evidence on record?
- (3) Whether the provision of the Model Standing Orders were not duly complied with by the management in connection with the suspension of the said workman, and issuing chargesheet against him?
- (4) Whether the action of the management of Indian Airlines in relation to its establishment at Bombay in imposing the punishment of stoppage of one increment w.e.f. 1-2-84 on Shri R. R. Singh, Operator, is justified?
- (5) If not, what relief is he entitled to?
- (6) Whether the allegation of Air Corporation Employees Union, Bombay that the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay, have not made due payment of subsistence allowance and other dues from 20-6-1984 to 6-7-1984 to Shri R. R. Singh, Operator, is correct?

(7) If so, what relief is he entitled to?

(8) What Award?

7. My findings on the above Issues are :—

(1) Enquiry held properly.

(2) No

(3) Model Standing Orders under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 do not apply.

(4) Yes

(5) Does not survive

(6) No

(7) Does not survive

(8) As per Award below.

REASONS

ISSUES NOS. 1 and 2

8. No oral evidence was led by any of the parties before this Tribunal. Both the parties rely upon copies of different documents produced in this proceeding. According to the Union, the enquiry held against the workman Shri R. R. Singh was not held properly, that he was not given proper and sufficient opportunity to defend himself and the rules of natural justice were not followed. I find that it is not so, as can be seen from the following discussions.

9. A copy of the chargesheet dated 2-7-1984 issued against the workman by the Dy. Controller, Ground Support of the management Corporation is at Ex. 7. The charges Nos. 1 and 2 levelled against the said workman were thus :—

“Charge No. 1

That you were rostered in the morning shift commencing at 0600 hrs. on 18th June, 1984. You punched your attendance Card and thereafter deserted your place of work and did not work along with others during the entire shift, thereby resorting to illegal strike. Till the time of suspension you continued to be on illegal strike resorted to by ACEU categories of employees under a common understanding to refuse to work, accept work assigned in concerted manner, inciting, abetting, instigating or acting in furtherance thereof.

Charge No. 2

That on 18th June, 1984 at about 12.20 hrs. you along with some other operators led a group of 25/30 employees, who were also on illegal strike, to Ground Support Hangar and tried to prevent the technicians from repairing the ground support equipment. Further, you raised ugly slogans and used abusive language. You were shouting and gesticulating in such a manner that violence was imminent which would have resulted in injury to the staff working there and also damaged costly equipment. The technicians working in the hangar ran inside the

hangar for their safety and later regrouped upon which you along with other strikers retreated.”

It was further mentioned in the chargesheet that the management wanted to rely upon the attendance card and the Duty Roster of the workman for the months April to June 1984 in support of the charges levelled against him. It is further seen from the endorsement that a copy of the report dated 18-6-1984 of Shri O. P. Bimrahw, Chief Plant Engineer was supplied to the workman along with the chargesheet. He was further told in the said charge-sheet that in case he wanted to file any written statement in reply to the charges, he may do so within seven days from the date of receipt of the said chargesheet, failing which it will be presumed that he had no defence to put forth. A copy of the enquiry proceedings is at Ex. 8. Shri L. S. Verma, AMPS (Rec.) of the management Corporation was the Enquiry Officer. It will be seen from the enquiry proceedings that the Enquiry Officer had asked him whether he had understood the charges contained in the chargesheet, and the workman replied that he understood them. It is further seen that the Enquiry Officer again explained the charges in English and Hindi to the workman, and the workman then replied that these charges were very clear to him. The workman was then asked whether he wanted the assistance of the office friend, and the workman had replied that he would arrange for his friend at the time of cross-examination of the management witnesses. The workman had made a statement before the Enquiry Officer that one Shri Ramanathan would be his friend to assist him in the enquiry proceedings.

10. Thereafter, the examination in chief of the management witness Shri O. P. Bimrahw, Chief Plant Engineer was recorded in the presence of the workman. In substance that witness stated thus in his examination-in-chief :—

A number of Operators and Jr. Operators led by Shri Pednekar had collected near the work shop premises of GSD, on 18-6-1984 at 12.00 hours. They raised slogans and they were quarrelling with workshop technicians. From the balcony (first floor) he could see some of the operators clearly, and when they were confronted by the workshop staff, they left the scene.

The presenting Officer for the management then asked the witness Shri Bimrahw whether he could recollect if Shri R. R. Singh, i.e. the workman, was one of the operators indulging in the activities as mentioned by him as above. The witness Shri Bimrahw replied in the affirmative, further adding that Shri R. R. Singh was one of them. It is true that the presenting Officer had suggested the name of the workman to that witness and then the witness had stated that the workman Shri R. R. Singh was amongst the group of other workmen. Even then the management witness was at liberty to say that the workman Shri Singh was not then present there. The management witness was not forced to depose against the workman Shri R. R. Singh. Therefore merely because the Presenting Officer had suggested the name of Shri R. R. Singh to the management witness it cannot be

said that the entire proceedings were vitiated thereby. The examination-in-chief of the said management witness before the Enquiry Officer was a short one, as above. Thereafter, on the request of the workman the enquiry proceedings were adjourned to the next date for the cross-examination of the said management witness. On the adjourned date i.e. 20-11-1984, the workman and his friend Shri J. M. Karekar were present. However, it is seen that the management witness was cross-examined at great length by the workman himself. Nothing has transpired in that cross-examination to disbelieve what the witness stated in his examination in chief. In his cross-examination the said management witness stated that the workman Shri Singh was on strike from the date the strike commenced. Firstly the witness stated that the strike commenced from 17-6-1984. However, later on he stated that the correct date of that strike was 18-6-1984, and not 17-6-1984. At the end of the enquiry proceedings there is an endorsement that, "the proceedings clearly understood and copy of the same received". That endorsement is signed by the workman Shri R. R. Singh. I therefore, find that the enquiry held against the said workman was held properly that he was given proper and sufficient opportunity to defend himself and the rules of natural justice were properly followed. Issue No. 1 is found accordingly.

11. A copy of the Enquiry report is at Ex. 9. The Enquiry Officer concluded that the said workman though reported for duty, did not work, and went on illegal strike and alongwith others tried to prevent the Technicians from repairing the Ground Support equipment, raised ugly slogans, used abusive language and thus held the workman guilty of breach of clause 1 and sub-clauses 2, 12, 13, 29, 37, 40 and 43 of Clause 16 of the Standing Orders applicable to him. According to the Union, the said finding of the Enquiry Officer is not based on the evidence on record and is perverse. I find that it is not so. Even though the workman's name was suggested by the Presenting Officer to the management's witness, it cannot be said that the conclusion arrived at by the Enquiry Officer is perverse and not based on the evidence on record. I find that it is quite just and proper. It is true that the burden lay upon the management to prove that the said workman did not work and had participated in the strike. Even then the workman would have led the evidence that on the said day in fact he had worked along with other workman as usual during the whole of the said day. No such evidence has been led by the workman. Therefore, taking into consideration the whole evidence of the said management witness, the conclusions arrived by the Enquiry Officer are just and proper. Issue No. 2 is therefore found in the negative. **ISSUE NO. 3**

12. According to the Union, the management of Indian Airlines and the workmen are governed by the Model Standing Orders under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 and not by the standing orders framed by the Corporation under Air Corporation Act. According to the management, they are bound by the Standing Orders framed by the Corporation under the Air Corporation Act and not by the model standing orders framed under the

Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946. Ex. 11 is a copy of extract of the 1st Agreement dated 15-5-1955 between the Indian Airlines Corporation and the Air Corporation Employees' Union. As per clause 34 of that agreement, 'standing orders under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act will be framed by the Airlines Corporation.' Thus, the standing orders were to be framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act by the Corporation. Ex. 12 is a copy of the Award of the National Industrial Tribunal, Lucknow of the year 1958. As per para. 241 (h) (i) there to alterations or modifications of service rules or Standing Orders will be done in accordance with the procedure laid down in the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act or under mutual agreement. Ex. 13 is a copy of extract of written statement of Indian Airlines in Reference Order No. SRO dated 9-11-1957 pending before the National Industrial Tribunal at Lucknow. In that written statement the Indian Airlines Corporation had made a statement that "in compliance with the agreement, standing orders have been framed by the Corporation and copies sent to the various State Labour Commissioners for certification. However, the Union has placed obstacles in the way of such certification and has committed a breach of the agreements". Thus, Indian Airlines Corporation admitted that the standing orders were framed by them under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act. However, the Corporation further stated in that written statement thus :—

"In accordance with the agreement dated 1-5-1955, standing orders were submitted for certification under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, but these have not yet been certified due to hindrances placed by the Union. In the meantime the Corporation is fully authorised to frame Standing Orders in accordance with the procedure laid down under the Air Corporation Act."

(Thus the Air Corporation made it quite clear in that written statement that the Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act could not be brought into operation due to the hindrances caused by the Union, and that they had framed Standing Orders under the Air Corporation Act. Therefore, as the standing orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act sent for certification by the various Labour Commissioner are pending, they do not and did not get any legal force, and as such, the standing orders framed by the Corporation under the Air Corporation Act will prevail over the Standing Orders contemplated under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act. Therefore, there was no question of compliance with the model standing orders as per the provisions of the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, as contended by the Union. Issue No. 3 is found accordingly.

ISSUES NOS. 4 and 5

13. As the workman has been properly found guilty of the charges levelled against him, and as the enquiry held against him was quite just and proper. I find that the action taken by the management

against him in the matter is just and proper. Further the punishment imposed upon him of stoppage of one increment only, is also not at all a harsh one. It is true that on show cause notice was issued to the workman before the said punishment was imposed upon him. As the punishment imposed upon him is a minor one, no prejudice thereby has been caused to him by not giving him an opportunity to give his say regarding the sentence to be imposed upon him. Issue No. 4 is found in the affirmative. As such the workman is entitled to no relief. Issue No. 5 is found accordingly.

ISSUES NOS. 6 and 7

14. According to the Union the management should have paid full wages to the workman of the period of suspension. However, as the Standing Orders framed under the Air Corporation Act, and not the model Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, apply to the management and the workmen, the workman is entitled to full wages in case he is not found guilty of the charges levelled against him. In the present case the charges have been duly proved. Therefore, the workman is not entitled to full wages, but is entitled only to 1/2 the wages of the period of suspension. Therefore, the allegation of the Union in the matter is not correct. Issue No. 6 is found in the negative. As such the workman is entitled to no relief in that respect.

ISSUE NO. 8

15. In the result, the following Award is passed.

AWARD

- (i) The action of the management of Indian Airlines in relation to its establishment at Bombay in imposing the punishment of stoppage of one increment w.e.f. 1-2-85 on Shri R. R. Singh, Operator, is just and proper.
- (ii) The allegation of Air Corporation Employees' Union, Bombay that the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay have not made due payment of subsistence allowance and other dues from 20-6-84 to 6-7-84 to Shri R. R. Singh, Operator is not correct.
- (iii) The parties to bear their own costs of this reference.

P. D. APSHANKAR, Presiding Officer

[No. L-11012/6/88-D.II(B)/D.III(B)]

नई दिल्ली, 30 अगस्त, 1990

का. अ. 2468—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अन्तर्गत में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारत गोल्ड माइन्स लि., के जी. एफ. के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अन्तर्गत में निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, बंगलूर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 30th August, 1990

S.O. 2468.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bharat Gold Mines Ltd., K.G.F. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 29-8-1990.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT BANGALORE

Dated, the day of August, 1990

PRESENT :

Shri M. B. VISHWANATH, B.Sc. B.L., Presiding Officer

Central Reference No. 70/88

I PARTY :

Shri Venkatesh,

C/o. the Senior Joint Secretary,

B.G.M. Labour Association,

No. 87, New Electric Colony,

Oorgaum, K.G.F. (Karnataka)

Vs.

II PARTY :

The Managing Director,

Bharat Gold Mines Ltd.,

Suvarna Bhavan,

Oorgaum P.O.,

K.G.F.-563 120.

AWARD

This reference has been made by the Hon'ble Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. The point of reference (the Schedule) is :

Point of Reference

"Whether the action of the management of M/s. Bharat Gold Mines Limited, K.G.F. in dismissing Sri Venkatesh, General Labourer, Token No. 2386/17156, Nundydroog Mines from service w.e.f. 25-10-1983 is justified? If not, what relief is the workman entitled to?"

2. The I party workman was working as a General Labourer (Token No. 2383/017156) in U.G. Nundydroog Mine of II party. The I party came to be dismissed from service, after holding an enquiry on the ground that he was absent from duty between 22-7-1983 and 6-8-1983 without any prior permission or intimation to the competent authority. The order of dismissal was passed on 2-12-1983 (Ext. M-8).

3. In the claim statement the I party has stated

a. follow :

The I party was employed by the II party and had put in three years of service. The I party was employed as a General Labourer. The II party dismissed the I party from service on flimsy grounds. The dismissal is illegal. The I party' absence from 22-7-1983 to 6-8-1983 was bona fide and unintentional. The I party has given valid reasons for his absence. The order of dismissal dated 2-12-1983 is illegal. The I party has therefore prayed this Tribunal that the order of dismissal is set aside and that he be reinstated with back wages.

4. In the counter statement filed by the II party, the II party has stated :—

It is true that I party was employed as a General Labourer. It is not true to say that the II party has dismissed the I party on flimsy grounds. The I party workman remained absent from duty from 22-7-1983 to 6-8-1983 without any prior permission or any intimation to the competent authority. Since this amounted to misconduct, a charge-sheet dated 5-9-1983 (Show Cause Notice Ex. M-1) was issued. The explanation furnished by the I party to this notice was unsatisfactory. The disciplinary authority ordered an enquiry. During the course of the enquiry the I party workman accepted his guilt and requested that he be excused. The disciplinary authority, after going through the enquiry proceedings and findings issued a second show cause notice (Ex. M-7) dated 25-10-1983. The I party workman did not submit any explanation to the second show-cause notice. The disciplinary authority perused the past records and did not find any mitigating or extenuating circumstances to alter the proposed punishment. Hence the disciplinary authority dismissed the I party workman from service (as per Ex. M-8). The order of dismissal is legal. The I party workman has not produced any document for his absence from duty. It is incorrect to say that the I party workman has assigned reasons for his absence and has produced relevant documents. The I party workman remained absent not only from 22-7-1983 to 6-8-1983 but on many other occasions. Hence he was suspended on 2-6-1981 for four days. On 12-2-1982 his name was struck off from the rolls for his absence from 9-1-1982 to 11-2-1982. It was on humanitarian grounds he was taken back to service. From August 1982 till the date of his dismissal, the I party remained absent for 76 days without permission in the month of September, October, November, December 1982, and January to August 1983. The order of dismissal is passed in accordance with the Standing Orders for the proved misconduct. It is not true that the II party failed to consider the genuine grounds of the I party. The I party workman was in the habit of absenting himself from duty without prior permission or intimation. In all he remained absent for 76 days within three years of his service. The disciplinary authority felt that the dismissal was the proper punishment. The action of the II party in dismissing the I party is justified. The II party has proved that the reference should be rejected holding that the action of the II party in dismissing the I party is correct.

5. My learned predecessor has framed a preliminary issue, viz., Whether the II party proves that it has held the Domestic Enquiry in accordance with Law?

6. On the preliminary issue the II party examined the Enquiry Officer (MW-1) and got marked Exs. M-1 to M-8. The I party got himself examined.

7. My learned predecessor by his considered order dated 10-8-1989 has held that the Domestic Enquiry conducted by the management was in accordance with the Law. He has directed the parties to adduce evidence on rest of the points.

8. Apart from the evidence adduced on the preliminary issue, the parties have not adduced any further evidence. On merits both parties have closed their evidence with the evidence already on record. Ex. M-1 is the first charge sheet (Show Cause Notice) issued to the I party. In Ex. M-1, as per the provisions mentioned, the I party has committed misconduct under Standing Order 15(b) (1) and (30). 15b1 relates to misconduct if the workman is absent without permission. 15(b)(30) relates to misconduct if a workman is habitually absent without leave. Standing order 16(a) says that in awarding punishment, the extenuating or aggravating circumstances or the previous record of the workman should be taken into consideration. It is argued by the learned counsel for the I party workman that the management has not considered the past records or the extenuating circumstances. Ex. M-8 dated 2-12-1983 is the dismissal order passed, after issuing second Show Cause notice Ex. M-7 dated 25-10-1983. From Ex M-8 it is clear that the Manager before ordering dismissal of the I party, has perused the past records and has also considered whether there is mitigating and extenuating circumstances. This is absolutely clear from Ex. M-8. In view of the clear recital in Ex. M-8 the argument of the learned counsel for the I party that there was statutory violation cannot be accepted.

9. It has been laid down by the Supreme Court in AIR 1964 Page 506 (State of Mysore Vs. Manche Gowda) that if past record of the employee is taken into consideration, the second Show Cause Notice to the employee must disclose this. This authority is not applicable to the facts of the present case because the Manager has stated in Ex. M-8 that he has considered the past record and also mitigating and extenuating circumstances, if any.

10. The learned counsel for the I party relied on 1986 Supreme Court Labour Law Journal Page 490 (S. G. Chemicals and Dyes Trading Employees Union Vs. S. G. Chemicals and Dyes Trading Limited and Another). This authority of the Supreme Court relates to unfair labour practice and closure of the establishment. This authority also has no application.

11. Since I have come to the conclusion that there was no statutory violation committed by the II party, 1973 Vol. I Labour Law Journal Page 226 (Sirci Municipality Vs. Cecilia Kom Francis Tellis) page 230 Para 19 is also not applicable. This authority deals with dismissal of an employee in violation of the mandatory procedural requirement or on grounds

which are not sanctioned or supported by statute. That is not the position at present.

12. A.I.R. 1981 (Vol. 68) Supreme Court Page 1626 (M/s. Firestone Tyre and Rubber Co. of India (P) Ltd., Vs. The Workmen employed represented by Firestone Tyre Employees' Union) as is clear from para 10 at page 1629 deals with a dispute relating to the dismissal of an industrial employee where no enquiry has been held or the enquiry which has been held is not proper or fair or that the findings recorded by the Enquiry Officer are perverse. This authority is not applicable to the facts of the present case because the I party workman has admitted the misconduct and my learned predecessor has passed a considered order holding that the Domestic Enquiry held is proper.

13. It is argued by the learned counsel for the I party that the punishment of dismissal from service imposed on the I party is disproportionate to the gravity of the misconduct.

14. It is argued by the learned counsel for the II party that the I party had admitted the misconduct and the punishment ordering dismissal is adequate and proper. It is further argued that the punishment is not disproportionate to the misconduct. To put it positively it is argued that the punishment imposed is proportionate to the misconduct. As against this, these submissions, it is argued by the learned counsel for the I party that the dismissal is not proper and that the punishment imposed is disproportionate to the misconduct involved. I will consider this point presently.

15. Ex. M-1 is the first show cause notice dated 5-9-1983 issued to I party workman. As has already been stated the provisions of law mentioned in Ex. M-1 are that the I party had committed misconduct as contemplated under Standing Order 15 (b) (1) and (30). These two standing orders 15 (b) (1) and 15(b) (30) are mentioned in figures. But in the body of the show cause notice Ex. M-1, while narrating the misconduct, what has been stated is that the I party was absent from duty from 22-7-83 to 6-8-83 without any prior permission or intimation to the competent authority. Nothing is mentioned about the habitual absence of the I party. 15 (b) (30) contemplates misconduct due to habitual absence. Habitual absence is not mentioned, to repeat, in the first chargesheet Ex. M-1 1956 Vol. II Labour Law Journal page 439 (Laxmi Devi Sugar Mills Ltd. Vs. Nand Kishore Singh, an employee was punished because of insubordination but the chargesheet which was furnished to the employee did not mention the misconduct relating to insubordination. It has been held by the Supreme Court that the management cannot be allowed to justify its action since the employee was not charged with the acts of insubordination. In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court, bearing in mind that Ex. M-1 did not mention the misconduct relating to habitual absence it should be held in the present case that the II party could not have dismissed the I party taking into consideration habitual absence also as has been done as per the dismissal order Ex. M-8.

2357 GI190—21

16. The learned counsel for the II party relied on Ex. M-4 which gives the attendance details of I party. Ex. M-4 undoubtedly shows that absence of I party on many occasions earlier. Though Ex. M-4 has been signed by the Time Keeper, Section Agent and Pay Roll Officer at the top, it is very significant to note that the Deputy General Manager who should have signed at the bottom has not put his signature to Ex. M-4. For yet another valid reason Ex. M-4 cannot be allowed to militate against the I party workman. The first chargesheet Ex. M-1 does not mention the habitual absence. The II party cannot be allowed to fill-up the gap when there is no foundation in Ex. M-1. It has been laid down by the Supreme Court in A.I.R. 1972 S.C. Page 1975 (Binny Limited Vs. Their workmen) that where a delinquent is charged of a particular misconduct but the dismissal order is passed as a result of the cumulative effect of the particular misconduct charged as well as the past lapses for which opportunity to explain was not given, the dismissal order is not maintainable. So the dismissal order as per Ex. M-8 which has been passed taking into consideration the past records, viz., habitual absence which has not been stated in the first chargesheet, Ex. M-1 has to be set aside.

17. The misconduct alleged against the I party is that he was absent without permission from 22-7-83 to 6-8-83 (Sixteen days) without any prior permission or intimation to the competent authority. The explanation offered by the I party is that he picked a quarrel with his father and ran to his native place and after peaceful settlement he came back to K.G.F. He has prayed that he may be excused for the absence. Of course this explanation has been rejected by the II party. It has been laid down by the Supreme Court in A.I.R. 1989 S.C. Page 149 (Scooter India Limited, Lucknow Vs. Labour Court, Lucknow that though Disciplinary enquiry is found to be fair and lawful and its findings were not vitiated in any manner,—that by itself would not be a ground for non interference of the order of termination of service. The Supreme Court has been pleased to lay down in this authority that the erring workman should be given an opportunity to reform himself and prove to be loyal and disciplined employee. The order of reinstatement passed by the Labour Court has been confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. In the explanation to Ex. M-1 the I Party has stated that he promised to work regularly hereafter. This is clear from explanation Ex. M-2 given by the I party. Bearing in mind the facts of the present case and the law laid down by the Supreme Court in AIR 1989 S.C. page 149. I am of opinion, the order dismissing the I party from service is disproportionate to the misconduct.

18. For the aforesaid reasons, I hold that the dismissal of the I party from service is not proper and that the punishment is disproportionate to the misconduct. Accordingly I order reinstatement of the I party workman.

19. It is argued by the learned counsel for the I party that if reinstatement is ordered, the I party should be granted full back wages. It is argued by the learned counsel for the II party that this is not a case in which back wages should be granted, even if reinstatement is ordered.

20. The I party has joined service under the II party in 1980. He has invited trouble just three years later. This means when the order of dismissal was passed he had put in only about three years of service. We are now in the year of grace 1990. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the II party that the II party is working under loss amounting to some cross. The II party is not to blame for the delay in disposal of this case. My learned predecessor was continuously on leave because of illness. For the reasons stated in this para, this is not a fit case in which full back wages should be ordered. I am of opinion that if the II party is ordered to pay a fixed sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the I party ends of justice will be met. Ordered accordingly. The order of dismissal of the I party is set aside. II party is directed to reinstate the I party forthwith. Further the II party is directed to pay a sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the I party. This amount shall carry interest at 9% p.a. till it is paid by the II party to the I party Award passed as stated herein. On reinstatement I party shall get the original seniority.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, taken down by her, got typed and corrected by me.)

M. B. VISHWANTH, Presiding Officer
[No. L. 43012/14/88-D. III (B)]
V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE
BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL
GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL.
NO. 2 AT DHANBAD

Reference No. 77/86

Employers in relation to the management of
Colliery Industry.

AND

Their Workmen.

PETITION OF COMPROMISE

The humble petition on behalf of the parties to the above reference most respectfully sheweth :—

1. That the above dispute has been amicably settled between the parties on the following terms :—

TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

- (a) That in view of the promotion of the concerned workman Shri T. L. Sethia to the post of Chageman in Technical & Supervisory Grade—'C' with effect from 22-12-1988, the union does not press for his regularisation as Asstt. Foreman in Grade—'C'.
- (b) That the concerned workman will be deemed to have been notionally promoted in Grade—'C' with effect from 27-1-1986 which is the date of reference and his seniority in Grade—'C' will be considered with effect from 27-1-1986. He will not be entitled to difference of wages or any other benefit from the period from 1-1-1986 to 22-12-1988 on account of this retrospective promotion to Grade—'C'.

2. That in view of the above settlement there remains nothing to be adjudicated.

Under the facts and circumstances stated above, the Hon'ble Tribunal will be graciously pleased to accept the settlement as fair and proper and be pleased to pass the Award in terms of settlement.

For the workmen :

- 1.
- 2.

For the Employers :

- 1.
- 2.

Witness :

- 1.
- 2.

श्रम मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 28 अगस्त, 1990

का. आ. 2469.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार नार्थ सियर्सोल कोलियरी आफ मै. ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि. के प्रबंधन के संवेद नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कलकत्ता के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 22-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 28th August, 1990

S.O. 2469.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of North Searsole Colliery of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Limited and their workmen, which was approved by the Central Government on the 22-8-1990.

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

Reference No. 48 of 1988.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of
North Searsole Colliery of M/s. Eastern
Coalfields Limited.

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCE :

On behalf of employers—none.

On behalf of workmen—None.

STATE : West Bengal.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

AWARD

By Order No. L-19012(108)/86-D. IV(B), dated 23rd April, 1987, the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

“Whether the action of the Management of North Searsole Colliery of M/s. E. C. Ltd. P.O. Searsole Rajbari, Distt. Burdwan (WB) in dismissing Shri Ram Badyakar, Surface Trimmer w.e.f. 21-5-1984, is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?”

2. When the case is called out today for hearing, neither party appears inspite of the service of the notice with the direction that the case would be taken up in the absence of the workman if they failed to appear. It appears from the record that on previous occasions also nobody appeared for the workman inspite of the service of the notices.

3. In the circumstances it appears that the parties are not interested to proceed with the present reference and accordingly this Tribunal has no other alternative but to pass a “No Dispute Award” and I pass the “No Dispute Award”.

This is my Award.

Dated : Calcutta,

The 10th August, 1990.

SUKUMAR CHAKRAVARTY, Presiding Officer

[No. L-19012/108/86-D. III (B)/D. IV(B)]

का.आ. 2470.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 4) की धारा 17 के अनुसूच में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मै. वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि. नागपुर के प्रबंधन के संवत्त निष्ठाओं और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नं. 2 बम्बई के पंचायत को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2470.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, cum Labour Court No. 2 as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Western Coalfields Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 27-8-90.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT :

Shri P. D. Apshankar, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2/47 of 1988

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the Management of M/s. Western Coalfields Ltd., Nagpur.

AND

Their Workmen

APPEARANCES :

For the Employer—Shri A. K. Sasi, Advocate

For the Workmen—(1) Shri P. S. Marpalkar, Advocate. (2) Shri R. K. Chib, President, WCL HQ. Branch, RKKMS, Nagpur.

INDUSTRY : Coal Mines STATE : Maharashtra
Bombay, dated the 3rd August, 1990

AWARD

The Central Government by their Order No. L-21011/32/87-D. III. B/D. IV-B dated 3-11-1988 have referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the management of M/s. Western Coalfields Ltd., Nagpur in not treating the continuity of services of their erstwhile employees S/Shri V. M. Parmar, S. R. Pujari, A. Francis, Smt. J. Gonsalves, J. J. Pandit, C. C. Trivedi, M. M. Giradkar, D. W. Chinote and V. S. Kale for the purpose of fixation of pay, seniority, promotion etc. from time to time is justified? If not, to what relief the workmen concerned are entitled?”

2. The Secretary, Rashtriya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sangh, WCL H. Q. Branch, Nagpur filed their claim statement (Ex.2) challenging the said action of the management.

3. The management filed their written statement. (Ex.3) in support of their said action.

4. The necessary Issues were framed at Ex. 4.

5. Thereafter while the case was at the stage of evidence, both the parties arrived at an amicable settlement (Ex. 5) and filed the terms of settlement, which are thus :—

“(1) That the fixation of (1) Sri S. R. Pujari, (2) Sri A. Francis, (3) Sri V. M. Parmar, (4) Sri J. J. Pandit, and (5) Sri Ramchand Laxman shall be in accordance with the practice in vogue for fixation of such employees at HQ. with seniority and notional fixation w.e.f. 1-5-73 upto December 1988 and the financial benefits to be paid w.e.f. 1-1-1989 only. Accordingly, the basic and grade in terms of NCWA-IV as on 1-1-1989 of these employees are mentioned in Annexure ‘A’.

(2) That Sri V. S. Kale and Sri M. M. Giradkar who have retired recently shall be paid a lump sum of Rs. 4000 (Rs. Four Thousand only) and Rs. 4,500 (Rs. Four Thousand and Five hundred only) respectively. In respect of Sri P. W. Chinote, and Sri C. C. Trivedi, who have retired on superannuation, long back and Mrs. Gonsalves who had been promoted to Executive Cadre about 12 years ago, it was agreed that the parties will settle the claim amongst themselves amicably.

(3) That with the above settlement, the cases of former employees of M/s C. P. Syndicate

(P) Ltd stands settled in all respects and there will be no demand for any payment or any benefit in respect of any person of erstwhile M/s C. P. Syndicate (P) Ltd. upto the period of 31-12-1988 at any forum, and, if any claim is filed by anybody it will be liable to be summarily dismissed.

(4) That the parties agreed to send the implementation report to all concerned authorities within a month from the date of pronouncement of the consent award by the Hon'ble Presiding Officer, CGIT, Bombay. If it is not submitted, then, it will be presumed that this settlement has been implemented in full.

(5) A copy of this settlement is to be filed before the Hon'ble Industrial Tribunal-

cum-Labour Court, Bombay with the request to pronounce Consent Award, accordingly."

6. I find that the said settlement is quite beneficial to both the parties. It has been signed by the President and Jt. Secretary, RKKMS HQs, Nagpur on behalf of workmen and the PM(SE), WCI, HQs and the Dy. Personnel Manager (IR), W.C.L., Nagpur on behalf of the management. It has been signed by two witnesses on behalf of both the parties. As such the said settlement is quite valid and proper. Hence, Award must be, and is drawn in terms of the said settlement.

P. D. APSHANKAR, Presiding Officer
[No. L. 21011/32/87-D. III(B)/D IV(B)]
RAJA LAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE 'A'

STATEMENT OF FIXATION OF FORMER EMPLOYEES OF M/S SYNDICATE

Sl. No.	Name	Existing Design	Designation w.e.f.	Grade in NCWA-IV	Basic as on 1-1-89	Existing basic as on 1-1-89	Next date of increment
1.	Shri A. Francis	S.C.	O.S. w.e.f. 12-7-85	Rs. 1387-75-2137-80-2537/-	Rs. 2297.00	Rs. 2130/-	1-7-89
2.	Shri V.M. Parmar	O.S.	O.S. w.e.f. 1-1-80	Rs. 1387-75-2137-80-2537/-	Rs. 2457.00	Rs. 2217/-	1-3-89
3.	Shri S.R. Pujari	S.C.	O.S. w.e.f. 11-12-86	Rs. 1387-75-2137-80-2537/-	Rw. 2297.00	Rs. 2130	1-12-89
4.	Shri J.J. Pandit	Gr. I	Gr. I. w.e.f. 2-1-76	Rs. 1222-60-1702-66-2230	Rs. 1900-00	Rs. 1708/-	1-3-89
5.	Shri Ramchand Laxman	Peon	HD. Peon w.e.f. 13-4-83	Rs. 1050-27-1428/-	Rs. 1283.00	Rs. 1257/-	1-4-89

Sd/-
(ETB Sundaram)
Personnel Manager (SE)
I.R. Department

Sd/-
(R.K. Chib)
President, RKKMS, HQs Branch,
Nagpur

Sd/-
(V.P. Hardiya)
Jt. Secretary, RKKMS HQs Branch,
Nagpur

नई दिल्ली, 28 अगस्त, 1990

का. ग्रा. 2471.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बनारस स्टेट बैंक लिमिटेड के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, श्रम न्यायालय कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 28th August, 1990

S.O. 2471.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Banaras State Bank Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 27-8-90.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI ARJAN DEV, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, PANDU NAGAR, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 120 of 1988

In the matter of dispute between :

Shri M. N. Upadhyaya, General Secretary, All India Benares State Bank Employees Union, C.K. 27/4, Chapriya Gali Chowk, Varanasi.

AND

Assistant General Manager, Benaras State Bank Limited. H. O. D-52/1, Luxarod, Varanasi.

AWARD

1. The Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its notification no. L-12012/100/87-D-4(A) dt. 28-9-88 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

Whether the action of the management of Benaras State Bank Ltd. in not changing the designation of Shri Laxman Kant Tiwari, clerk-cum-cashier to clerk/typist clerk/gown keeper is justified? If not to what relief the workman entitled?

2. The instant case is fixed for filing of affidavit evidence today but none appeared from either side despite issue of notices to both the parties. Between 26-3-90 and 17-7-90 dates 26-4-90 and 4-5-90 were

given to the Union for filing of affidavit evidence but despite issue of notice, the Union neither appeared nor filed affidavit evidence in support of their case which clearly shows that it is not interested in prosecuting the case.

3. Thus from the facts stated above it appears that the Union is not interested in the case and as such a no claim award is given in the case against the Union/workman.

4. Reference is answered accordingly.

17-7-90

ARJAN DEV, Presiding Officer
[No. L-12012/100/87- D.IV(A)]

का.ग्रा. 2472—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के प्रबंधन से संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण उच्चतम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2472.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 27-8-1990.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. N. SHUKLA, PRESIDING
OFFICER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUS-
TRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,

JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(36)/1981

PARTIES :

Employer in relation to the management of State Bank of India, Seoni, Balaghat (M.P.) and their workman, Shri M. L. Raichandani C/o. Shri G. S. Chauday, Clerk, Civil Court, Balaghat, Distt. Balaghat (M.P.)

APPEARANCES :

For Workman—Shri P. S. Nair, Advocate.

For Management—Shri C. C. Jain, Advocate.

INDUSTRY Banking DISTRICT : Balaghat
(M. P.)

AWARD

Dated : August 17, 1990.

This is a reference made by the Central Government Ministry of Labour, vide its Notification No. L-12012 (195)/80-D. II (A), dated 26th August, 1981, for adjudication of the following dispute. Though this reference was made as back as in the year 1981 the proceedings were stayed by High Court vide order dated 30-12-1982 which was filed by the workmen before this Court on 3-1-1983. The writ petition No. 1725/82 was dismissed summarily on 16-3-1983. Thereafter the case was fixed for evidence of parties ;

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India Seoni Branch in terminating the services of Shri M. L. Raichandani, Cashier-cum-Clerk w.e.f. 1-1-1967 is justified ? If not, to what relief is the workmen concerned entitled to ?".

2. Undisputed facts of the case are that the workman, M. L. Raichandani, worked with the State Bank of India Branch, Seoni since 8-11-1965 to 31-12-1966 as a temporary Clerk-cum-Cashier after interview which was held in the year 1965. It is also not disputed that neither this workman was given retrenchment notice nor retrenchment compensation and his services were terminated with effect from 31-12-1966.

3. The case of the workman in brief is that he had worked for 296 days in one calendar year i.e. for a period of one year in accordance with the provisions of Section 25-B of the I. D. Act. He has also made various grievances to the effect that he was employed against two vacancies along with another workman, Shri Tiwari, who was not removed from service. It was also averred that in the year 1966 Agent had taken interview in which he along with Shri Tiwari had passed and appointment letters of both these workmen were sent. Thus both or them were doing well in temporary service of the management, State Bank of India. Though Shri Tiwari was posted as Cashier as per order of the Bombay Office but the present workman was not given any such posting. It was said that he would be again interviewed. Though he cleared subsequent examination but he was not given appointment. In the year 1973 all the temporary employees were called for interview at Bhopal but this workman was not called. Thus this is a case of clear discrimination against workman concerned.

4. Though the workman was all along working under a permanent vacancy on the post of Cashier-cum-Clerk and even though he was willing to work and has been offering his service every day the management created artificial break without any justification. There was work for him, and the workman was available but with a view to create unwarranted break the management resorted to unfair labour practice. The management was not justified in not giving work to the workman during the intermediary period. In any case, the workman will be deemed to be in employment continuously from 8-11-1965 to 31-12-1966

and having put in more than 240 days service will be deemed to be in continuous service for more than a year.

5. The management had on representation by the union agreed to conduct a special test for all employees who had worked for 270 days and gave them permanent job. The workman was not given a chance to appear in test and thus discriminated. Again the management decided to give N.I.B.M. test to be conducted exclusively for those temporary clerk cum-cashier who have put in nine months service but this opportunity was also denied to him. After the judgment of Sundramoney by the Supreme Court the management put back in service all the employees who had put in 240 days service. While others were taken back in service this workman was discriminated and he was not taken back in service even though he had put in 240 days service, in the permanent vacancy of two posts in which this workman and Shri Tiwari were working, and services of this workman were terminated. One Shri Srivastava was appointed in his place by Shri Saxena, Agent. Thus there is discrimination in his case.

6. In the examinations held in 1973 to appear in the interview the workman was fully entitled for the same but he was not given a chance to appear in the said examination. There was another interview for regular appointment in 1975, 1978 and 1979. In all these interviews the case of the workman was not considered. The workman was repeatedly representing from 1-1-1967 onwards to the authorities concerned but the authorities consistently refused to pay heed to the request of the workman. There is no compliance of the provisions of Section 25-F and 25-C of the I. D. Act. Similarly there is no compliance of the Rule 276 and 276-A. The management also did not follow the rule 'last come first go' provided under Section 25-B of the Act without the slightest justification. After the termination of the services of the workman thousands of employees in the State Bank of India were recruited but the claim of the present workman was never considered. The workman, therefore, claims reinstatement in service from 1-1-1967 with all the benefits which he would have drawn had he remained in service. The workman has given a list during which period he had worked which is as follows :—

List of days workman worked from 1965 to 1966.

1. 8-11-65 to 31-12-65	54 days—Clerk
2. 1-11-66 to 6-1-66	6 days — Clerk
3. 19-1-66 to 22-1-66	4 days — Clerk
4. 25-1-66	1 day — Clerk
5. 31-1-66 to 5-2-66	5 days — Clerk
6. 14-2-66 to 13-7-66	150 days — Clerk
7. 1-9-66 to 30-9-66	30 days — Cashier
8. 17-11-66 to 31-12-66	45 days — Cashier

TOTAL 296 days

management on leave vacancy of other employees of Seoni Branch on purely temporary basis and hence this Court has no jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the reference. The nature of duties of the workman is purely temporary on leave vacancies which fell from time to time and was appointed for a period of shorter than a month or a month. The workman never worked continuously in a year for 240 days excluding Sundays and Holidays. He never worked uninterrupted. Financial year of the Bank commences from 1st day of new calendar year and expires on the last day of the calendar year. The dispute raised is highly belated and the employee slept over for a period of about 14 years and thus his claim is barred by limitation. He was appointed for a limited period under contract of service and the contract came to an end on the expiry of that period. He is, therefore, not entitled to the relief claimed.

8. According to the management, the following were holidays and the workman did not actually work for the management on the undermentioned dates :—

Holidays during the year 1966 (1-1-1966 to 31-12-1966).

Name	No. of days	Date	Week days
1. Idul Fitr	1	January 24	Monday
2. Republic Day	1	January 26	Wednesday
3. Maha Sivaratri	1	February 18	Friday
4. Holi	1	March 7	Monday
5. Ramanavami	1	March 31	Thursday
6. Muharram	1	May 2	Monday
7. Half yearly closing of Banks accounts.	1	June 30	Thursday
8. Miladun Nabi	1	July 2	Saturday
9. Independence day	1	August 15	Monday
10. Rakshabandhan	1	August 30	Tuesday
11. Janmastami	1	September 8	Thursday
12. Diwali	2	November 11 & 12	Friday and Saturday
13. Guru Nanak's Birth day.	1	November 23	Monday
14. Annual closing of Banks Accounts.	1	December 31	Saturday

Notes : (1) Mahavir Jayanti, 3rd April
(2) Ganesh Chaturthi, 18th September.
(3) Gandhi Jayanti, 2nd October.
(4) Dussehra 23rd October
and

(5) Christmas Day, 25th December
fell on Sundays, therefore the above five holidays have not been separately declared.

7. Management denied the claim of the workman. According to the management the workman is not a 'workman' within the definition of Section 2(s) of the I. D. Act. The workman was employed by the

Following were the days that fell on Sunday during the period of employment claimed by him in the 1966.

Month	Dates	
January 1966	2	1 Sunday
February 1966	21, 27	2 Sunday
March 1966	6, 13, 20, 27	4 Sunday
April 1966	3, 10, 17, 24	4 Sunday
May 1966	1, 8, 15, 22, 29	5 Sunday
June 1966	5, 12, 19, 26	4 Sunday
July 1966	3, 10	2 Sunday
September 1966	4, 11, 18, 25	4 Sunday
November 1966	20, 27	2 Sunday
December 1966	4, 11, 18, 25	4 Sunday
		32 days

Actual working days of the workmen from 1-1-66 to 31-12-66 are as under, totalling 198 days: —

Days of actual working dates	Total days	Month
1, 3 to 6, 19 to 22, 25, 31	11	January 66
1 to 5, 14 to 17, 19, 21 to 26, 28	17	February 66
1 to 5, 8 to 12, 14 to 19, 21 to 26, 28 to 30.	25	March 66
1, 2, 4 to 9, 11 to 16, 18 to 23, 25 to 30.	26	April 66
3 to 7, 10 to 14, 16 to 21, 23 to 28, 30 & 31	24	May 66
1 to 4, 8 to 11, 13 to 18, 20 to 25, 27 to 30.	24	June 66
1, 4 to 9, 11 to 13	10	July 66
— — —	—	August 66
1 to 3, 5 to 7, 9, 10, 12 to 17, 19 to 24, 28 to 30.	25	September 66
— — —	—	October 66
19, 21 to 26, 29, 30	9	November 66
1 to 3, 5 to 10, 12 to 17, 19 to 24, 26 to 31.	27	December 66
Total — 198 days		

Thus as per above statement the workman only worked for 198 days and not 240 days in a year i.e. preceding one year commencing from 1-11-1966 to 31-12-1966. The workman was provided with an opportunity to appear in the recruitment test but he failed to qualify the same, hence period for service as claimed by the workman was denied. Once the opportunity having been given to the workmen and because he failed to qualify the same it rendered him disentitled to appear in subsequent tests. Reference is, therefore, liable to be dismissed.

9. Following issues were framed by my learned predecessor, Hon'ble Justice S. R. Vyas, and my findings are recorded against each of them.

ISSUES

1. Whether the workman proves that he was in continuous service of the State Bank of India as defined by Section 25B of the I. D. Act ?
2. Whether the management of the State Bank of India was justified in terminating/bring

an end to the employment of the workman ?

3. Relief ?

FINDINGS WITH REASONS

10. Issues No. 1 to 3 :—I will take up all these issues together. It is true that it has been challenged that the workman and the burden lies on the workman concerned to prove that he is a workman. Without going into the details of these facts which were not hotly contested. It can be safely said that the workman was workman within the definition of Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act. These facts can be well gathered from the documents Ex. M/1 and Ex. M/2, his attendance register Ex. M/3 to Ex. M/29 as also Ex. M/30 with annexure list of the candidates. Ex. M/31 is Agreement between the management and the employees Federation, according to which the workmen who had completed one year service should be absorbed. Ex. M/32 is another letter.

11. Management has examined R. L. Agarwal Officer of the Bank in support of its case while the workman examined none.

12. According to this witness the workman had joined at Seoni Branch, State Bank of India, as temporary Cashier-cum-Clerk on 15-11-1965. He was appointed against leave vacancy of S. K. Jain and was given an appointment order. He brought the register for the period from 15-11-65 to 31-12-66. According to him, workman worked upto 31-12-66 and his services were terminated with effect from 1-1-1967.

13. Taking the case as it is, it is obvious that the management has not counted his service prior to the period 1-1-1966. According to the workman, he undisputedly served from 15-11-1965 and this fact has been admitted by the management.

14. As I have already pointed out above, management has denied that the workman concerned had worked from 8-11-1965 to 31-12-1965 i.e. for a period of 54 days it follows that the management has nothing to say against it. In its various statements and rejoinders they have given details of dates during which this workman had worked in the year 1966. Even M.W. 1, R. L. Agarwal, has partly admitted the case of the workman inasmuch as he admitted that this workman joined the State Bank of India on 15-11-1965. The management has, however, filed the attendance register of the workman since 20-12-1966 onwards and not from 8-11-1965. Thus there is nothing to disbelieve this part of the version of the workman that he had worked from 8-11-1965 to 31-12-1965 in the year 1965. It follows that he worked for 54 days upto 31-12-1965. Thus if this period is added to the period of working days of this workman as given by the management i.e. 198 days, it would exceed 240 days. But the question is whether this period of 54 days should also be computed for the purposes of computing under the provisions of Sec. 25B read with Sec. 25F of the I.D. Act, for this period of service of the workman has been completely ignored by the management and according to the management the year commences from 1st day of each English Calendar month and ends with last last day of the English Calendar month.

15. In this regard, there are various judgments of the Supreme Court based both on principle and precedent. Sec. 25B(2) comprehends of situation where a workman is not on employment for a period of 12 calendar months but has rendered service for a period of 240 days within the period of 12 calendar months commencing and counting backwards from the relevant date i.e. the date of retrenchment. I may reproduce the relevant part of the case of Mohan Lal Vs. The Management of M/s. Bharat Electronics Ltd. (AIR 1981 SC 1253) Relevant part of para 14 of the judgment runs as thus :—

“14. We have already extracted Section 25B since its amendment and the change in language is the legislative exposition of which note must be taken. In fact, we need not further dilate upon this aspect because in *Surenbra Kumar Verma Vs. Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi* (1980) 4 SCC : (AIR 1981 SC 422) Chinnappa Reddy, J., after noticing the amendment and referring to the decision in *Sur Enamel and Stamping Works (P) Ltd. case* (AIR 1963 SC 1914) held as under (at page 426 of AIR) :

“These changes brought about by Act 36 of 1964 appear to be clearly designed to provide that a workman who has actually worked under the employer for not less than 240 days during a period of twelve months shall be deemed to have been in continuous service for a period of one year whether or not he has in fact been in such continuous service for a period of one year. It is enough that he has worked for 240 days in a period of 12 months. It is not necessary that he should have been in the service of the employer for one whole year.”

In a concurring judgment Pathak J. agreed with this interpretation of Section 25B (2). Therefore, both on principle and on precedent it must be held that Section 25B(2) comprehends a situation where a workman is not in employment for period of 12 calendar months, but has rendered service for a period of 240 days within the period of 12 calendar months commencing and counting backwards from the relevant date i.e. the date of retrenchment. If he has, he would be deemed to be in continuous service for a period of one year for the purpose of Section 25B and Chapter VA.”

16. Thus in the instant case, for the purposes of computing the period of employment it shall be 31st December, 1966 backwards i.e. the date of retrenchment of the workman and as such it will commence from 1st January, 1966. Thus in computing the period of employment in the instant case the date shall be from 1-1-1966 to 31-12-1966 i.e. 12 calendar months and as such the period of service rendered in the year 1965 has to be ignored for the purpose of Sec. 25B(2) of the I. D. Act. To further clarify the position, in the case of Mohan Lal (supra) he was employed and was on duty from 8th December, 1973 to October 1974 when his services were terminated

and in computing the period of employment was 12 calendar months preceding October 1974.

17. The next question that was raised before me was whether because the workman, according to the management was a casual worker employed in the vacancy period of another employee he cannot be treated as a workman within the definition of Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act. It was also said that the services of the present workman had a fixed period though there is nothing on record to show that his services were for a fixed or specific period. In this regard, I have to say that the temporary workman or casual workman are workman within the definition of the workman. Even the piece rated workers working in big tailoring establishment were workmen of establishment (See Page 488 of O. P. Malhotra “The Law of Industrial Disputes, Fourth Edition, Vol. I). In the case of *H. D. Singh Vs. Reserve Bank of India and Others* (1985 SCC (L&S) p. 975) *Tikka Mazdoors* have been treated as workmen within the definition of I. D. Act and the reference to Fourth Schedule of the Industrial Disputes Act which contains a list of unfair labour practice as defined in Sec. 2(ra) item 10 has been made. Thus the present workman who has been treated as a temporary employee as per Annexure to Ex. M/30 read with attendance register Ex. M/3 would be very much a workman within the definition of I. D. Act.

18. That apart, even assuming that his services were for a particular period or fixed period or expired by efflux time it would be a case of retrenchment within the meaning of I. D. Act. Provisions of Sec. 2(oo) which have been amended with effect from 18-8-84 by Act No. 49 of 1984 by which Clause (bb) has been added in the definition of retrenchment is not retrospective and as such this provisions would not be applicable to the facts of this case (*N. C. Srivastava Vs. Scooter India Ltd.* (1987 FIR 22). In the case of *State Bank of India Vs. N. Sunderamoney* (AIR 1976 SC 1111) the workman was employed for a period of 9 days. It was a specific clause that the appointment is purely one for a period of 9 days but may be terminated earlier without assigning any reason therefor at the Bank's discretion. Second clause was that the employment unless terminated earlier, will automatically cease at the expiry of the period i.e. 18-11-72. Even in this case the Supreme Court held that the termination of service of the workman concerned was retrenchment within the definition of Sec. 25F of the I. D. Act (Para 5 of the judgment).

19. Next question which is material to decide in this case is whether the service rendered by the workman for a period of 240 days was continuous service. The definition of the expression “continuous service” is preferred with the words “for the purpose of this Chapter” which means that the factor of continuity or interruption of service in terms of this definition is to be limited only for the purpose of this Chapter viz. for calculating qualifying service and payment of compensation under the provisions contained in this Chapter. The words “continuous service” occur in Sec. 25B, 25C, 25F, 25FF, 25FFF in Chapt. VA which give certain rights and advantages to the workman who have been in “continuous service” for specified period of time. The section further lays

down that the service shall be deemed to be continuous inspite of its interruption on account of any of the reasons added therein and should remain uninterrupted. While dealing with Sub-section (1) related to continuous service for a period, it consists of two parts i.e. (i) uninterrupted service—the use of the words “shall be said to be in continuous service” makes the part of the definition exhaustive i.e. all interrupted service means continuous service, on account of the following reasons viz. (a) sickness (b) unauthorised leave (c) accident (d) strike which is not illegal (e) lock out and (f) cessation of work i.e. not due to any fault on the part of the workman shall be included in the continuous service.

20. Thus by fiction the legislature has included service which may be interrupted on account of any of the reasons in “continuous service” for the purpose of this Chapter. These interruptions have to be ignored to treat the workman in uninterrupted service and such service uninterrupted on account of these cases which would be deemed to be uninterrupted would be “continuous service” for the period for which the workman had been in service. (See also *Kishore B. Dave Vs. The Dean, J. J. Group of Hospitals and others* (FLR 1986 (52) p. 380) paras 12 & 13).

21. In the case of *N. Sunderamoney* referred to above, the workman was appointed off and on by the State Bank of India between July 31, 1973 and August 29, 1973, it was observed that the intermittent breaks notwithstanding his total number of days of employment answered the test of “deemed” continuous service within Sec. 25B(2) and both the side had accepted this situation (Para 5 of the judgment).

22. In the instant case, cessation of the work was not due to any fault on the part of the workman and therefore it shall be included in the continuous service. Though the workman has specifically pleaded in para 13(vii) of his statement that he was ready and willing to serve but he was not given work and this fact has not been controverted by the management, it therefore cannot be said that cessation of work was due to any fault on the part of the workman and as such he would be deemed to be in continuous service despite the interruption in the service as pointed out above. While explaining words “actually worked” used in Sec. 25B(a) I would like to refer the case of *Workmen of American Express International Banking Corporation Vs. Management of American Express International Banking Corporation* (1985 FLR(51) p. 483). The relevant part of para 5 of the judgment runs as thus :—

“The expression which we are required to construe is ‘actually worked under the employer’. This expression, according to us, cannot mean those days only when the workman worked with hammer, sickle or pen, but must necessarily comprehend all those days during which he was in the employment of the employer and for which he had been paid wages either under express or implied contract of service or by compulsion of statute, standing orders etc. The learned counsel for the Management would urge that only those days which are

mentioned in the Explanation to section 25-B(2) should be taken into account for the purpose of calculating the number of days on which the workman had actually worked though he had not so worked and no other days. We do not think that we are entitled to so constrain the construction of the expression ‘actually worked under the employer’. The explanation is only clarificatory, as all explanations are, and cannot be used to limit the expanse of the main provision. If the expression ‘actually worked under the employer’ is capable of comprehending the days during which the workman was in employment and was paid wages and we see no impediment to so construe the expression there is no reason why the expression should be limited by the explanation. To give it any other meaning than what we have done would bring the object of Section 25-F very close to frustration. It is not necessary to give examples of how 25-F may be frustrated as they are too obvious to be stated.”

23. See also *O. P. Malhotra* (supra) Vol. II at page 1312, the relevant part of which runs as under :—

“There could, therefore, be no scope for argument that paid holidays are to be included in “actually worked”, certain deemed actual working days. Only those days which are provided in the explanation could be included in calculating 240 days in addition to the actual working days. The Explanation to S.25-B has included in the expression “the actually worked”, certain deemed actual working days. Only those days which are provided in the explanation could be included in calculating 240 days in addition to the actual working days. The meaning of the words “actually worked” cannot be enlarged beyond what is contained in the Explanation.

It has further been expressed as follows :—

“It is for the workman to show by adducing relevant evidence that he has been in continuous service for not less than one year under the employer who had retrenched matter of this reference and this Court will not go twelve months, he had served for not less than 240 days for maintaining his complaint that the provisions of S.25F were not complied with.”

24. I will not deal with the other points raised by the workman because they are not the subject matter of the reference and this Court will not go into roaming enquiry whether other workman, *Sri Tiwari*, was given job or the workman had passed test and even then he was not employed or various other workman were employed after his termination of service or he was not given chance to appear in the examination and interview. These points are neither relevant for the purpose of this reference nor they are ancillary to the reference. The reference is very clear and its scope is very narrow as to the validity of his termination from service with effect

from 1-1-67 and if not what relief is the workman concerned entitled to. In this regard, there are various judgments and I will cite few. They are Calcutta Electric Supply Corporation Ltd. Vs. Calcutta Electric Supply Workers Union and others (AIR 1959 SC 119); Firestone Tyre & Rubber Co. of India (P) Ltd. Vs. Workmen employed represented by the Firestone Tyre Employees Union (AIR 1981 SC 1626). But if certain facts lead to help the Court to arrive at a proper conclusion, they shall certainly be considered.

25. Before computing work actually done by the workman I would certainly like to reproduce para 4 of the judgment of Workmen of American Express International Banking Corporation (supra) which runs as under :—

"The principles of statutory construction are well settled. Words occurring in statutes of liberal import such as social welfare legislation and Human Rights legislation are not to be put in procrustean beds or shrunk to Liliputian dimensions. In construing those legislations the imposture of literal construction must be avoided and the prodigality of its mis-application must be recognised and reduced. Judges ought to be more concerned with the 'colour', the 'content' and the 'context' of such statutes (We have borrowed the words from Lord Wilberforce's opinion in *Prenn v. Simmonds*, (6) (1971) (3) A.E.R. 237). In the same opinion Lord Wilberforce pointed out that law is not to be left behind in some island of literal interpretation but is to enquire beyond the language, unisolated from the matrix of facts in which they are set; the law is not to be interpreted purely on internal linguistic considerations. In one of the cases cited before us, that is, *Surendra Kumar Verma v. Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court* (supra) we had occasion to say, Semantic luxuries are misplaced in the interpretation of 'bread and butter' statutes. Welfare statutes must, of necessity, receive a broad interpretation. Where legislation is designed to give relief against certain kind of mischief, the Court is not to make in roads by making etymological executions."

26. It would be worth consideration para 6 of the judgment of N. Sundara Money (supra), which runs as under :—

"The skiagram of the employment order must now be studied to ascertain which of the rival meanings counsel have pressed deserves preference. Statutory construction, when courts consider welfare legislation with an economic justice bias, cannot turn on cold print glorified as grammatical construction but on teleological purpose and protective intendment. Here Sections 25F, 25B and 2(oo) have a workers' mission and the input of Part IV of the Constitution also underscores this benignant approach. While canons of traditional sanctity cannot wholly

govern, courts cannot go haywire in interpreting provisions, ignoring the text and context. With these guidelines before us, we seek to decode the implications of the order of appointment. But before doing so, an analysis of the legal components of Section 25F will facilitate the diagnostic task."

27. Keeping all these legal proposition and the principles laid down therein I proceed to examine whether the workman has actually worked for 240 days during the year 1966 including holidays and Sundays which have been excluded by the management.

28. As per the written statement of the management para 2 and as reproduced in para 8 of the Judgment certain Sundays and Holidays which come in between the working days of the workman concerned, have also been excluded. While as per Circular of the State Bank of India No. Per/DA/No. 174 of 1982 dated 3rd December, 1982 issued by the General Manager, Shri T. V. Kini in reference to the Circular No. Per/No. 3 of 1982 dated 6th February 1982, he drew the attention of his subordinate staff to the effect that they are not complying certain instructions according to which the temporary employees who were in continuous employment for 15 days or more may be paid wages for intervening Sundays and Holidays on the lines of Bombay Local Head Office Circular Staff No. 22 of 1968. This Circular relates to messengerial staff but in principle applies to the workman concerned. Under the Minimum Wages (Central) Rules 1950 Rule 23 defines weekly day of rest and as per Clause (c) "any leave or holiday, with or without pay, granted by the employer to an employee in the period of six days immediately preceding the rest day", shall be deemed to be day on which the employee has worked. Though this provision does not appear to have been applicable to the Bank employee but the principle is the same and this principle may be applied on the basis of the principle of paramateria.

29. None of the parties could point any law, rule or regulation or order contrary to the above proposition. There were only few holidays and Sundays which can be prefixed or suffixed with the actual working days while remaining holidays & Sundays are intervening Sundays and Holidays which in all are 41 and if these Holidays and Sundays are added to actual working days i.e. 198 days as given by the management total working days would come to 239 days. The holidays & Sundays which should accordingly be included are as follows :—

Sundays	Holidays
2-1-1966	24-1-1966 Idulfitr.
23-1-1966	
6-2-1966	18-2-1966 Mahashivratni
20-2-1966	
27-2-1966	
6-3-1966	7-3-1966 Holi
13-3-1966	31-3-1966 Ramnavmi
20-3-1966	
27-3-1966	

M	Sundays	Holidays
April	3-4-1966 10-4-1966 17-4-1966 24-4-1966	-----
May	8-5-1966 15-5-1966 22-5-1966 29-5-1966	2-5-1966 Muharram
June	5-6-1966 12-6-1966 19-6-1966 26-6-1966	---
July	3-7-1966 10-7-1966	2-7-1966 Miladunabi
August	---	---
September	4-9-1966 11-9-1966 18-9-1966 25-9-1966	3-9-1966 Jama'atmi
October	---	---
November	20-11-1966 27-11-1966	18-11-1966 Gurunahak's Birth day.
December	4-12-1966 11-12-1966 18-12-1966 25-12-1966	---
Total	33 Sundays	08 Holidays

While computing the work actually done I have also kept in mind the principles laid down referred to in paras 25 and 26 of the award.

30. It has further to be pointed out that the workman had served the Bank for 54 days in the year 1965 also and this period has been ignored on account of the technicalities.

31. That apart, the manner in which the workman concerned was employed shows that the workman was available as and when required. In the case of H. D. Singh (supra) the Tikka Mazdoor has worked for 4 days in 1974, 154 days from January to December 1975 and 105 days from January to July 1976. Thus he worked for 202 days from July 1975 to July 1976 and adding 52 Sundays and 17 Holidays the total number of days on which worked were 271 days. It is true that there is little distinction in this case inasmuch as the workman used to report to the Bank regularly at 9.30 a.m. as per terms of the contract to ascertain whether he would get work on every day. On days when no work was given he had to wait till noon to be told by the authorities concerned that no work was available on such days. In this case all Sundays and Holidays from July 1975 to July 1976 were included for computing 240 days of continuous service.

32. Despite certain dissimilarity in the instant case with the case of H. D. Singh (supra) the substance is that in the instant case the workman was available as and when required which fact has not only been pleaded by him but has not been controverted by the management. Otherwise also the manner in which he was given work can certainly lead to a reasonable conclusion that unfair labour practice was adopted to

see that he does not complete 240 days as has been discussed in the case of H. D. Singh (supra) in para 13 of the judgment and deliberate breaks are apparent.

33. Thus without further ado we reach to the conclusion that the workman has swined into the harbour of Section 25F and he cannot be retrenched without payment at the time of retrenchment compensation computed as prescribed therein read with Section 25B(2). Thus the retrenchment is void ab initio and therefore he is entitled to be reinstated (See Mohan Lal Vs. Bharat Electronics Ltd. (1981) 3 SCC 225 & N. Sunderamoney supra).

34. Now the question is as to from what date he should be deemed to be reinstated and what further relief he should be granted. The workman, as admitted by him, was appearing in various tests and interviews and when he could not succeed ultimately sought the resort of this Court. He was retrenched as bask as on 1-1-1967. The reference was made on 26th August, 1981. While his case was pending before this Tribunal, to management considered his case as per Ex. M. 30 and the Agreement as per Ex. M|31, but according to the management he had not completed 240 days of continuous service and therefore he was not given the employment. This was obviously done after the reference was made. Thus there is substantial force in the version of the management that the workman concerned was sleeping over his right for more than a decade. There is, however, no period of limitation prescribed. The reference has been made. The terms of settlement, as per Ex. M|31 have not been enforced in his case. Thus these terms of settlement have to be ignored for the purpose of this individual case in the above circumstances.

25. Order of termination being void ab initio the workman would be deemed to be in continuous service from 1-1-1967 onwards but in the facts and circumstances of this case he will not be entitled to any back wages and other monetary benefits. He will, however, be entitled to his seniority from 1-1-1967 onwards and he be placed accordingly as per rules of the management and other benefits arising therefrom. He will, however, be entitled to wages from the date of award if he is not serving anywhere during this period or from the date of joining as the case may be. I record my findings accordingly and reference is answered as follows :—

1. The action of the management of the State Bank of India Seoni Br. in terminating the services of Shri M. L. Raichandani, Cashier-cum-Clerk w.c.f. 1-1-1967 is not justified.
2. He is entitled to be reinstated from 1-1-1967 and shall be deemed to be in continuous service from 1-1-1967 onwards; the management shall give him seniority accordingly and other benefits arising therefrom.
3. He will, however, be entitled to wages from the date of the award if he is not serving anywhere during this period or from the date of joining as the case may be.
4. No order as to costs.

V. N. SHUKLA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-12012|195|80-D.II(A)]
S. C. SHARMA, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 2473—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, मैसर्स भारत कोकिंग कोल लि. की ईस्टवासुरिया कोलियरी के प्रबंधन से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण (सं. 1), धनबाद क पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है।

New Delhi, the 29th August, 1990

S.O. 2473.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1), Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of East Bassuriya Colliery of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Limited and their workmen.

**INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I, DHANBAD
BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
ANNEXURE**

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)
(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.
Reference No. 62 of 1988

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of
East Bassuriya Colliery of M/s. BCCL Ltd.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT :

Shri S. K. Mitra Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

For the Workmen.—Shri D. Mukherjee, Secretary, Bihar Colliery Kamgar Union.

State : Bihar

Industry: Coal

Dated, the 24th July, 1990

AWARD

The present reference arises out of Order No. L-20012/12/88-D.3(A), dated the 14th June, 1988, passed by the Central Government in respect of an industrial dispute between the parties mentioned above. The subject matter of the dispute has been specified in the schedule to the order and the schedule runs as follows :

“Whether the action of the management of East Bassuriya Colliery of M/s. Kusunda Area of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., Dhanbad reverting Shri M. L. Karmakar, Lalji Bhat, Sital Kumar Dutta and Jitu Rajak from clerk Grade I to Clerk Gr. II w.e.f. 18-8-86 is justified? If not, to what relief are those workmen entitled?”

2. The dispute has been settled out of Court. A memorandum of settlement has been filed in Court. I have gone through the terms of settlement and I find them quite fair and reasonable. There is no reason why an award should not be made on the basis of terms and conditions laid down in the memo-

randum of settlement. I accept it and make an award accordingly. The memorandum of settlement shall form part of the award.

3. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Ministry as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

S. K. MITRA, Presiding Officer

[No. L-20012(12)/88-D.III(A)/IR(Coal-I)]

K. J. DYVA PRASAD, Desk Officer

APPENDIX

Before the Presiding Officer, Central Government

Industrial Tribunal No. 1 at Dhanbad

Reference No. 62/88

Employers in relation to the Management of
East Bussuriya Colliery.

AND

Their workmen

Petition of Compromise

The humble petition on behalf of the parties to the above reference most respectfully sheweth:—

1. That the above dispute has been amicably settled between the parties on the following terms—

Terms of settlement

A. That the concerned workmen S/Sri M. L. Karmakar, Laljee Bhat, Sital Kumar Dutta and Jitu Rajak will be deemed to have been promoted from clerical Gr. II to Clerical Gr. I with effect from 29-6-88 and their new basic in Gr. I will be fixed on 01-07-89 taking into consideration their promotion from 29-06-89.

B. That the management will not realise the excess amount paid to the concerned workmen on account of their irregular promotions from Gr. II to Gr. I in 1983.

C. That the final adjustment of basic in Grade I will be done on 01-07-90 which is the due date for payment of their next increment.

D. That the concerned workmen will have no further claim in this regard by way of difference of wages and this settlement finally resolved all disputes relating to their promotions.

2. That in view of the above settlement there remains nothing to be adjudicated.

Under the above facts and circumstances, the Hon'ble Tribunal will be graciously pleased to accept the settlement as fair and proper and be pleased to pass the Award in terms of the settlement.

For the Workman

For the Employers

1. Sd/- Illegible

2.

1. (U S SINGH), General Manager

2. (S P SINGH) Personnel Manager

Witnesses

1. Sd/- illegible

2.

सई दिल्ली, 30 अगस्त, 1990

का.आ. 3474 :- बोनस संदाय अधिनियम, 1965 (1965 का 21) की धारा 30 की उप धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और तारीख :- 12-87 की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 3516 के अधिक्रमण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार निम्न तालिका के कालम 2 में विनिर्दिष्ट अधिकारियों की ऐसे मामलों में, जहाँ केन्द्रीय सरकार समुचित सरकार है, केन्द्रीय सरकार के प्राधिकार के अधीन न्यायालय में शिकायत करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

क्रमांक	अधिकारी	क्षेत्रीय सीमा
1	2	3
1. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), ग्रहमशाबाद।		गुजरात राज्य और वादरा, नागर तथा हवेली और डमत तथा दीव संघ राज्य क्षेत्र।
2. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), अजमेर।		राजस्थान राज्य।
3. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), आसनसोलवा।		पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य के बर्धमान, ब्रारभूम, बोंकुरा तथा पुरुबिया के सिविल जिले।
4. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), बंगलोर।		कर्नाटक राज्य।
5. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), भुवनेश्वर।		उड़ीसा राज्य।
6. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), बम्बई।		निम्नलिखित सिविल जिलों को छोड़कर महाराष्ट्र राज्य :— नागपुर, भंडारा, अकोला, अमरावती, वर्धा, बुलधाना, जलगांव, चन्द्रपुर, गाडचिरोली, नांदेड, परभानी, योंतमाल, ओसमानाबाद, लातूर, और बिड और गोदा राज्य।
7. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), नागपुर।		महाराष्ट्र राज्य के निम्नलिखित सिविल जिले :— नागपुर, भंडारा, अकोला, अमरावती, वर्धा, बुलधाना, जलगांव, चन्द्रपुर, गाडचिरोली, नांदेड, परभानी, योंतमाल, ओसमानाबाद, लातूर और बिड।
8. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), कलकत्ता।		(i) बर्धमान, ब्रारभूम, बोंकुरा और पुरुबिया के सिविल जिलों को छोड़कर पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य। (ii) मित्रिम राज्य। (iii) अंडमान तथा निकोबार द्वीप समूह संघ राज्य क्षेत्र।
9. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), चंडीगढ़।		हिमाचल प्रदेश, हरियाणा, पंजाब, जम्मू तथा कश्मीर राज्य और चंडीगढ़ संघ राज्य क्षेत्र।
10. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), कोचीन।		केरल राज्य और लक्षद्वीप संघ राज्य क्षेत्र।
11. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), धनबाद।		बिहार राज्य के निम्नलिखित सिविल जिले :— धनबाद देवघर, गिरौडीह हजारीबाग, राँची, गुमला, नोहराडागा और मिर्जापुर।
12. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), पटना।		बिहार राज्य के निम्नलिखित सिविल जिले :— संथाल, परगना, रोहतास, भोजपुर, औरंगाबाद, गया, जहानाबाद, नवधा नालन्दा, मुंगेर, भागलपुर, गाँवा, कटिहार, पूर्णिया मधुबनी, बेगूसराय वैशाली, मुजफ्फरपुर, सीतामढ़ी, पूर्वी चम्पारन, पश्चिमी चम्पारन, बरभंगा, समस्तीपुर, नहरमा, पटना, नारन, मिर्जा, गोपालगंज, खगड़िया, माधोपुरा, पासासाऊ और साहिबगंज।
13. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), गुवाहाटी।		असम, नागालैंड, मेघालय, त्रिपुरा, मणिपुर; मिजोरम, तथा अरुणाचल प्रदेश राज्य।
14. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), हैदराबाद।		आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य और पांडिचेरी संघ राज्य क्षेत्र का पानस क्षेत्र।
15. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), जबलपुर।		मध्य प्रदेश राज्य।
16. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), कानपुर।		उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य।
17. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), मद्रास।		तमिलनाडु राज्य और पांडिचेरी संघ राज्य क्षेत्र (पानस को छोड़कर)।
18. क्षेत्रीय श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), दिल्ली।		दिल्ली संघ राज्य क्षेत्र।

[सं.पुस.-33023/1/90-अस्प.बी.]

पदमा बंकाचलम, उप सचिव

New Delhi, 30th August, 1990

S.O. 2474 —In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 30 of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 (21 of 1965), and in supersession of notification No. S.O. 3516, dated 4-12-87, the Central Government hereby authorises the officers specified in column 2 of the Table below to make complaint in a court for and under the authority of Central Government in cases where the Central Government is the appropriate Government.

TABLE

Serial N.	Officers	Territorial limits
1	2	3
1. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Ahmedabad.		The State of Gujarat and Union territories of Dadra, Nagar and Haveli and Daman and Diu.
2. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Ajmer.		The State of Rajasthan.
3. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Asansol.		The civil districts of Bardwan, Birbhum, Bankura and Purulia in the State of West Bengal.
4. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Bangalore.		The State of Karnataka.
5. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Bhubneshwar.		The State of Orissa.
6. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Bombay.		The State of Maharashtra excluding the following civil districts :— Nagpur, Bhanuara, Akola, Amravati, Wardha, Buldhana, Jalgaon, Chhatisgarh, Gadchiroli, Nanded, Parbhani, Yavatmal, Osmanabad, Latur and Bidar and the State of Goa.
7. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Nagpur.		The following civil districts of the State of Maharashtra : Nagpur, Bhanuara, Akola, Amravati, Wardha, Buldhana, Jalgaon, Chhatisgarh, Gadchiroli, Nanded, Parbhani, Yavatmal, Osmanabad, Latur and Bidar.
8. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Calcutta.		(i) The State of West Bengal excluding the civil districts of Bardwan, Birbhum, Bankura and Purulia. (ii) The State of Sikkim (iii) Union territory of Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
9. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Chandigarh.		The States of Himachal Pradesh, Haryana, Punjab, Jammu and Kashmir and Union territory of Chandigarh.
10. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Cochin.		The State of Kerala and Union territory of Lakshadweep.
11. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Dhanbad.		The following civil districts of the State of Bihar :— Dhanbad, Dhoogar, Giridih, Hazaribagh, Ranchi, Gumla, Lohardaga and Singhbhum.
12. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Patna.		The following civil districts of the State of Bihar :— Santhal, Pargana, Rohtas, Bhujpur, Arangabad, Gaya, Jehanabad, Nawada, Nalanda, Monghyr, Bhagalpur, Gonda, Katihar, Patna, Madhubani, Begusarai, Vaishali, Muzaffarpur, Siemadhi, East Champaran, West Champaran, Darbhanga, Samastipur, Saharsa, Patna, Saran, Siwan, Gopalganj, Khagaria, Madchpur, Palamou and Sahibganj.
13. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Guwahati.		The States of Assam, Nagaland, Meghalaya, Tripura, Manipur, Mizoram and Arunachal Pradesh.
14. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Hyderabad.		The State of Andhra Pradesh, Yanam of Union territory of Pondicherry.
15. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Jabalpur.		The State of Madhya Pradesh.
16. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Kanpur.		The State of Uttar Pradesh.

1	2	3
17. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Madras.	The State of Tamil Nadu and the Union territory of Pondicherry and Yanam.	
18. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Delhi.	Union territory of Delhi.	

[N. S. 330/3/1/90-WB]

PADMA VENKATACHALAM, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 31 अगस्त, 1990

का.अ. 2475—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उत्तर रेलवे, लखनऊ के प्रबंधन के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण कानपुर, को पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 23-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 31st August, 1990

S.O. 2475.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Northern Railway Lucknow and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government 23-8-90.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI ARJAN DEV, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, PANDU NAGAR, DEOKI PALACE ROAD, KANPUR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO 97 of 1990

In the matter of dispute between :
Zonal Working President,
Uttar Railway Karamchari Union,
96/196, Roshan Bajaj Lane,
Ganeshganj, Lucknow.

AND

Additional C.M.E. Northern Rly.,
Charbagh, Lucknow

AWARD

1. The Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its Notification No. L-41011/14/88-D-2(B) dt. 11-1-1990, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :—

Whether the additional CME Loco Workshop Northern Rly., Lucknow was justified in transferring Shri S. P. Saini & 8 others as per list enclosed from PCO to Loco Workshop treating these posts as ex-cadre posts? If not, to what relief the workmen concerned are entitled?

2. The above reference order was received in this Tribunal on 16-1-1990. From the perusal of the re-

ference order it appeared that the names of the persons about which reference has been made in the schedule were not found annexed as per list. Therefore a letter from the office was sent to the Ministry requesting to send the list of the remaining workmen, on 20-2-90. In reply to that letter the Ministry send the list vide their letter dt. 6/11-4-90. The names of the 8 workmen are :—

1. Sh. Jai Jai Ram S/o Sh. Khimna Pd. G. II 11-0-36 years
2. Sh Y. K. Singh S/o Gur Prasad OG 421 JPM-20 years
3. Sh Mohd Rafi S/o L. Shri Wali Mohd JPM-1, 1-12-58 9 years
4. Mohd Faheem S/o L. Ma. Basheer OG-26 JPM Gr. II 1-12-58
5. Daulat Ram S/o Sh. Amir Chand JPM OG-38 1-3-51—15 years
6. K. N. Tewari S/o Bishwa Nath Tewari OG-23 28-6-59 Gr-I 10 years—Turner
7. Amarnath S/o Munsiri Ram OG-29 1-10-56 Gr-II 20 years
8. B. P. Saini S/o L. Raghunath Pd. Saini OG-7 JPM-Gr 25 years 29-9-54
9. B. K. Bose S/o P. N. Bose 8-2-55 Gr-1106-20 14 years boiler maker.

3. In the instant case from the date of receipt of the reference order from the Ministry of Labour, till 31-7-90 no statement of claim was filed by the Union despite issue of notices on 30-4-90 and 27-6-90 respectively. It thus appears that the Union/workmen are not interested in prosecuting the case. As such a no claim award is given against the Union.

4. Reference is answered accordingly.

ARJAN DEV, Presiding Officer
[No. L-41011/14/88-DII (B)(Pt)]
K. V. B. UNNY, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 31 अगस्त, 1990

का.अ. 2476—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार जीवन बीमा निगम के प्रबंधन के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण अहमदाबाद को पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 131st August, 1990

ORDER

S.O. 2476.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Ahmedabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of Life Insurance Corporation and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI N. A. CHAUHAN, CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT AHMEDABAD

Reference (ITC) No. 13/90

L.I.C. of India, Ahmedabad First Party

AND

Its workmen,

C/o L.I.C. Employees' Union, Ahmedabad. Second Party

Whether the action of the First Party in modifying the service conditions of Sarvashri J. D. Raval and R. T. Dabhi is legal and valid ?

JUDGEMENT

1. The present reference was entrusted to Industrial Tribunal at Ahmedabad, for adjudicating the dispute between the parties under Sections 10(1)(gh) and 2(A), of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, which will be referred to as the Act of 1947, vide order No. L-17011/1/89-IR(B)-1 dated 8-2-1990, by the Labour Ministry of the Government of India, which has been allotted to us for disposal.

2. The industrial dispute between the parties which is to be adjudicated is as under :—

“Whether the action of the First Party in appointing the workmen, Sarvashri J.D. Raval and R.T. Dabhi, from Telephone Operators to Clerical Assistants, without issuing the necessary notice under Section 9 of the Act of 1947, is legal and valid or not? If not, to what relief the concerned workmen are entitled to ?”.

3. In this reference, notices were issued to both the parties to remain present on 9-3-1990 for hearing, which have been served on the parties personally vide Exh. 2. But on the day of hearing, neither of the parties remained present : nor did the union submit any evidence in support of their demand and no application for extending the time is received. As a result, there is reason to believe that the union is not interested in the demands raised on behalf of the workmen and therefore, they did not remain present on the date of hearing. As a result of the reason of absence of the union in this reference, due to lack of evidence, the order as under is being passed :

4. As the Second Party has not submitted any evidence in support of their demand by remaining present, the demand is not being accepted and thus the reference is being cancelled. No order is being passed concerning costs.

NARAIN SING CHAUHAN,

Sd/-

Central Industrial Tribunal

G. J. Dave, Secretary

5-4-1990

Ahmedabad.

Dt. 5-4-1990.

[No. L-17011/1/89-IR B(2)]

नई दिल्ली, 6 सितम्बर, 1990

का.आ. 2477—औद्योगिक विवाद आधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, यूनियन बैंक आफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के सबख नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 16-8-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 6th September, 1990

S.O. 2477.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Union Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 16-8-1990.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI ARJUN DEV, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, PANDI NAGAR, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 71 of 1989

In the matter of dispute between :

The General Secretary

Union Bank Employees Union

Union Bank of India, 20 BN Road, Aminabad, Lucknow

AND

Dy. General Manager

Union Bank of India

Hotel Clarks Awadh

8 MG Marg, Lucknow

AWARD

1. The Central Government Ministry of Labour, vide its notification No. L-12012/49/3/88-D2(A) dated 10-3-89, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

Whether the management of Union Bank of India was justified in imposing the penalty of stoppage of 2 annual increments with cumulative effect to Shri Madhukar Sareen Special Assistant, Sitapur Branch in violation of principles of natural justice? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?

2. The admitted facts are that the workman Shri Madhukar Sareen while he was posted as Special Assistant at Sitapur Branch of the bank, was served with charge sheet dt. the July, 1987, copy ext. W-1. The charges were :—

That Shri Sareen was sanctioned a Housing Loan of Rs. 60,000 vide Zonal Office sanction advice No STAF : A : 156 dated 21-4-86 for purchase of ready built house for Rs. 90,000 at Sitapur.

At the time of buying the house, the house had premises and it was under litigation. It is learnt that at present also 3 tenants are occupying the back portion of this house. Shri Sareen intentionally suppressed this fact from the Management at the time of applying for the housing loan.

A nursery school is being run in the house acquired by Shri Sareen with the aid of housing Loan from the Bank in the name of Kamlesh Montessori School who are paying some monthly rent to Shri Sareen. Shri Sareen has neither obtained any permission nor has informed the bank about this fact. Shri Sareen is thus using the house acquired by him with the help of housing loan for commercial purpose in violation of Housing Loan Rules of the bank.

That Shri Sareen is in habit of remaining absent from duty for a longer period than the period for which he seeks permission.

Of the said charge sheet the workman gave a reply dt. 3-8-87 copy ext. W-2. He denied the charges levelled against him. After examining his reply, the management vide its memo dt. 8th Sept., 1987, copy ext. W-3 dropped the third charge regarding his alleged habit of his remaining absent from duty for a longer period than the period for which he had sought permission. The inquiry was conducted by Shri Rajesh Arora, who also happened to be the Disciplinary Authority, in respect of the workman. The E.O. gave his findings, copy Ext-W-6, on 19-1-88 and also gave a notice to the workman regarding the proposed punishment, by means of order dt. 15-2-88, copy Ext. W-8, the Disciplinary Authority, confirmed the proposed punishment. The punishment was of stoppage of his next two annual increments with cumulative effect which would have the effect of postponement of his further increments.

3. The Union alleges that in his finding the inquiry officer observed that nothing had been brought on record which could prove that the House for which loan was taken by the workman was under litigation. At another place the E.O. in his finding observed that he exonerated the workman of the charge that he was

being paid some monthly rent by nursery school. According to the Union in view of the above observation made by the E.O. himself the punishment awarded to the workman becomes illegal and unjustified. The Union further alleges that a person cannot be both an enquiry officer and disciplinary authority in a case. The Union also feels aggrieved of the fact that personal hearing against the proposed punishment was not given by the disciplinary authority to the workman. The Disciplinary authority fixed 4 different dates between 2-2-88 and 15-2-88, for personal hearing, meaning thereby that there was no intention on the part of the disciplinary authority to give opportunity to the workman of presenting his case against the proposed punishment. The workman was on sanctioned leave from 1-2-88 to 4-2-88 and then on 15th and 17th Feb., 1988. The Union has therefore, prayed that order of punishment be set aside and to release the increments so stopped by the Disciplinary Authority.

4. In defence, the management plead that the departmental inquiry was conducted absolutely in fairly comparatively with the principles of natural justice and also in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in various awards as modified by various bipartite settlements from time to time. The findings arrived at by the E.O./Disciplinary Authority, are in order and there is nothing which reflects in bias or malice on the part of the E.O./Disciplinary Authority. The management further plead that the punishment awarded by the disciplinary authority is not at all disproportionate to the charges proved against the workman. The findings are fully supported by the evidence. The appointment of Disciplinary Authority as E.O. is not against the provisions of any bipartite settlement. It is also pleaded by the management that sufficient opportunity was given to the workman to present himself for personal hearing but the workman deliberately avoided to present himself before the Disciplinary Authority, with a view to represent his case against the proposed punishment. Therefore, the action of the management cannot be challenged on any score.

5. The Union has filed its rejoinder but no new facts have been alleged in it.

6. On 3-5-90, an application was moved by the Union in which it was alleged that the Union did not challenge the validity of the inquiry proceedings and the findings recorded by the Enquiry Officer. The Union simply challenged the order of punishment.

7. In view of the said application the case was fixed for arguments straightaway. On 10-7-90, which was the last date fixed in the case in connection with the hearing of arguments the Union took a sudden sault when Sh. O. P. Mishra, have an Office bearer of the Union made a submission that the Union be permitted to challenge the findings as well. According to him it was under some mistaken belief that in the application dt. 3-5-90 it was stated that finding would not be challenged. The prayer was allowed and Sh. Mishra, was permitted to argue against the correctness of the findings.

8. Now one thing is clear that there is no dispute left between the parties on the point that the departmental proceedings against the workman were conducted fairly and properly in accordance with the principles of natural justice. The point which need consideration are—

1. Whether the findings given by the E.O. are perverse as not based on evidence; and
2. Whether the punishment awarded is disproportionate to the charges proved?

POINT NO. 1

9. Ext. W-6 is the copy of findings dt. 19-1-88 given by Shri Rajesh Arora E.O. cum D.A. He found both the charges as established against the workman. Before examining the findings recorded by the E. O. it will be proper to look into the rules governing the grant of housing loan to permanent employee of the bank and the application which was given by the workman for grant of housing loan. The copy of rules and copy of application were filed by the management on 10-7-90, the date on which arguments were finally heard. They have been marked Ext. M-1 and Ext. M-2.

10. At page 8 of the Rules it is laid down that house/flat/property acquired with the help of housing loan shall not be let, sublet, underlet or given on leave on license basis nor the loanee will part with the possession in any manner whatsoever without prior permission from the bank. It is further laid down that if an employee sells or rents out or parts with the house/flat/apartment/property acquired with the help of the loan without prior permission of the management he shall be liable for disciplinary action besides charging of the interest at commercial rate on the entire outstanding balance in the housing loan account. Then at page the expression 'Family' has been defined according to it family means spouse of the loanee, children, parent, brothers, sisters residing with and wholly dependant of the loanee. Finally at page 11 it is laid down that any misutilisation of the loan at any time or violation of any rule will be considered as an act of major misconduct liable for strict disciplinary action besides charging of interest at commercial rate on outstanding balance. At the said page it is further laid down that loanee shall not carry out any trade or business in the premises or make any change in the usage of the premises and shall not commit any act so as to jeopardised or prejudice the rights of the bank. It is again laid down that furnishing wrong or false information or certificates and default will render the employee concerned liable for such penalties as may be levied for breach of discipline and misconduct and the entire outstanding loan and interest will become recoverable forthwith.

11. Now let us come to workman's application for loan. At serial No. 6(iii) at page 2 refers to number of family members and dependants (specify relationship). Against it the workman named mother, sister (unmarried), wife, and son. Again at page 4 under the heading 'General' against serial No. iii, the workman has named mother, sister, wife and son.

12. From the charge sheet it is clear that there were two main charges against the workman. The first was that at the time of purchase of the house it was under litigation and that the workman had kept three

tenants in a portion of the house. The second charge was that in the house, of course acquired with the help of loan, a nursery school with the name of Kamlesh Montessori School was being run and that the school was paying some monthly rent to the workman.

13. Page 2 of the inquiry report which was inadvertently left from filing was filed by the Union on 3-5-90. The E.O. has recorded specific finding to the effect that nothing had been brought on record to prove that the house was under litigation at the time when it was purchased by the workman.

12. It appears from the enquiry report that at the inquiry the workman not only examined himself but also filed a few documents in evidence. At Page 2 of the inquiry report the E.O. has observed as follows :—

From Ext. D-8, submitted by Shri Sareen it is crystal clear that Shri Sareen had filed a civil suit against the so called two tenants and was charging some rent from them.

There is nothing from the side of the Union to show that the above observation made by the E.O. on the basis of Ext. D-8 are incorrect. It is true that it is not clear whether it was a suit for recovery of rent and for ejectment or it was simply a suit for recovery of rent only. The Union could have shown by filing the said documents that the workman never treated the said person as his tenant; rather he treated them as persons kept by the vendor as his licensee as has been alleged by the workman in his reply. In his reply dt. 3-8-87, he has alleged that he was informed by Smt. Prepyari Devi that her personal persons were residing in the house and that she would hand over vacant possession of the entire house after final payment of the sale consideration and registration. It was further alleged by him that in May 1984, the said lady delivered possession of the house except back portion of it with the assurance that the persons residing in the said portion would hand over possession within a very short time. Since, the lady had represented to her that they were not tenant, the question of suppression of the above facts did not arise at all. As already observed by him me the Union/workman would have shown by means of the said document that the workman never treated these persons while filing suit against them as his tenants. Having not done so it will be presumed that they were tenants living in the house when it was purchased by the workman and that subsequently he filed a civil suit against them.

13. If they were living in a portion of the house some tenants and they were allowed to live even for some time by the workman, the workman will be deemed to have violated the condition of the loan that house property acquired with the help of housing loan would not be let out without prior permission from the bank. It is not the case of the workman that he had informed about a portion of the house being occupied by the tenant to the management. Whether there were two tenants or three is immaterial. Therefore, parts of the charge No. 1 that some tenants are occupying the back portion of the house stood proved and the findings arrived at cannot be moved.

14. With regard to Kamlesh Montessori School, the E.O. has observed as follows at page 3 of the inquiry report—

In his cross examination Shri Sareen has admitted that Kamlesh Montessori School is functioning in the house acquired by Shri Sareen with the aid of Housing Loan from the bank since 1985 and he did not seek any permission from the bank in this regard or gave any notice to the bank. I exonerate Shri Sareen of the charge that he is being paid some monthly rent by the said Nursery School, however, Sh. Sareen in his cross examination has stated that his is a joint family comprising of his mother, brother, unmarried sister, wife and children and they are living in the same house. His living in a joint family alongwith his mother and brother who are running the nursery school he must be the beneficiary from the income of the school indirectly, if not directly. . . . Shri Shanti Ranjan M.W.1 in his deposition has stated that the school has more than 100 children and 3/4 teachers are working there. Students are charged fee and other dues. The defence did not cross examine the M.W. 1, on this issue and as such it is established that Shri Sareen is using the house acquired by him with the help of housing loan for commercial purposes in violation of Housing Loan Rules of the bank.

During course of arguments it was not argued by Shri Mishra that the statements attributed to Sh. Sareen by the E.O. are incorrect nor he argued that the statements of M.W.-1 Sh. Shanti Ranjan referred to by the E.O. are incorrect. Therefore, on the basis of evidence referred to by the E.O. his finding cannot be called by any stretch of imagination as not based on evidence, it is neither incorrect nor perverse. We have seen that in his application for loan he has shown his unmarried sister and mother as dependant on him. He

has not named his brother in the said application. They are dependant on him or all of them are members of a joint family is not of much importance. It is crystal clear that a portion of the house purchased by Shri Sareen with the help of loan sanctioned to him by the bank is being used for commercial purposes. The number of students is by no means small. Therefore, this charge fully stands proved.

15. Thus the findings given by the E.O. with regard to charge Nos. 1 and 2 are not at all perverse, they are based on legal evidence. Point No. 1 is decided accordingly.

POINT NO. 2

16. Looking to the nature of the charges, the punishment awarded cannot be called as disproportionate or excessive. From the rules governing the grant of housing loans it appears that the object of the scheme is to assist an employee who does not own any residential house. It further appears that the loan for house granted at a comparatively low interest. The rates of interest are given at page 3 of the Rules. Interest at commercial rate is certainly much higher. The purpose will stand defeated if in the garb of acquiring the residential house with the help of housing loan an employee tries to run a trade or business in it either himself or through one or some of the members of his family. On point No. 2 also the Union/workman files.

17. Hence, it is held that the punishment of stoppage of two annual increments with cumulative effect having the effect of postponing his future increments awarded by the management of Union Bank of India cannot be held in violation of the principles of natural justice. Consequently, the workman is held entitled to no relief.

18. Reference is answered accordingly.

ARJAN DEV, Presiding Officer

[No. L-12012/493/88-D.II(A)]

V. K. VENUGOPALAN, Desk Officer

